South Carolina Department of Corrections

HENRY McMASTER, Governor
BRYAN P. STIRLING, Director

June 20, 2019

Mr. Edward R. Tallon, Sr.
South Carolina House of Representatives
Post Office Box 11867
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

RE: Follow-up to May 29, 2019 Subcommittee meeting

Dear Representative Tallon:

Please see attached responses to your follow-up from the meeting on May 29, 2019.

Classification System Update

1. To help illustrate how the updated classification system may impact inmates, please provide examples/hypothetical situations to illustrate how an inmate with a certain sentence, discipline record, etc., would have remained at the same security level facility under the old classification system but may be moved to a lower security level facility under the updated classification system.
   - The current classification system takes into consideration length of sentence, length of time left to serve on sentence and nature of offense. The new system will also look at nature of offense and prior criminal history as our current system does; however, it limits the length of time that disciplinary infractions and escape history should weigh against the inmate's classification status. It also factors in age and educational level, which is not currently considered. This will allow for advancement within the system for inmates regardless of offenses that have maintained positive behavior within the correctional environment.
   - Example/hypothetical #1
     - First time offender
     - 23 years of age at offense
     - 20-year sentence for Felony DUI
     - Served 2 years – current age 25
     - No major disciplinary
     - Working in cafeteria
     - Attending GED

     CURRENT SYSTEM: REMAIN LEVEL 3
     NEW SYSTEM: REDUCE TO LEVEL 2 – MEDIUM CUSTODY

   - Example/hypothetical #2
     - Second time offender – 10 years apart
     - 40 years of age
     - 17-year sentence Crack Distribution
     - Served 3 years – current age 43
     - No major disciplinary
     - Working in maintenance
     - Attending GED

CURRENT SYSTEM: REMAIN LEVEL 3
NEW SYSTEM: REDUCE TO LEVEL 2 – MEDIUM

Young Offender Parole and Reentry Services

2. Please provide an entry to release timeline, similar to the one the agency provided for other inmates, applicable to the young offender process, as well as one for seventeen year-olds, if it is different. Please include the following, as applicable: entry; evaluations; meetings/hearings to determine whether to release; when transfers between the Department of Juvenile Justice and SCDC may occur; minimum and maximum amount of time a young offender may be on conditional release; revoking/modifying release etc., through unconditional release.
   - Please see attachment for incarceration timelines/guidelines for Youthful Offenders.
   - DJJ youth release timelines are governed by the SC Board of Juvenile Parole, rather than SCDC.
   - Please see attachment for description of the Release Authority Process for Youthful Offenders.
   - DJJ initiates transfers of incarcerated youth to SCDC upon reaching 17 years of age.
   - The minimum amount of time a Youthful Offender may be supervised on conditional release is one year. The maximum amount of time a Youthful Offender can potentially be supervised on conditional release is until the completion date of his/her YOA sentence (generally 6 years from the date of conviction).
   - Please see attachment regarding revoking/modifying release through unconditional release.

3. Please provide a graphic of an average inmate day for a young offender while incarcerated, including: (a) when the inmate is locked in their cell versus outside their cell; (b) which events require a guard escort (e.g., escort to academic class or programming, escort to medical services); and (c) other events which occur regularly, but may not occur daily (e.g., meeting with inmate classification worker).
   - Please see attachment for typical day for young adults while incarcerated and when the inmate is locked in their cells.
   - Please see attached timeframe for detailed information describing services/timelines from admission to an institution to supervised community release.
   - Officer escorts are provided as needed. At a minimum, sight and sound observation is required during movement.
   - Events that may occur regularly but not daily include ISO visits, meeting with Case Manager, medical visits, mental health visits, individual counselling, community service projects, and family visitation.

4. Please provide a graphic of an average inmate month for a young offender on conditional release, as well as graphics of an average inmate month for other inmates who receive a type of release in which SCDC, as opposed to the Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon, has custody of the inmate, if there are any.
   - Please see attached YOPRS/ISS graphic of average month for young offender on conditional release.
   - While the Agency provides reentry services, Youthful Offenders are the only population receiving release services that remain in the custody of SCDC.

5. Please provide the following data for each of the last three years:
   - Percent of youthful offenders making it through reception and evaluation within 30 days;
     - Percentage of female Youthful Offenders processed within 30 days is 31.6%.
     - Percentage of male Youthful Offenders processed within 30 days is 30.8%.
   - Average number of days a youthful offender spent in reception and evaluation;

- Average number of days female Youthful Offenders in R&E is 39 days.
- Average number of days male Youthful Offenders in R&E is 42 days.

- Percent of youthful offenders recommended for unconditional release;
  - Youthful Offenders are given an indeterminate sentence, not to exceed 6 years. All Youthful Offenders must be unconditionally released, upon reaching their 6-year term limit (maxout), if not sooner released. Following are the number of Unconditional (maxout) Releases over the past 5 fiscal years:
    FY18 – 64
    FY17 – 96
    FY16 – 98
    FY15 – 57
    FY14 – 80
- Percent of youthful offenders recommended for conditional release;
  - All Youthful Offenders must be conditionally released under supervision on or before the expiration of 4 years from the date of his/her conviction.
- Recidivism rates for youthful offenders and calculation method.
  - The recidivism rate is the percentage of releases who recidivated. A 3-year rate is based on the number returned to prison within 36 months. Recidivism rate comparison among release subgroups is applied to the 3-year rate, which is a standard recommended by the Commission on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals decades ago and subsequently applied by various correctional systems.
  - Historically, the recidivism rate has exceeded 50%. The most current 3-year recidivism rate for Youthful Offenders receiving SCDC's Intensive Supervision Services is 30.3% among releases in 2015.

6. Please provide recommendations for updating statutes related to young offenders and reasoning for these recommendations.
   - Update terminology to reflect current restorative philosophy; focus on rehabilitation and reentry services for young adults.
   - Clarify sentence timeframes for suspended sentences that are activated, i.e., Baxter V Myers.
   - Examine the current R&E process and explore partnership with Vocational Rehabilitation to provide evaluation services. Also, consider potential of providing evaluation services in the community, rather than at R&E.
   - Reduce the maximum term of indeterminate sentence for Youthful Offenders to 5 years.
   - Eliminate multiple Youthful Offender convictions; may have more than one only if result of continuous incident.
   - Eliminate dual sentences; may not be sentenced as an adult and Youthful Offender at the same time for separate incidents.
   - Allow SCDC authority to issue subpoenas.
   - Allow SCDC to return non-conforming illegal sentences to be sentenced legally.

7. Please contact the Department of Vocational Rehabilitation to discuss evaluation of youthful offenders and share with the Committee any recommendations from this discussion.
   - YOPRS Division Director Ginny Barr contacted the Assistant Commissioner SC Department of Vocational Rehabilitation (SCDVR), Mark Wade, on June 6, 2019. Mr. Wade stated that he had recently been made aware that YOA Legislation mentioned that SCDC may establish an agreement with SCDVR to provide evaluation services for Youthful Offenders at R&E. He consulted with Commissioner Felicia Johnson regarding the potential to explore such an agreement. An exploratory meeting is scheduled with Commissioner Johnson on June 17, 2019.

Shock Incarceration Program

8. Please provide an entry to release timeline, similar to the one the agency provided for other inmates, applicable to the shock incarceration program.
   - Please see attached admission to release timeline and services for Shock Incarceration Program participants.

9. Please provide a graphic of an average inmate day for an inmate in the shock incarceration program, including: (a) when the inmate is locked in their cell versus outside their cell; (b) which events require a guard escort (e.g., escort to academic class or programming, escort to medical services); and (c) other events which occur regularly, but may not occur daily (e.g., meeting with inmate classification worker).
   - Please see attached typical day for young adult while incarcerated and when the inmate is locked in their cells.
   - Officer escorts are provided as needed. At a minimum, sight and sound observation is required during movement.
   - Events that may occur regularly but not daily include meeting with Case Manager, medical visits, mental health visits, individual counselling, community services projects, and family visitation.

10. Please explain how this program has changed/evolved over the years. In this explanation please include what is currently done in the program (including any age limitations on who can participate in it), and benefits and drawbacks to the program based on research regarding its effectiveness about which agency personnel testified during the meeting.
    - The Shock Incarceration Program was initially established in 1990 by the General Assembly pursuant to Article 13, Section 24-13-1310 - 1320. It was defined as a 90-day program delivered in an incarceration facility, which provided "...rigorous physical activity, intensive regimentation, and discipline and rehabilitation therapy and programming." The program was designed to operate as a "boot camp." The stated intent of the provision per the General Assembly was, "...to provide law enforcement with a means of reducing recidivism..."
    - The eligibility requirements established for the program are as follows:
        - Must be 17-29 years of age
        - Must be eligible for parole in 2 years or less (8 years incarcerative sentence or suspended sentence)
        - Must not be convicted of a violent offense or a "no parole" offense as defined in Section 24-13-100.
        - Must be serving a first-time commitment in a state correctional facility or has not been sentenced previously in a Shock Incarceration Program
        - Must be physically and mentally stable
        - May be a Youthful Offender or adult "Straight Timer"
    - In 2013, in an effort to evaluate the effectiveness of the SCDC's Shock Incarceration Program, literature and research was studied regarding the operation of shock programs (boot camps) for young people. It was learned that the idea of militaristic boot camps became very popular in the U.S. in the early 1990's as an alternative to prisons and probation. They were believed to reduce recidivism and lower operational costs, while aligning with the "tough on crime" movement. However, many studies over the last several decades have indicated that these programs are not effective and often have been found to actually increase a person's likelihood of returning to prison. In a meta-analysis conducted by Mark Lipsey (2009) of the Peabody Research Institute of Vanderbilt University that examined the results of 548 studies it was found that boot camps are associated with an 8% increase of recidivism compared to other forms of interventions (such as counseling, rehabilitation and skill building).

- Prior to 2013, the Shock Program operated strictly as a "boot camp." The schedule of activities primarily included daily school attendance (3 hours), daily work details, strict military-type structure and discipline, routine military drill and a life skills class called TRIAD.
- Following the Agency's evaluation of boot camps, the Shock Program was redesigned to incorporate more evidence-based practices based on restorative justice. While the program still integrated a measure of drill and ceremony, physical training and work, changes were made to establish ancillary services similar to those provided for the Youthful Offender population based upon Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) with more focus on accountability, competency development, rehabilitation and reentry.
- In the fall of 2015, the Shock Incarceration further evolved as it was moved from Wateree River CI to Turbeville CI in order to further enhance services and provide Shock participants with access to 24-hour Medical Services, as well as Mental Health Services.
- The Shock Incarceration Program currently provides the following services:
    - Risk/Asset Assessment
    - Individualized Rehabilitation Plan
    - Case Management Services
    - 3 hours of educational services daily
    - Opportunity to engage in Community Service (Rotaract Club - sponsored by Rotary Int'l)
    - Impact of Crime Classes
    - Individual/Group Counseling
    - Parenting Skills
    - Substance Abuse Education
    - GED Preparation/Testing
    - Employability Skills
    - Money Management Skills
    - Life/Interpersonal Skills
    - Community Meetings 2 times/day
    - Weekly drill and ceremony
    - Daily health and wellness (physical training)
    - Limited work details

11. Does the agency recommend elimination of the shock incarceration program? If so, how would elimination potentially benefit the agency?
    - Today in South Carolina, the current 3-year recidivism rate for Shock participants is 35.1%, while the current rate for Youthful Offenders receiving Intensive Supervision Services (much more serious offenders) is 30.3%. The Agency believes that a substantial percentage of young adults currently receiving Shock Incarceration services may be more productively served in the community with Intensive Supervision Services, rather than being sentenced to prison. Prison should be reserved for those individuals that are a clear danger and threat to public safety. Research has shown that therapeutic approaches based upon counseling, skill building, and multiple services have the greatest impact on reducing further criminal behavior among young people.
    - Therefore, it is suggested that consideration be given to replacing the Shock Incarceration Program with an evaluation process that would provide the Court with information to assist in determining whether an intensive level of supervision with prescribed services may provide a more productive outcome than incarceration. If incarceration is indicated, the young adult would be sentenced under the YOA. If an intensive level of supervision is indicated, the young adult would be sentenced to an intensive level of probation to include prescribed services with a suspended YOA.
    - Benefits of program elimination for young adults:
        - Greater likelihood of success;

- Opportunity to remain in the community without interruption to employment and/or school;
- Avoid trauma and danger associated with incarceration;
- Avoid negative impact of incarceration on family members.

- Benefits for program elimination for the Agency:
  - More effective use of financial resources; community supervision is much more cost-effective than incarceration.
  - Efficient utilization of bed space; Agency need for Level 2 beds currently reserved for Shock Incarceration.
  - Reduction in recidivism for young adults; ending cycle of generational incarceration.

12. Please provide the number of individuals, during each of the last five years, who were: (a) sentenced to the shock incarceration program; (b) physically/psychologically/etc., qualified for the program; (c) agreed, in writing, to the terms in statute necessary to participate in the program; and (d) were recidivists within one and/or three years of release (depending on the data available).
    - Following are number of individuals sentenced and admitted to the Shock Incarceration Program for past 5 years:
      - FY 2014 – 224
      - FY 2015 – 168
      - FY 2016 – 144
      - FY 2017 – 189
      - FY 2018 – 166
    - Following are the number of qualified participants released from the Shock Incarceration Program for the past 5 fiscal years:
      - FY 2014 – 195
      - FY 2015 – 168
      - FY 2016 – 154
      - FY 2017 – 159
      - FY 2018 – 159

    - The 3-year recidivism rate for those released in 2015 was 35.1%
    - The 2-year recidivism rate for those released in 2015 was 24.4%
    - The 1-year recidivism rate for those released in 2015 was 6.6%

Security and Emergency Services

13. Please provide the pros and cons of the ability of specialized teams to respond as efficiently as possible to situations, such as prison riots, under the current structure.
    - Pros: Special Teams structure (Institutional First and Second Responders, RRT, SORT, AST, SITCON, AST, Travel, K-9) allows the Agency to respond to any emergency in any institution in a timely manner. Teams can respond immediately to preserve life, are trained regularly and continuously, and ultimately maintain a high state of readiness in the case of an emergency.
    - All Agency training is specific to the correctional environment. Agency security personnel are already familiar with the inmate population, general inmate culture and lifestyle. Agency teams are already familiar with each institutional layout through regular visits and security audits. Agency staff are already trained to deal with the variety of situations specific to inmate behavior and daily security detail, such as inmate rosters, shakedowns, and controlled movement.
    - Cons: Staffing issues and turnover rate.

14. How often is the specialized team structure and duties reviewed to determine if updates would improve efficiency?
    - In order to preserve control and order, the SCDC will maintain and employ Emergency Response Teams specially trained to respond to disturbances, crises, and emergency situations. Emergency Response Team members will be required to attend a requisite number of mandated training classes on an annual basis to remain members of their assigned team/unit.
    - Lesson Plans for Emergency Teams are reviewed biennially by Emergency Team leaders and the Division of Security Director. This review takes into consideration the need for any change in duties, training requirements as well as the need for any structural changes.
    - Policy ADM-17.07 "Training Requirements for Emergency Response Teams" Section 2 states that RRT & SITCON team members must receive 24 hours of specialized training on an annual basis (average 2 hours monthly), and SORT members must receive 144 hours of specialized training on an annual basis (average 12 hours monthly).
    - Additional training may be recommended by the Division Director of Security with concurrence of DDO.
    - While the Emergency Team structure remains constant team, leaders observe team performance during training to determine if changes are necessary.
    - **All Special Team Training is in addition to the Class II Annual Re-Certification Training employees receive.

15. What are the costs of the State House detail? What do these costs include?
    - Please see attached State House costs.

Family Complaints

16. Please provide any available data on the number of complaints, by category, the agency has received from family or friends of inmates during each of the last three years.
    - The Office of the Deputy Director for Operations log reflected 61 calls received in the period May 20th to 24th and May 27th to 31st of 2019. The log does not include all data requested.
    - SCDC is developing a log for distribution to each Deputy Director area which will capture telephone calls received by number of complaints, category of complaint and relationship of caller.

Measures and Data Tracked

17. Over the last three years, for each month and each type of housing unit (i.e., restrictive housing, structured living, transition, general population, etc.), please provide the following information: (a) number of inmates in the unit; (b) average number of hours per inmate per week (seven days) in which inmates were out of their cell; (c) average number of times per inmate per week (seven days) in which inmates were provided the opportunity to shower. Please include any explanation the agency would like to provide for the numbers, or significant increases or decreases, in them, if any.
    - (a) - Please see attached population counts and capacities.
    - (b) & (c) - Best correctional practices do not recommend tracking the above information for general population inmates; however, during a limited time during an extended lockdown SCDC did keep some data for general population inmates. SCDC did start tracking the information for RHU's within the last year utilizing the Offender Activity Tracking System (OATS), which is an automated system. The OATS was implemented through a pilot process within the last year in several units within some of our correctional institutions. Due to the success of this system, SCDC plans to implement statewide. SCDC has handwritten documents and OATS spread sheet entries which would require some time to compile in a format responsive to the specific questions. SCDC can provide copies of the volumes of documents if the committee so desires;

however, all pages would need to be reviewed for redaction of private health or other unrelated information before submission.

18. Please provide a list of measures/data that agency management reviews on a regular basis as it relates to conditions of its facilities and treatment/services available to inmates.

- Administration
  - Financial Audits
  - Personnel Audits
  - Prison Industry Audits
  - DHEC Inspections
  - Food Services Inspections
  - DHEC Dairy Inspections
  - DHEC Processing Plant Inspections
  - Tool Audits
  - Maintenance Work Orders
  - Offender Management System
- Health Services
  - GPH DHEC Inspections
  - Electronic Health Records
  - Pharmacy Location Audit
  - Medical Supply Audit
- Legal and Compliance
  - Pursuant to Mental Health Settlement, numerous reviews are conducted and documented; however, the information requested is subject to confidentiality pursuant to the agreement of the parties to the mental health settlement and the continuing mediation of the litigation. SCDC is complying with what it believes is the confidentiality provision specifically set forth in the agreement and the rules of mediation.
  - PREA Audit Tool measures our performance as it relates to the PREA Standards.
  - Environmental Health Safety Officer records monthly safety, fire and health inspections.
  - Internal Audits conducts reviews of institutional financial matters.
- Operations
  - Security Audits
  - Weapons and Munitions Inventory
  - Key Audit
  - Training Audit
- Police Services
  - NCIC Audit
- Programs, Reentry and Rehabilitative Services
  - Program Participation
  - PRRS reviews data from Education, TABE scores, that are indicators of academic class placement, and academic success. The more a TABE score increases, the greater the rate of the inmate's academic success.
  - PRRS reviews data on academic diplomas and GED certificates awarded, Vocational Certificates, On the Job Training (OJT) certificates, and Apprenticeship certificates awarded.
  - PRRS assesses faith based needs based on the number of inmates reporting specific religious practices or beliefs.
  - PRRS assesses programming needs based on the population demographics of each institution. Inmates in the RHU's require different courses and classes than those in CBUs.

- PRRS assesses the needs of inmates who are readying for release, and offeres services to fill identified needs.
- PRRS reviews inmate's requests for recreational equipemnt and wellness programming provide means for exercise and recreational opportunites, as well as classes on helath and wellness, within the institutions.

Lockdowns

19. Please explain the agency's policies for going on lockdown.
    - Agency reviews staffing, intel and trends to determine lockdown status.

20. How have the agency's policies for going on lockdown changed, if at all, during the last three years?
    - The policy for Lockdowns was last revised in May 2016. It is reviewed annually and is currently under review by the DDO.
    - Leadership changes within the Division of Operations has resulted in a philosophical change as to when institutions are placed on lockdown and removed from lockdown. This philosophical change does not violate policy, nor does it require a policy change.

21. Please explain the agency's policies for coming off of lockdown.
    - Agency reviews staffing, intel and trends to determine lockdown status.
    - It is the goal of the DDO to limit institutional lockdowns.

22. How have the agency's policies for coming off of lockdown changed, if at all, during the last three years?
    - Duplicate to question #20, please see response to #20.

23. Were all SCDC facilities on lockdown after the incident at Lee CI?
    - Yes, all SCDC institutions were placed on lockdown for a period of 48 hours. At which point, all Level 1 institutions and both female institutions were removed from lockdown. Approximately one week later, Wateree River, and MacDougall Correctional Institutions were removed from lockdown. At the beginning of May 2018, all Character Based housing units, Allendale, and Kirkland Correctional Institutions were released from lockdown. Around the middle of May, Perry and Tyger River Correctional Institutions were released from lockdown. At beginning of June 2018 Trenton Correctional Institution was released from lockdown. At which point, SCDC initiated additional structured plans to release all other institutions from lockdown. As of today, there are not any SCDC institutions on lockdown. It is the goal of the DDO to limit institutional lockdowns. Lockdowns will be implemented in accordance with SCDC policy.

Types of Offenses/Convictions

24. Please provide a list of the types of convictions which fall within the "Dangerous Drugs" category the agency utilizes in its most serious offenses data.
    - Please see attached SCDC Offense Codes in Offense Category 35 - Dangerous Drugs.

25. Please provide a definition of the terms "burglary" and "robbery" which are categories the agency utilizes in its most serious offenses data.
    - Please see attached SCDC Offense Codes in Offense Code 12 - Robbery and 22 - Burglary.

Contraband

26. Please provide a list of the types, and amounts of contraband, found during raids of SCDC prisons in each year from 2015 to the present. Please share the agency's understanding of the reason for any significant increases or decreases.

    - The decrease in most categories from 2016 to 2019 are the results of the layered measures to combat contraband which the Director has implemented. The netting, drone detection, institutional cross fencing, intrusion and tsunami cameras all of which were implemented between 2017 up to the present have resulted in reduction in contraband statistics as indicated by Search Team reports.
    - Please see attached search team statistics.

27. Please provide the agency's recommendations for strengthening penalties for providing contraband or other assistance to inmates in custody and the basis for the recommendations.
    - Revoke 24-3-965 as it is not necessary. Amend 24-3-950 to specifically list drugs, weapons, cell phones, and any item deemed contraband by the Director of SCDC.
    - Clarify jurisdiction between Magistrates Court and General Sessions based on quantity, etc. Greater penalties imposed by General Sessions courts may deter normally law-abiding citizens from assisting in bringing in contraband.

Inmate Use of Social Networking

28. What statutory updates, if any, would the agency recommend as it relates to sanctions for inmates caught using internet-based social networking websites to contact victims?
    - Increase the penalty in S.C. Code of Law 24-3-970. Current penalty of minimal fines and 30-day sentences do not deter currently imprisoned inmates.

29. For the last five years, what is the (a) number of known incidents of inmates utilizing internet-based social networking websites, (b) number of those incidents which resulted in charges, and (c) adjudication of those charges?
    - (a) See below count of Social Media Tips received as of 5/6/19.

Number of Inmate Social Media Complaints/Tips Received by Calendar Year						
	CY14	**CY15**	**CY16**	**CY17**	**CY18**	**CY19YTD**
Totals	**227**	**134**	**307**	**593**	**563**	**329**

    - (b) & (c) - Please see attached final dispositions.
    - Definitions of final disposition codes are below:
        - **Closed:** When an infraction is disposed of through informal or some other means (Inmate in RHU that is a habitual offender and the action is non-assaultive in nature) within the disciplinary process. NOTE: A closed entry acknowledges a conviction of an offense, but ensures no good time is taken
        - **Convicted:** When an inmate is/was found guilty, or pled guilty of the charged infraction.
        - **Dismissed:** When an inmate's charge was dropped due to technical reasons and/or procedural errors
        - **Not Guilty:** When an inmate is cleared of charged infraction in a formal disciplinary hearing through a preponderance of the credible evidence presented.
        - **Pending:** The disciplinary case has not been heard by a Disciplinary Hearing Officer

30. Are there any other statutory updates the agency would recommend related to sanctions for an inmate's conduct? If so, what are those recommendations and the basis for the recommendations?
    - DDO has not discussed statutory updates related to sanctions for an inmate's conduct. DDO will conduct a meeting and make recommendations and submit with the final PER.
    - Revoke the law requiring some of these cases be heard at the Magistrate level. 24-9-950 and proposed 24-3-975.
    - Require the cases be heard in General Sessions courts, 24-3-965.
        - Greater penalties imposed by General Sessions courts may deter normally law abiding citizens from assisting in bringing in contraband.
    - Increase the penalty in S.C. Code of Law 24-3-970.
        - Minimal fines and 30 days sentences do not deter currently imprisoned inmates.
        - While SCDC does not currently pursue criminal charges for this type of misconduct, SCDC does request Social Media pages be closed and suggest internal disciplinary action which carries more penalties for the inmates than this criminal offense. We have sought harassment and threatening charges when appropriate.

Movement of inmates between SCDC facilities

31. Does the agency use any type of algorithm, based on its own inmate data, to determine if the risk caused by having an inmate at one facility is higher or lower than the risk of having an inmate at another facility? If not, are these transfer decisions based on written guidelines/policies or subjective?
    - The current classification system uses an assignment matrix (not an algorithm) to assign inmates to "security level" not to a specific facility. The matrix takes into consideration data such as the severity of current and prior offenses, sentence length, remaining time to serve, history of escape attempts and other disciplinary infractions. The matrix also prevents assignment to the lowest security levels of those inmates with detainers and validated gang membership. The matrix is not point-based and therefore produces a lack of reliability and validity. Central classification staff make the facility assignment taking a number of factors into consideration, including medical/mental health treatment needs, access to education/programs, separation requirements, special job skills, and bed space availability. The new classification system created by Dr. Austin will utilize an objective point-based instrument using objectively defined criteria. The criteria is organized, weighed, and scored using the instrument resulting in a more accurate custody assignment based on risk. Prisons will be re-designated as minimum, medium, and close custody.

32. Who has authority to order transfer of an inmate from one facility to another facility? (e.g., wardens, Director of Operations)
    - The Director, Deputy Director for Operations, and Central Classification has the authority to approve transfers.

Law Updates

33. Are revisions to statute needed as the agency indicated in one of its letters to the Committee that "there is currently no supervised furlough program under S.C. Code § 24-13-710 & -720. Supervised reentry under S.C. Code § 24-21-32, which went into effect in January 2011, has essentially replaced programs under these two statutes."
    - After consulting with the Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services, we propose that S.C. Code § 24-13-710 and S.C. § Code 24-13-720 be repealed as they are no longer in use and have been supplanted by the supervised reentry program outlined in S.C. Code § 24-21-32.

34. Please provide any additional recommendations the agency has for changes in law, including ones for modernization (i.e. to reflect current agency practices). If the agency would like to share its position on any pending legislation, please do so.
    - SCDC will be submitting additional information regarding law changes to include an updated Program Evaluation Report.

Good Conduct Credits

35. How does the agency monitor and determine which inmates receive good behavior credits, as discussed in S.C. Code Ann. Section 24-13-210 and other statutes?
    - The SCDC Offender Management System automatically applies good behavior credits to each inmate's conviction as allowed by statute unless the inmate is found guilty of a disciplinary infraction, in which case the inmate fails to earn good behavior credits for that month and may forfeit (in the discretion of the disciplinary hearing officer) good behavior credits previously earned. For parole-eligible offenses, the good behavior credit rate is 20 days for each month served, and for no-parole ("85%") offenses, 3 days for each month served.
    - Inmates are not eligible for good behavior credits when sentenced to life or the death penalty, or under the following provisions:
        - Youthful Offender Act;
        - Family court contempt (non-support) when the judge specifically orders they not be credited with good time;
        - civil contempt of court;
        - any offenses that carry a "day-for-day" mandatory minimum sentence, such as murder under S.C. Code § 16-3-20, DUI second or subsequent under S.C. Code § 56-5-2930, criminal sexual conduct with a minor in the first degree under S.C. Code § 16-3-655(A)(1), possession of a weapon during commission of a violent crime under S.C. Code § 16-23-490, and certain high-level drug trafficking offenses under S.C. Code § 44-53-370 & -375.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you require additional information of if you have further questions. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bryan P. Stirling

Bryan P. Stirling

cc: The Honorable Wm. Weston J. Newton
The Honorable Micajah P. "Micah" Caskey, IV
The Honorable Gary E. Clary
The Honorable Chandra E. Dillard
The Honorable Joseph H. Jefferson, Jr.
The Honorable Jeffrey E. "Jeff" Johnson
The Honorable Robert Q. Williams

Attachments

Youthful Offender Timelines and Average Day

Included in the Department of Corrections' (SCDC) June 20, 2019 letter to the House Legislative Oversight Committee (LOC). This information was provided in response to the questions below in LOC's May 30, 2019 letter to the Department of Corrections.

Question #2. Please provide an entry to release timeline, similar to the one the agency provided for other inmates, applicable to the young offender process, as well as one for seventeen year-olds, if it is different. Please include the following, as applicable: entry; evaluations; meetings/hearings to determine whether to release; when transfers between the Department of Juvenile Justice and SCDC may occur; minimum and maximum amount of time a young offender may be on conditional release; revoking/modifying release etc., through unconditional release."
Agency Response: Please see attachment for incarceration timelines/guidelines for Youthful Offenders. DJJ youth release timelines are governed by the SC Board of Juvenile Parole, rather than SCDC. Please see attachment for description of the Release Authority Process for Youthful Offenders. DJJ initiates transfers of incarcerated youth to SCDC upon reaching 17 years of age. The minimum amount of time a Youthful Offender may be supervised on conditional release is one year. The maximum amount of time a Youthful Offender can potentially be supervised on conditional release is until the completion date of his/her YOA sentence (generally 6 years from the date of conviction). Please see attachment regarding revoking/modifying release through unconditional release.

Question #3. Please provide a graphic of an average inmate day for a young offender while incarcerated, including: (a) when the inmate is locked in their cell versus outside their cell; (b) which events require a guard escort (e.g., escort to academic class or programming, escort to medical services); and (c) other events which occur regularly, but may not occur daily (e.g., meeting with inmate classification worker)."
Agency Response: Please see attachment for typical day for young adults while incarcerated and when the inmate is locked in their cells. Please see attached timeframe for detailed information describing services/ timelines from admission to an institution to supervised community release. Officer escorts are provided as needed. At a minimum, sight and sound observation is required during movement. Events that may occur regularly but not daily include ISO visits, meeting with Case Manager, medical visits, mental health visits, individual counselling, community service projects, and family visitation.

Question #4. Please provide a graphic of an average inmate month for a young offender on conditional release, as well as graphics of an average inmate month for other inmates who receive a type of release in which SCDC, as opposed to the Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon, has custody of the inmate, if there are any."
Agency Response: Please see attached YOPRS/ISS graphic of average month for young offender on conditional release. While the Agency provides reentry services, Youthful Offenders are the only population receiving release services that remain in the custody of SCDC.

South Carolina Department of Corrections
Division of Young Offender Parole and Reentry

Timeframe: From Admission to Institution to Supervised Community Release*

*This is an overview of the day-to-day operations for Youthful Offenders at YOIS Institutions (Allendale CI, Trenton CI, and Turbeville CI for males and Camille Griffin Graham CI for females. This information includes 17 year olds and DJJ youth housed at Turbeville. Please note all services are individualized and based on the progress of each individual.

1 - 14 Days	15 Days - 90 Days Prior to Release	<90 Days Prior to Release
ORIENTATION • Conduct YOIS Program Orientation; • Conduct and analyze Risk/Asset Assessment (GRAD); • Develop an Individualized Rehabilitation Plan based on results of GRAD; • Place in educational program based on results of TABE testing; • Administer Case Management services to determine appropriate placement in group and individual services.	**REHABILITATION** • Attend a minimum of 3 hours of educational services daily; can include vocational trade if GED verified; • Attend daily group sessions consisting of interpersonal skills, life skills, parenting skills, anger management skills, money management, family focus, Impact of Crime and any other individualized needs; • Monthly Individual Counseling focusing of results from GRAD and rehabilitation plan; • Daily Community Meetings at end of the day to bring closure to the day's activities and announce evening and the next day's plans; • Monthly Case Management conducted to monitor individual's progress and/or lack of progress with Individualized Rehabilitation Plan, participation and adjustment in services based upon the person's identified needs and assets; • Weekly visits from Intensive Supervision Officers (ISOs).	**REENTRY** • Attend daily group sessions focused on reentry topics that include transitional planning, career readiness, family reunification, mock job fair and interviewing skills development; • Reentry Case Management to assess the individual's progress in the program and readiness for community supervision release; • Attend a minimum of 3 hours of educational services daily; can include vocational trade if GED verified; • Monthly Individual Counseling focused on results from GRAD and rehabilitation plan, providing any assistance with readiness for community release; • Daily Community Meetings at end of the day to bring closure to the day's activities and announce evening and the next day's plans; • Monthly Case Management conducted to monitor individual's progress and/or lack of progress with Individualized Rehabilitation Plan, participation and adjustment in services based upon the person's identified needs and assets; • Weekly visits from Intensive Supervision Officers (ISOs); • Graduation ceremony conducted upon completion of program.



*Youthful Offender Institutional Services (YOIS) are provided at Turbeville CI, Trenton CI, Allendale CI and Camille Griffin Graham CI. They are delivered 8:00 a.m. – 4:00 p.m. Each institution maintains a unique daily schedule, but dedicates the same estimated percentage of time toward the delivery of the services. Cell restrictions may be imposed at the discretion of institutional security at the conclusion of the day, or as a response to disruption or safety concerns.

INTENSIVE SUPERVISION SERVICES

RELEASE AUTHORITY PROCESS

90 days

Release Recommendations GO OUT for offenders 90 days from their projected parole release date

75 days

Release Recommendations DUE IN for offenders 75 days from their projected parole release date

60 days

ISARA approves/disapproves offenders 60 days from their projected parole release date

45 days

Approved: conditional release certificates GO OUT

Disapproved: notification GOES OUT with new projected parole date

---

RELEASE RECOMMENDATIONS



Intensive Supervision Administrative Release Authority (ISARA)

South Carolina **Department of Corrections**

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR OPERATIONS

DIVISION OF YOUNG OFFENDER PAROLE & REENTRY SERVICES (YOPRS)

INTENSIVE SUPERVISION SERVICES (ISS)

RELEASE AUTHORITY PROCESS

Release Recommendations are needed in order for the Intensive Supervision Administrative Release Authority (ISARA) - a panel of corrections professionals, to include a victim representative - of the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC) to review a youthful offender and make an informed decision as to whether he/she will be approved or disapproved for conditional release on Intensive Supervision Services (ISS).

A Release Recommendation needs to be submitted to the Intensive Supervision Administrative Release Authority Administrative Coordinator (**ISARAAC**) from the following three entities in order for an offender to be considered for conditional release on ISS:

1. The **Correctional Institution (CI)** where the youthful offender is incarcerated
2. The **Division of Victims Services (DVS)**
3. The **ISS Region (ISSR)** supervising the offender.

The following four (4) stage process (90-75-60-45) has been established in order to effectively process a youthful offender for conditional release on ISS.

Stage	Days Prior to Conditional Release Date	
I	**90**	Release Notifications (RN) will be distributed via E-mail by the ISARAAC to the **CI**: • Addictions Treatment Unit (ATU) Program Manager (for youthful offenders assigned to ATU), who will distribute the forms to the appropriate CI; • Youthful Offender Institutional Services (YOIS) Program Manager (for youthful offenders not assigned to ATU), who will distribute the forms to the appropriate CI; • **DVS**; • **ISSR**.
II	**75**	Release Recommendation forms (RRs), from the **CI**, **DVS**, and **ISSR** are due back to the ISARAAC. The set of three (3) RRs create a Release Recommendation Packet (RRP) for each offender and the ISARAAC submits each RRP to the ISARA for review.
III	**60**	The ISARA approves **or** disapproves each offender for conditional release on ISS. If **approved**, the ISARAAC will begin the Youthful Offender Conditional Release Certificate (YOCRC) process If **disapproved**, the ISARAAC will notify the Intensive Supervision Administrative Release Authority Coordinator (**ISARAC**) and the **ISARAC** will enter the next possible conditional release date for the offender into the ISS Web Application. The next possible conditional release date will be at a minimum 60 days after the conditional release date the offender was just disapproved for, unless the ISARA notes special circumstances.
IV	**45**	For offenders **approved** for conditional release on ISS, the ISARAAC will notify the appropriate **CI**, **ISSR**, and the **DVS**. Additionally, the ISARAAC will create and distribute (via E-mail) each YOCRC to the appropriate **ISSR**. The **ISARAC** will enter and approve the conditional release date on the MAXREL screen in the SCDC mainframe. For offenders **disapproved** for conditional release on ISS, the **ISARAAC** will notify the appropriate **CI**, **ISSR**, and the **DVS**. The **ISARAAC** will include in this notification the following: • The next possible conditional release date for each offender disapproved.

- The reason(s) for ISARA disapproval and offender recommendations ***(this information should be shared with the offender by both CI and ISSR staff).***

NOTE: If the **CI, ISSR,** or the **DVS** feel an offender should not be released after the ISARA has approved his/her conditional release on ISS, an Emergency Hold Recommendation (EHR) form must be submitted and approved by the ISARA.

The **CI, ISSR,** or the **DVS** will submit the EHR to the **ISARAC** who will then submit the EHR to the ISARA for approval or disapproval. The ISARA will notify the **ISARAC** of their approval/disapproval and the **ISARAC** will notify the appropriate **CI, ISSR,** and the **DVS** of the ISARA decision.

If approved by the ISARA, the **ISARAC** will enter the new projected conditional release date for the offender in the ISS Web Application and will notify the appropriate **CI, ISSR,** and the **DVS** of the new projected conditional release date.

If disapproved by the ISARA, the reason for disapproval will be provided.

Division of Young Offender Parole and Reentry Services (YOPRS)
Intensive Supervision Services (ISS)

Timeframe: From Conditional Release to Community Supervision through Unconditional Release*

***Below is an overview of the day-to-day activities for Youthful Offenders receiving ISS are individualized and based on the progress, needs and daily living schedule of each young adult (YA).**

	Initial Reentry	Intensive Supervision	Moderate Supervision	Transitional Supervision
	First 30 Days in Community	30-90 Days in Community	90-180 Days in Community	180- 365 Days in Community
	• Young Adult (YA) transported home by ISO or family member • YA meets with ISO at home on release day, and provided community resource material. • Review of relevant paperwork to include Case Management Plan developed during monthly visits with the ISO while incarcerated. • 3 visits in the community within the 1st week with the ISO to establish reentry services with community agencies. • weekly visits in the community at a minimum with the ISO for remainder of the month.	• YA meets with the ISO weekly in the community (home, employment, service providers, etc.). • Attend service provider appointments as referred by the ISO per Case Management Plan. • YA continues to work on reentry goals . • YA receives incentives to reinforce positive behavior and complies with any graduated responses initiated to discourage noncompliance. • After 90 days and submission of a negative drug screen, YA eligible for consideration for reduction in supervision level to Moderate.	• YA meets with ISO two times per month in the community. • YA continues to progress towards successfully completing their reentry goals through continued participation with service provider agencies. • YA receives incentives to reinforce positive behavior and complies with any graduated responses initiated to discourage noncompliance. • After successfully completing 90 days of Moderate Supervision and submission of an additional negative drug screen, YA eligible for consideration for reduction in supervision level to Transitional.	• YA meets with ISO one time per month in the community, with at least one telephone call. • YA continues to progress towards successfully completing their reentry goals through continued participation with service provider agencies. • YA receives incentives to reienforce positive behavior and complies with any graduated responses initiated to discourage noncompliance. • After successfully completing one year of supervision, YA eligible for consideration for completion of supervision.

- Young adults are incentivized to graduate from the Intensive level of supervision to Moderate Supervision and then Transitional Supervision. Basic criteria for consideration for change of supervision level, once minimum time frame criteria met, are as follows:
  - YA pursing reentry goals and meeting weekly with ISO as required,
  - YA provides negative drug screen,
  - YA complies with referrals to service providers (Mental Health, Substance Abuse, Vocational Rehabilitation, Education),
  - Regular restitution payments (if applicable).
- Criteria for Unconditional Release from community supervision (minimum of 1 year supervision required per YOA legislation):
  - No pending charges that occurred during community supervision,
  - Negative drug screen within last 30 days,
  - Completion of reentry goals/compliance with service providers,
  - Restitution paid in full or Civil Judgment completed.
- Interventions utilized to deter noncompliance, promote community safety, and encourage positive behavior:
  - Incentives: change in supervision level, verbal praise, written recognition, curfew modifications, gift certificates, etc.,
  - Graduated Responses: Electronic monitor, increased contact with ISO, referral for appropriate treatment, home detention, *Administrative Review, etc.
    *An Administrative Review is the process by which a YA's Conditional Release is reassessed following his/her arrest and/or supervision violations. May result in continued supervision with modification of release conditions or recommendation to Release Authority to revoke parole and return YA to SCDC custody.

South Carolina **Department of Corrections**
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR OPERATIONS
DIVISION OF YOUNG OFFENDER PAROLE & REENTRY SERVICES (YOPRS)

INTENSIVE SUPERVISION SERVICES (ISS)

RELEASE MATRIX: MINIMUM GUIDELINES

FIRST-TIME OFFENDERS

Category I and II	=	6 – 48 months minimum
Category III	=	9 – 48 months minimum
Category IV	=	18 – 48 months minimum
Category V	=	36 – 48 months minimum
ALL Non-Conforming Sentences	=	36 – 48 months minimum
Burglary II, Violent *post 6/2/10*	=	36 – 48 months minimum
Burglary II, Non-Violent *post 6/2/10 to 4/21/16**	=	36 – 48 months minimum
Burglary II, Non-Violent, *pre 6/2/2010 or post 4/21/16**	=	9 – 48 months minimum

** date of offense*

NON-ISS ASSIGNED (PPP) REVOCATORS

All Offense Categories	=	6 months minimum

ISS ASSIGNED REVOCATORS*

First-time Violators	=	6 months minimum
Second-time Violators	=	9 months minimum
Third-time Violators	=	12 months minimum
Fourth-time Violators	=	Max out date with no community supervision

***Note:** Aggravating factors may be considered to extend the minimum times for revocators, as indicated above.

Examples of Some Aggravating Factors =
* Possession of a firearm
* Absconding out-of-state
* Unauthorized contact with a victim
* Serious new charge (Violent Offense)

4/7/17

Youthful Offender Institutional Services - Shock Incarceration Program

Included in the Department of Corrections' (SCDC) June 20, 2019 letter to the House Legislative Oversight Committee (LOC). This information was provided in response to the questions below in LOC's May 30, 2019 letter to the Department of Corrections.

Question #8. Please provide an entry to release timeline, similar to the one the agency provided for other inmates, applicable to the shock incarceration program.
Agency Response: Please see attached admission to release timeline and services for Shock Incarceration Program participants.

Question #9. Please provide a graphic of an average inmate day for an inmate in the shock incarceration program, including: (a) when the inmate is locked in their cell versus outside their cell; (b) which events require a guard escort (e.g., escort to academic class or programming, escort to medical services); and (c) other events which occur regularly, but may not occur daily (e.g., meeting with inmate classification worker)."
Agency Response: Officer escorts are provided as needed. At a minimum, sight and sound observation is required during movement. Events that may occur regularly but not daily include meeting with Case Manager, medical visits, mental health visits, individual counselling, community services projects, and family visitation.

South Carolina Department of Corrections
Division of Young Offender Parole and Reentry Services (YOPRS)
Young Offender Institutional Services (YOIS) - Shock Incarceration Program

Timeframe: From Admission to Shock Incarceration Program to Supervised Community Release (community supervision provided by SCDPPPS)*

*This is an overview of the day-to-day operations for young adults assigned in the Shock Incarceration Program located at Turbeville CI. This information includes 17 year olds and individuals serving Adult Straight Time sentences. Please note all services are individualized and based on the 90 day criteria for the Shock Incarceration Program.

1 - 14 Days	15 Days - 60 Days Prior to Release	<60 Days Prior to Release
ORIENTATION • Conduct Shock Incarcerated Program orientation within 24 hours of arrival to Shock Incarcerated Unit; • Young adults are taught formation and drill; • Conduct and analyze Risk/Asset Assessment (GRAD); • Develop an Individualized Rehabilitation Plan based on results of GRAD; • Place in education based on results of TABE testing; • Administer Case Management services to determine appropriate placement in group and individual services.	**REHABILITATION** • Attend a minimum of 3 hours of educational services daily; can include vocational trade if GED verified; • Attend daily group sessions consisting of interpersonal skills, life skills, parenting skills, anger management skills, money management, family focus, Impact of Crime and any other individualized needs; • Monthly Individual Counseling consisting of results from GRAD and rehabilitation plan; • Community meetings are conducted at the start of each day to provide motivation and at end of each day to bring closure to the day's activities and announce evening and the next day's plans; • Monthly Case Management conducted to monitor young adult's progress and/or lack of progress with Individualized Rehabilitation Plan, participation and adjustment in services based upon the individual's identified needs and assets; • Drill and Ceremony is practiced weekly to include marching to groups, activities and educational services; • Health and Wellness (PT) is conducted on a daily basis in the morning before program services begin; • Young adults provided opportunity to participate in community service.	**REENTRY** • Attend a minimum of 3 hours of educational services daily; can include vocational trade if GED verified; • Attend daily group sessions focused on reentry topics that consist of transitional planning, career readiness, family reunification, mock job fair and interviewing skills development; • Monthly Individual counseling focused on results from GRAD and rehabilitation plan; • Daily motivational and community meetings are conducted before the day is started and end of day to bring closure to the day's activities and announce evening and the next day's plans; • Monthly Case Management conducted to monitor young adult's progress and/or lack of progress with Individualized Rehabilitation Plan, participation and adjustment in services based upon the individual's identified needs and assets; • Drill and Ceremony is practiced weekly to include marching to groups, activities and educational services; • Health and Wellness (PT) is conducted on a daily basis in the morning before program services begin; • Young adults provided opportunity to participate in community service. • Graduation: Upon completion of the program, a graduation ceremony is conducted and the young adult is immediately released.



*Youthful Offender Institutional Services (YOIS) are provided at Turbeville CI, Trenton CI, Allendale CI and Camille Griffin Graham CI. They are delivered 8:00 a.m. – 4:00 p.m. Each institution maintains a unique daily schedule, but dedicates the same estimated percentage of time toward the delivery of the services. Cell restrictions may be imposed at the discretion of institutional security at the conclusion of the day, or as a response to disruption or safety concerns.

Costs for State House Detail

Included in the Department of Corrections' (SCDC) June 20, 2019 letter to the House Legislative Oversight Committee (LOC). This information was provided in response to the following question in LOC's May 30, 2019 letter to the Department of Corrections: "15. What are the costs of the State House detail? What do these costs include?"

STATE HOUSE DETAIL

The State House is considered a Labor crew under SC code of Law 24.3.140 which is no cost for labor. There are four – SCDC Officers on the detail (1) Lieutenant, (1) Corporal, and (2) Officers, which supervise 10 inmates. Based on salary to include Fringe benefits of 49.05% per employee the total operating cost per day is $806.16. Employees are paid 261 days per year. (365 days a year – 104 days weekends off)

Rank	Salary	Fringe Benefits	SCDC Total Cost
Lieutenant	$39,110.00	$19,183.46	$58,293.46
Corporal	$34,163.00	$16,756.96	$50,919.96
Officer	$32,986.00	$16,179.64	$49,165.64
Officer	$32,986.00	$16,179.64	$49,165.64

Salary ($208,174.70) divided by days worked (261) = 797.61/employee cost per day

Transportation operating cost includes gas, insurance, vehicle deprecation and cost of repairs at $.45 a mile. 261 days worked times 19 miles a day equals 4,959 annual miles a year. 4,959 times $.45 equals $2,231.55.

261 Days worked
X 19 Miles a day
4,959 Miles a Year

4,959 Miles Annually
X 0.45 operating cost per mile
$2,231.55

Total operating cost: $8.55 a day for transportation

$2,231.55 Transportation Operating cost per year Divided by 261 Working Days equals $8.55 a day.

Total cost per day to run State House Detail is $806.16 (employees and Transportation Cost)

Population Counts and Capacities

Included in the Department of Corrections' (SCDC) June 20, 2019 letter to the House Legislative Oversight Committee (LOC). This information was provided in response to the following question in LOC's May 30, 2019 letter to the Department of Corrections: "17. Over the last three years, for each month and each type of housing unit (i.e., restrictive housing, structured living, transition, general population, etc.), please provide the following information: (a) number of inmates in the unit; (b) average number of hours per inmate per week (seven days) in which inmates were out of their cell; (c) average number of times per inmate per week (seven days) in which inmates were provided the opportunity to shower. Please include any explanation the agency would like to provide for the numbers, or significant increases or decreases, in them, if any."

In addition to providing the information in this document, SCDC provided the following response:

(a) - Please see attached population counts and capacities.
(b) and (c) - Best correctional practices do not recommend tracking the above information for general population inmates; however, during a limited time during an extended lockdown SCDC did keep some data for general population inmates. SCDC did start tracking the information for RHU's within the last year utilizing the Offender Activity Tracking System (OATS), which is an automated system. The OATS was implemented through a pilot process within the last year in several units in some of our correctional institutions. Due to the success of this system, SCDC plans to implement statewide. SCDC has handwritten documents and OATS spread sheet entries which would require some time to compile in a format responsive to the specific questions. SCDC can provide copies of the volumes of documents if the committee so desires; however, all pages would need to be reviewed for redaction of private health or other unrelated information before submission.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
July 15, 2015

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	151	***80.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	151	***80.3%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	530	515	***97.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	530	515	***97.2%***
Lower Savannah Pre-Release Center	250	247	***98.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	250	247	***98.8%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	628	***71.7%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	10	***50.0%***	919	661	***71.9%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	242	***82.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	242	***82.9%***
Walden Correctional Institution	693	674	***97.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	693	674	***97.3%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,829***	***2,457***	***86.9%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***10***	***50.0%***	***2,872***	***2,490***	***86.7%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	965	1,057	***109.5%***	186	129	***69.4%***	34	28	***82.4%***	1,185	1,214	***102.4%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,187	1,176	***99.1%***	187	177	***94.7%***	36	34	***94.4%***	1,410	1,387	***98.4%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,257	1,331	***105.9%***	126	50	***39.7%***	20	13	***65.0%***	1,403	1,394	***99.4%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	643	***98.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	0	***0.0%***	672	643	***95.7%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,074	1,118	***104.1%***	89	62	***69.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,163	1,180	***101.5%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	266	***79.2%***	47	42	***89.4%***	336	203	***60.4%***	719	511	***71.1%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	536	468	***87.3%***	73	52	***71.2%***	889	445	***50.1%***	1,498	965	***64.4%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	941	1,101	***117.0%***	106	96	***90.6%***	112	77	***68.8%***	1,159	1,274	***109.9%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	919	917	***99.8%***	12	12	***100.0%***	62	38	***61.3%***	993	967	***97.4%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,867***	***8,077***	***102.7%***	***826***	***620***	***75.1%***	***1,509***	***838***	***55.5%***	***10,202***	***9,535***	***93.5%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,195	1,174	***98.2%***	105	97	***92.4%***	66	38	***57.6%***	1,366	1,309	***95.8%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	8	***80.0%***	88	85	***96.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	93	***94.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	361	281	***77.8%***	185	133	***71.9%***	256	136	***53.1%***	802	550	***68.6%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	976	751	***76.9%***	976	751	***76.9%***
Lee Correctional Institution	977	942	***96.4%***	366	345	***94.3%***	260	169	***65.0%***	1,603	1,456	***90.8%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	970	944	***97.3%***	396	310	***78.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,366	1,254	***91.8%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	890	883	***99.2%***	92	83	***90.2%***	146	136	***93.2%***	1,128	1,102	***97.7%***
Perry Correctional Institution	664	656	***98.8%***	282	253	***89.7%***	20	20	***100.0%***	966	929	***96.2%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,067***	***4,888***	***96.5%***	***1,514***	***1,306***	***86.3%***	***1,724***	***1,250***	***72.5%***	***8,305***	***7,444***	***89.6%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,763***	***15,422***	***97.8%***	***2,363***	***1,949***	***82.5%***	***3,253***	***2,098***	***64.5%***	***21,379***	***19,469***	***91.1%***
Level IV Institutions (Female) ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Goodman Correctional Institution (Min.)	307	234	***76.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	45	39	***86.7%***	352	273	***77.6%***
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	201	188	***93.5%***	171	94	***55.0%***	255	141	***55.3%***	627	423	***67.5%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	68	***81.0%***	84	68	***81.0%***
Leath Correctional Institution (Maximum)	516	469	***90.9%***	44	20	***45.5%***	96	78	***81.3%***	656	567	***86.4%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***1,024***	***891***	***87.0%***	***215***	***114***	***53.0%***	***480***	***326***	***67.9%***	***1,719***	***1,331***	***77.4%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,787***	***16,313***	***97.2%***	***2,578***	***2,063***	***80.0%***	***3,733***	***2,424***	***64.9%***	***23,098***	***20,800***	***90.1%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
August 15, 2015

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	157	***83.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	157	***83.5%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	530	517	***97.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	530	517	***97.5%***
Lower Savannah Pre-Release Center	250	250	***100.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	250	250	***100.0%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	596	***68.0%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	14	***70.0%***	919	633	***68.9%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	286	***97.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	286	***97.9%***
Walden Correctional Institution	693	669	***96.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	693	669	***96.5%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,829***	***2,475***	***87.5%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***14***	***70.0%***	***2,872***	***2,512***	***87.5%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	965	1,031	***106.8%***	186	117	***62.9%***	34	28	***82.4%***	1,185	1,176	***99.2%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,186	1,180	***99.5%***	188	177	***94.1%***	36	35	***97.2%***	1,410	1,392	***98.7%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,259	1,279	***101.6%***	126	71	***56.3%***	20	17	***85.0%***	1,405	1,367	***97.3%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	647	***99.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	2	***10.0%***	672	649	***96.6%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,075	1,128	***104.9%***	90	63	***70.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,165	1,191	***102.2%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	306	***91.1%***	47	39	***83.0%***	336	217	***64.6%***	719	562	***78.2%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	536	478	***89.2%***	73	61	***83.6%***	889	472	***53.1%***	1,498	1,011	***67.5%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	942	1,108	***117.6%***	106	96	***90.6%***	112	58	***51.8%***	1,160	1,262	***108.8%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	919	936	***101.8%***	12	10	***83.3%***	62	50	***80.6%***	993	996	***100.3%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,870***	***8,093***	***102.8%***	***828***	***634***	***76.6%***	***1,509***	***879***	***58.3%***	***10,207***	***9,606***	***94.1%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,199	1,184	***98.7%***	105	97	***92.4%***	66	36	***54.5%***	1,370	1,317	***96.1%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	8	***80.0%***	88	86	***97.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	94	***95.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	361	294	***81.4%***	185	119	***64.3%***	256	138	***53.9%***	802	551	***68.7%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	977	716	***73.3%***	977	716	***73.3%***
Lee Correctional Institution	976	943	***96.6%***	369	356	***96.5%***	260	122	***46.9%***	1,605	1,421	***88.5%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	971	946	***97.4%***	396	304	***76.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,367	1,250	***91.4%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	890	887	***99.7%***	92	82	***89.1%***	146	137	***93.8%***	1,128	1,106	***98.0%***
Perry Correctional Institution	664	652	***98.2%***	282	249	***88.3%***	20	20	***100.0%***	966	921	***95.3%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,071***	***4,914***	***96.9%***	***1,517***	***1,293***	***85.2%***	***1,725***	***1,169***	***67.8%***	***8,313***	***7,376***	***88.7%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,770***	***15,482***	***98.2%***	***2,368***	***1,950***	***82.3%***	***3,254***	***2,062***	***63.4%***	***21,392***	***19,494***	***91.1%***
Level IV Institutions (Female) ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Goodman Correctional Institution (Min.)	307	227	***73.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	45	40	***88.9%***	352	267	***75.9%***
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	201	189	***94.0%***	171	98	***57.3%***	255	136	***53.3%***	627	423	***67.5%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	113	***134.5%***	84	113	***134.5%***
Leath Correctional Institution (Maximum)	516	458	***88.8%***	44	23	***52.3%***	96	76	***79.2%***	656	557	***84.9%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***1,024***	***874***	***85.4%***	***215***	***121***	***56.3%***	***480***	***365***	***76.0%***	***1,719***	***1,360***	***79.1%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,794***	***16,356***	***97.4%***	***2,583***	***2,071***	***80.2%***	***3,734***	***2,427***	***65.0%***	***23,111***	***20,854***	***90.2%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
September 15, 2015

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	164	***87.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	164	***87.2%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	530	516	***97.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	530	516	***97.4%***
Lower Savannah Pre-Release Center	250	240	***96.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	250	240	***96.0%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	579	***66.1%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	14	***70.0%***	919	616	***67.0%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	289	***99.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	289	***99.0%***
Walden Correctional Institution	693	653	***94.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	693	653	***94.2%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,829***	***2,441***	***86.3%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***14***	***70.0%***	***2,872***	***2,478***	***86.3%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	967	1,052	***108.8%***	186	119	***64.0%***	34	23	***67.6%***	1,187	1,194	***100.6%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,188	1,153	***97.1%***	188	178	***94.7%***	36	34	***94.4%***	1,412	1,365	***96.7%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,258	1,271	***101.0%***	126	67	***53.2%***	20	19	***95.0%***	1,404	1,357	***96.7%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	647	***99.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	1	***5.0%***	672	648	***96.4%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,074	1,135	***105.7%***	90	51	***56.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,164	1,186	***101.9%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	324	***96.4%***	47	33	***70.2%***	336	233	***69.3%***	719	590	***82.1%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	522	496	***95.0%***	73	58	***79.5%***	890	480	***53.9%***	1,485	1,034	***69.6%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	941	1,098	***116.7%***	106	93	***87.7%***	112	65	***58.0%***	1,159	1,256	***108.4%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	919	928	***101.0%***	11	10	***90.9%***	62	44	***71.0%***	992	982	***99.0%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,857***	***8,104***	***103.1%***	***827***	***609***	***73.6%***	***1,510***	***899***	***59.5%***	***10,194***	***9,612***	***94.3%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,199	1,161	***96.8%***	105	97	***92.4%***	66	35	***53.0%***	1,370	1,293	***94.4%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	8	***80.0%***	88	87	***98.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	95	***96.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	361	285	***78.9%***	185	124	***67.0%***	256	137	***53.5%***	802	546	***68.1%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	977	743	***76.0%***	977	743	***76.0%***
Lee Correctional Institution	976	949	***97.2%***	367	345	***94.0%***	260	136	***52.3%***	1,603	1,430	***89.2%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	972	951	***97.8%***	395	306	***77.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,367	1,257	***92.0%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	872	***98.4%***	44	44	***100.0%***	146	138	***94.5%***	1,076	1,054	***98.0%***
Perry Correctional Institution	666	656	***98.5%***	282	254	***90.1%***	20	19	***95.0%***	968	929	***96.0%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,070***	***4,882***	***96.3%***	***1,466***	***1,257***	***85.7%***	***1,725***	***1,208***	***70.0%***	***8,261***	***7,347***	***88.9%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,756***	***15,427***	***97.9%***	***2,316***	***1,889***	***81.6%***	***3,255***	***2,121***	***65.2%***	***21,327***	***19,437***	***91.1%***
Level IV Institutions (Female) ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Goodman Correctional Institution (Min.)	307	228	***74.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	45	41	***91.1%***	352	269	***76.4%***
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	201	192	***95.5%***	171	100	***58.5%***	255	132	***51.8%***	627	424	***67.6%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	125	***148.8%***	84	125	***148.8%***
Leath Correctional Institution (Maximum)	516	461	***89.3%***	44	17	***38.6%***	96	82	***85.4%***	656	560	***85.4%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***1,024***	***881***	***86.0%***	***215***	***117***	***54.4%***	***480***	***380***	***79.2%***	***1,719***	***1,378***	***80.2%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,780***	***16,308***	***97.2%***	***2,531***	***2,006***	***79.3%***	***3,735***	***2,501***	***67.0%***	***23,046***	***20,815***	***90.3%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
October 15, 2015

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	151	***80.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	151	***80.3%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	530	513	***96.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	530	513	***96.8%***
Lower Savannah Pre-Release Center	250	218	***87.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	250	218	***87.2%***
Manning Correctional Institution	875	582	***66.5%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	14	***70.0%***	918	619	***67.4%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	280	***95.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	280	***95.9%***
Walden Correctional Institution	694	665	***95.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	694	665	***95.8%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,829***	***2,409***	***85.2%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***14***	***70.0%***	***2,872***	***2,446***	***85.2%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	967	1,038	***107.3%***	186	124	***66.7%***	34	25	***73.5%***	1,187	1,187	***100.0%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,188	1,165	***98.1%***	188	168	***89.4%***	36	33	***91.7%***	1,412	1,366	***96.7%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,258	1,281	***101.8%***	126	57	***45.2%***	20	20	***100.0%***	1,404	1,358	***96.7%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	608	***93.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	1	***5.0%***	672	609	***90.6%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,075	1,122	***104.4%***	90	49	***54.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,165	1,171	***100.5%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	324	***96.4%***	47	35	***74.5%***	336	224	***66.7%***	719	583	***81.1%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	525	497	***94.7%***	73	61	***83.6%***	890	480	***53.9%***	1,488	1,038	***69.8%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	941	1,102	***117.1%***	106	96	***90.6%***	112	64	***57.1%***	1,159	1,262	***108.9%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	919	877	***95.4%***	12	12	***100.0%***	62	33	***53.2%***	993	922	***92.8%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,861***	***8,014***	***101.9%***	***828***	***602***	***72.7%***	***1,510***	***880***	***58.3%***	***10,199***	***9,496***	***93.1%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,197	1,161	***97.0%***	105	94	***89.5%***	66	31	***47.0%***	1,368	1,286	***94.0%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	8	***80.0%***	88	80	***90.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	88	***89.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	361	277	***76.7%***	185	127	***68.6%***	256	120	***46.9%***	802	524	***65.3%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	977	817	***83.6%***	977	817	***83.6%***
Lee Correctional Institution	973	942	***96.8%***	367	350	***95.4%***	246	147	***59.8%***	1,586	1,439	***90.7%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	972	945	***97.2%***	395	310	***78.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,367	1,255	***91.8%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	866	***97.7%***	44	44	***100.0%***	146	140	***95.9%***	1,076	1,050	***97.6%***
Perry Correctional Institution	666	661	***99.2%***	282	247	***87.6%***	20	19	***95.0%***	968	927	***95.8%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,065***	***4,860***	***96.0%***	***1,466***	***1,252***	***85.4%***	***1,711***	***1,274***	***74.5%***	***8,242***	***7,386***	***89.6%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,755***	***15,283***	***97.0%***	***2,317***	***1,877***	***81.0%***	***3,241***	***2,168***	***66.9%***	***21,313***	***19,328***	***90.7%***
Level IV Institutions (Female) ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Goodman Correctional Institution (Min.)	307	222	***72.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	45	36	***80.0%***	352	258	***73.3%***
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	201	191	***95.0%***	171	100	***58.5%***	255	134	***52.5%***	627	425	***67.8%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	111	***132.1%***	84	111	***132.1%***
Leath Correctional Institution (Maximum)	516	450	***87.2%***	44	24	***54.5%***	96	88	***91.7%***	656	562	***85.7%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***1,024***	***863***	***84.3%***	***215***	***124***	***57.7%***	***480***	***369***	***76.9%***	***1,719***	***1,356***	***78.9%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,779***	***16,146***	***96.2%***	***2,532***	***2,001***	***79.0%***	***3,721***	***2,537***	***68.2%***	***23,032***	***20,684***	***89.8%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
November 15, 2015

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	135	***71.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	135	***71.8%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	530	512	***96.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	530	512	***96.6%***
Lower Savannah Pre-Release Center	250	199	***79.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	250	199	***79.6%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	618	***70.5%***	23	22	***95.7%***	20	13	***65.0%***	919	653	***71.1%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	251	***86.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	251	***86.0%***
Walden Correctional Institution	694	669	***96.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	694	669	***96.4%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,830***	***2,384***	***84.2%***	***23***	***22***	***95.7%***	***20***	***13***	***65.0%***	***2,873***	***2,419***	***84.2%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	966	1,015	***105.1%***	186	131	***70.4%***	34	25	***73.5%***	1,186	1,171	***98.7%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,189	1,160	***97.6%***	188	166	***88.3%***	36	33	***91.7%***	1,413	1,359	***96.2%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,258	1,244	***98.9%***	126	67	***53.2%***	20	20	***100.0%***	1,404	1,331	***94.8%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	625	***95.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	1	***5.0%***	672	626	***93.2%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,075	1,122	***104.4%***	90	58	***64.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,165	1,180	***101.3%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	324	***96.4%***	47	43	***91.5%***	336	216	***64.3%***	719	583	***81.1%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	525	494	***94.1%***	73	59	***80.8%***	890	452	***50.8%***	1,488	1,005	***67.5%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	940	1,105	***117.6%***	106	96	***90.6%***	112	54	***48.2%***	1,158	1,255	***108.4%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	919	855	***93.0%***	12	11	***91.7%***	62	30	***48.4%***	993	896	***90.2%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,860***	***7,944***	***101.1%***	***828***	***631***	***76.2%***	***1,510***	***831***	***55.0%***	***10,198***	***9,406***	***92.2%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,198	1,168	***97.5%***	105	97	***92.4%***	66	29	***43.9%***	1,369	1,294	***94.5%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	6	***60.0%***	88	83	***94.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	89	***90.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	361	278	***77.0%***	185	137	***74.1%***	256	116	***45.3%***	802	531	***66.2%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	977	933	***95.5%***	977	933	***95.5%***
Lee Correctional Institution	974	946	***97.1%***	366	338	***92.3%***	246	147	***59.8%***	1,586	1,431	***90.2%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	972	939	***96.6%***	394	300	***76.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,366	1,239	***90.7%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	853	***96.3%***	44	44	***100.0%***	146	139	***95.2%***	1,076	1,036	***96.3%***
Perry Correctional Institution	682	667	***97.8%***	266	228	***85.7%***	20	18	***90.0%***	968	913	***94.3%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,083***	***4,857***	***95.6%***	***1,448***	***1,227***	***84.7%***	***1,711***	***1,382***	***80.8%***	***8,242***	***7,466***	***90.6%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,773***	***15,185***	***96.3%***	***2,299***	***1,880***	***81.8%***	***3,241***	***2,226***	***68.7%***	***21,313***	***19,291***	***90.5%***
Level IV Institutions (Female) ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Goodman Correctional Institution (Min.)	308	217	***70.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	45	40	***88.9%***	353	257	***72.8%***
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	201	183	***91.0%***	171	101	***59.1%***	255	123	***48.2%***	627	407	***64.9%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	121	***144.0%***	84	121	***144.0%***
Leath Correctional Institution (Maximum)	516	476	***92.2%***	44	17	***38.6%***	96	85	***88.5%***	656	578	***88.1%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***1,025***	***876***	***85.5%***	***215***	***118***	***54.9%***	***480***	***369***	***76.9%***	***1,720***	***1,363***	***79.2%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,798***	***16,061***	***95.6%***	***2,514***	***1,998***	***79.5%***	***3,721***	***2,595***	***69.7%***	***23,033***	***20,654***	***89.7%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
December 15, 2015

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	159	***84.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	159	***84.6%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	529	508	***96.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	529	508	***96.0%***
Lower Savannah Pre-Release Center	250	207	***82.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	250	207	***82.8%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	650	***74.2%***	23	18	***78.3%***	20	12	***60.0%***	919	680	***74.0%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	229	***78.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	229	***78.4%***
Walden Correctional Institution	694	676	***97.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	694	676	***97.4%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,829***	***2,429***	***85.9%***	***23***	***18***	***78.3%***	***20***	***12***	***60.0%***	***2,872***	***2,459***	***85.6%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	965	1,062	***110.1%***	186	107	***57.5%***	34	26	***76.5%***	1,185	1,195	***100.8%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,190	1,185	***99.6%***	188	112	***59.6%***	36	35	***97.2%***	1,414	1,332	***94.2%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,196	1,169	***97.7%***	189	109	***57.7%***	20	12	***60.0%***	1,405	1,290	***91.8%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	614	***94.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	1	***5.0%***	672	615	***91.5%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,079	1,101	***102.0%***	90	68	***75.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,169	1,169	***100.0%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	320	***95.2%***	47	39	***83.0%***	336	220	***65.5%***	719	579	***80.5%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	525	460	***87.6%***	73	46	***63.0%***	889	441	***49.6%***	1,487	947	***63.7%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	940	1,101	***117.1%***	106	96	***90.6%***	112	55	***49.1%***	1,158	1,252	***108.1%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	919	896	***97.5%***	12	11	***91.7%***	62	33	***53.2%***	993	940	***94.7%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,802***	***7,908***	***101.4%***	***891***	***588***	***66.0%***	***1,509***	***823***	***54.5%***	***10,202***	***9,319***	***91.3%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,198	1,173	***97.9%***	103	85	***82.5%***	64	30	***46.9%***	1,365	1,288	***94.4%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	88	84	***95.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	91	***92.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	362	265	***73.2%***	185	137	***74.1%***	256	118	***46.1%***	803	520	***64.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	977	925	***94.7%***	977	925	***94.7%***
Lee Correctional Institution	1,038	952	***91.7%***	367	340	***92.6%***	246	168	***68.3%***	1,651	1,460	***88.4%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	971	936	***96.4%***	397	293	***73.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,368	1,229	***89.8%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	851	***96.0%***	92	78	***84.8%***	146	133	***91.1%***	1,124	1,062	***94.5%***
Perry Correctional Institution	680	667	***98.1%***	266	234	***88.0%***	20	19	***95.0%***	966	920	***95.2%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,145***	***4,851***	***94.3%***	***1,498***	***1,251***	***83.5%***	***1,709***	***1,393***	***81.5%***	***8,352***	***7,495***	***89.7%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,776***	***15,188***	***96.3%***	***2,412***	***1,857***	***77.0%***	***3,238***	***2,228***	***68.8%***	***21,426***	***19,273***	***90.0%***
Level IV Institutions (Female) ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Goodman Correctional Institution (Min.)	308	216	***70.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	45	39	***86.7%***	353	255	***72.2%***
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	201	170	***84.6%***	171	98	***57.3%***	255	136	***53.3%***	627	404	***64.4%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	101	***120.2%***	84	101	***120.2%***
Leath Correctional Institution (Maximum)	516	481	***93.2%***	44	26	***59.1%***	96	80	***83.3%***	656	587	***89.5%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***1,025***	***867***	***84.6%***	***215***	***124***	***57.7%***	***480***	***356***	***74.2%***	***1,720***	***1,347***	***78.3%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,801***	***16,055***	***95.6%***	***2,627***	***1,981***	***75.4%***	***3,718***	***2,584***	***69.5%***	***23,146***	***20,620***	***89.1%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
January 15, 2016

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	150	***79.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	150	***79.8%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	529	504	***95.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	529	504	***95.3%***
Lower Savannah Pre-Release Center	250	183	***73.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	250	183	***73.2%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	626	***71.5%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	16	***80.0%***	919	665	***72.4%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	260	***89.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	260	***89.0%***
Walden Correctional Institution	694	674	***97.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	694	674	***97.1%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,829***	***2,397***	***84.7%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***16***	***80.0%***	***2,872***	***2,436***	***84.8%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	967	1,055	***109.1%***	184	110	***59.8%***	34	24	***70.6%***	1,185	1,189	***100.3%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,189	1,187	***99.8%***	188	63	***33.5%***	36	35	***97.2%***	1,413	1,285	***90.9%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,195	1,178	***98.6%***	190	125	***65.8%***	20	16	***80.0%***	1,405	1,319	***93.9%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	603	***92.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	2	***10.0%***	672	605	***90.0%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,077	1,113	***103.3%***	90	66	***73.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,167	1,179	***101.0%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	330	***98.2%***	47	20	***42.6%***	336	227	***67.6%***	719	577	***80.3%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	611	509	***83.3%***	73	38	***52.1%***	811	470	***58.0%***	1,495	1,017	***68.0%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	939	1,105	***117.7%***	106	90	***84.9%***	112	62	***55.4%***	1,157	1,257	***108.6%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	965	809	***83.8%***	12	11	***91.7%***	15	0	***0.0%***	992	820	***82.7%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,931***	***7,889***	***99.5%***	***890***	***523***	***58.8%***	***1,384***	***836***	***60.4%***	***10,205***	***9,248***	***90.6%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,196	1,164	***97.3%***	105	94	***89.5%***	64	43	***67.2%***	1,365	1,301	***95.3%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	8	***80.0%***	88	86	***97.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	94	***95.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	363	259	***71.3%***	185	126	***68.1%***	256	116	***45.3%***	804	501	***62.3%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	977	947	***96.9%***	977	947	***96.9%***
Lee Correctional Institution	1,034	957	***92.6%***	367	340	***92.6%***	246	155	***63.0%***	1,647	1,452	***88.2%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	971	936	***96.4%***	397	293	***73.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,368	1,229	***89.8%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	883	849	***96.1%***	92	77	***83.7%***	146	131	***89.7%***	1,121	1,057	***94.3%***
Perry Correctional Institution	685	677	***98.8%***	265	232	***87.5%***	20	20	***100.0%***	970	929	***95.8%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,142***	***4,850***	***94.3%***	***1,499***	***1,248***	***83.3%***	***1,709***	***1,412***	***82.6%***	***8,350***	***7,510***	***89.9%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,902***	***15,136***	***95.2%***	***2,412***	***1,794***	***74.4%***	***3,113***	***2,264***	***72.7%***	***21,427***	***19,194***	***89.6%***
Level IV Institutions (Female) ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Goodman Correctional Institution (Min.)	308	225	***73.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	45	34	***75.6%***	353	259	***73.4%***
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	201	170	***84.6%***	171	98	***57.3%***	255	141	***55.3%***	627	409	***65.2%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	110	***131.0%***	84	110	***131.0%***
Leath Correctional Institution (Maximum)	516	474	***91.9%***	44	26	***59.1%***	96	81	***84.4%***	656	581	***88.6%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***1,025***	***869***	***84.8%***	***215***	***124***	***57.7%***	***480***	***366***	***76.3%***	***1,720***	***1,359***	***79.0%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,927***	***16,005***	***94.6%***	***2,627***	***1,918***	***73.0%***	***3,593***	***2,630***	***73.2%***	***23,147***	***20,553***	***88.8%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
February 15, 2016

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	154	***81.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	154	***81.9%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	529	515	***97.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	529	515	***97.4%***
Lower Savannah Pre-Release Center	250	181	***72.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	250	181	***72.4%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	616	***70.3%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	17	***85.0%***	919	656	***71.4%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	255	***87.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	255	***87.3%***
Walden Correctional Institution	694	642	***92.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	694	642	***92.5%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,829***	***2,363***	***83.5%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***17***	***85.0%***	***2,872***	***2,403***	***83.7%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	968	1,077	***111.3%***	185	104	***56.2%***	34	23	***67.6%***	1,187	1,204	***101.4%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,207	1,171	***97.0%***	98	74	***75.5%***	36	36	***100.0%***	1,341	1,281	***95.5%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,194	1,145	***95.9%***	190	114	***60.0%***	20	20	***100.0%***	1,404	1,279	***91.1%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	645	***98.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	2	***10.0%***	672	647	***96.3%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,076	1,138	***105.8%***	90	61	***67.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,166	1,199	***102.8%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	331	***98.5%***	47	36	***76.6%***	336	221	***65.8%***	719	588	***81.8%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	611	544	***89.0%***	42	32	***76.2%***	816	475	***58.2%***	1,469	1,051	***71.5%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	940	1,104	***117.4%***	106	84	***79.2%***	112	66	***58.9%***	1,158	1,254	***108.3%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	981	886	***90.3%***	11	9	***81.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	992	895	***90.2%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,965***	***8,041***	***101.0%***	***769***	***514***	***66.8%***	***1,374***	***843***	***61.4%***	***10,108***	***9,398***	***93.0%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,196	1,158	***96.8%***	105	94	***89.5%***	64	43	***67.2%***	1,365	1,295	***94.9%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	6	***60.0%***	88	85	***96.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	91	***92.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	261	***71.7%***	185	126	***68.1%***	256	112	***43.8%***	805	499	***62.0%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	977	829	***84.9%***	977	829	***84.9%***
Lee Correctional Institution	1,036	961	***92.8%***	367	343	***93.5%***	246	182	***74.0%***	1,649	1,486	***90.1%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	971	920	***94.7%***	400	297	***74.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,371	1,217	***88.8%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	847	***95.6%***	91	75	***82.4%***	146	137	***93.8%***	1,123	1,059	***94.3%***
Perry Correctional Institution	686	683	***99.6%***	266	236	***88.7%***	20	20	***100.0%***	972	939	***96.6%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,149***	***4,836***	***93.9%***	***1,502***	***1,256***	***83.6%***	***1,709***	***1,323***	***77.4%***	***8,360***	***7,415***	***88.7%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,943***	***15,240***	***95.6%***	***2,294***	***1,793***	***78.2%***	***3,103***	***2,183***	***70.4%***	***21,340***	***19,216***	***90.0%***
Level IV Institutions (Female) ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Goodman Correctional Institution (Min.)	308	207	***67.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	45	40	***88.9%***	353	247	***70.0%***
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	201	184	***91.5%***	171	90	***52.6%***	255	143	***56.1%***	627	417	***66.5%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	121	***144.0%***	84	121	***144.0%***
Leath Correctional Institution (Maximum)	516	491	***95.2%***	44	11	***25.0%***	96	73	***76.0%***	656	575	***87.7%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***1,025***	***882***	***86.0%***	***215***	***101***	***47.0%***	***480***	***377***	***78.5%***	***1,720***	***1,360***	***79.1%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,968***	***16,122***	***95.0%***	***2,509***	***1,894***	***75.5%***	***3,583***	***2,560***	***71.4%***	***23,060***	***20,576***	***89.2%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
March 15, 2016

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	153	***81.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	153	***81.4%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	530	502	***94.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	530	502	***94.7%***
Lower Savannah Pre-Release Center	250	182	***72.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	250	182	***72.8%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	583	***66.6%***	23	21	***91.3%***	20	17	***85.0%***	919	621	***67.6%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	254	***87.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	254	***87.0%***
Walden Correctional Institution	694	648	***93.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	694	648	***93.4%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,830***	***2,322***	***82.0%***	***23***	***21***	***91.3%***	***20***	***17***	***85.0%***	***2,873***	***2,360***	***82.1%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	968	1,092	***112.8%***	186	97	***52.2%***	34	25	***73.5%***	1,188	1,214	***102.2%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,207	1,161	***96.2%***	98	82	***83.7%***	36	35	***97.2%***	1,341	1,278	***95.3%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,195	1,169	***97.8%***	160	126	***78.8%***	20	20	***100.0%***	1,375	1,315	***95.6%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	634	***97.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	1	***5.0%***	672	635	***94.5%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,076	1,085	***100.8%***	90	65	***72.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,166	1,150	***98.6%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	332	***98.8%***	47	22	***46.8%***	336	225	***67.0%***	719	579	***80.5%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	611	571	***93.5%***	40	32	***80.0%***	816	466	***57.1%***	1,467	1,069	***72.9%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	943	1,110	***117.7%***	106	81	***76.4%***	112	58	***51.8%***	1,161	1,249	***107.6%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	981	871	***88.8%***	12	11	***91.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	993	882	***88.8%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,969***	***8,025***	***100.7%***	***739***	***516***	***69.8%***	***1,374***	***830***	***60.4%***	***10,082***	***9,371***	***92.9%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,261	1,189	***94.3%***	100	84	***84.0%***	66	40	***60.6%***	1,427	1,313	***92.0%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	88	84	***95.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	91	***92.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	249	***68.4%***	185	121	***65.4%***	256	121	***47.3%***	805	491	***61.0%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	977	856	***87.6%***	977	856	***87.6%***
Lee Correctional Institution	975	961	***98.6%***	339	314	***92.6%***	247	195	***78.9%***	1,561	1,470	***94.2%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,052	963	***91.5%***	400	260	***65.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,452	1,223	***84.2%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	853	***96.3%***	92	77	***83.7%***	146	133	***91.1%***	1,124	1,063	***94.6%***
Perry Correctional Institution	686	671	***97.8%***	266	238	***89.5%***	20	20	***100.0%***	972	929	***95.6%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,234***	***4,893***	***93.5%***	***1,470***	***1,178***	***80.1%***	***1,712***	***1,365***	***79.7%***	***8,416***	***7,436***	***88.4%***
TOTAL MALES	***16,033***	***15,240***	***95.1%***	***2,232***	***1,715***	***76.8%***	***3,106***	***2,212***	***71.2%***	***21,371***	***19,167***	***89.7%***
Level IV Institutions (Female) ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Goodman Correctional Institution (Min.)	308	196	***63.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	45	42	***93.3%***	353	238	***67.4%***
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	201	191	***95.0%***	171	97	***56.7%***	255	150	***58.8%***	627	438	***69.9%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	114	***135.7%***	84	114	***135.7%***
Leath Correctional Institution (Maximum)	516	489	***94.8%***	44	13	***29.5%***	96	84	***87.5%***	656	586	***89.3%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***1,025***	***876***	***85.5%***	***215***	***110***	***51.2%***	***480***	***390***	***81.3%***	***1,720***	***1,376***	***80.0%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***17,058***	***16,116***	***94.5%***	***2,447***	***1,825***	***74.6%***	***3,586***	***2,602***	***72.6%***	***23,091***	***20,543***	***89.0%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
April 15, 2016

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	146	***77.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	146	***77.7%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	530	495	***93.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	530	495	***93.4%***
Lower Savannah Pre-Release Center	250	155	***62.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	250	155	***62.0%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	592	***67.6%***	23	20	***87.0%***	20	2	***10.0%***	919	614	***66.8%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	242	***82.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	242	***82.9%***
Walden Correctional Institution	694	659	***95.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	694	659	***95.0%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,830***	***2,289***	***80.9%***	***23***	***20***	***87.0%***	***20***	***2***	***10.0%***	***2,873***	***2,311***	***80.4%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	968	1,035	***106.9%***	184	81	***44.0%***	34	25	***73.5%***	1,186	1,141	***96.2%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,208	1,188	***98.3%***	99	86	***86.9%***	36	35	***97.2%***	1,343	1,309	***97.5%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,194	1,180	***98.8%***	160	119	***74.4%***	20	17	***85.0%***	1,374	1,316	***95.8%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	606	***92.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	0	***0.0%***	672	606	***90.2%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,075	1,118	***104.0%***	90	70	***77.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,165	1,188	***102.0%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	325	***96.7%***	47	32	***68.1%***	336	211	***62.8%***	719	568	***79.0%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	612	589	***96.2%***	40	35	***87.5%***	816	493	***60.4%***	1,468	1,117	***76.1%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	990	1,139	***115.1%***	106	80	***75.5%***	64	54	***84.4%***	1,160	1,273	***109.7%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	899	824	***91.7%***	12	12	***100.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	911	836	***91.8%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,934***	***8,004***	***100.9%***	***738***	***515***	***69.8%***	***1,326***	***835***	***63.0%***	***9,998***	***9,354***	***93.6%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,228	1,181	***96.2%***	132	51	***38.6%***	66	49	***74.2%***	1,426	1,281	***89.8%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	88	85	***96.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	92	***93.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	246	***67.6%***	185	120	***64.9%***	256	121	***47.3%***	805	487	***60.5%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	976	915	***93.8%***	976	915	***93.8%***
Lee Correctional Institution	974	966	***99.2%***	339	313	***92.3%***	251	164	***65.3%***	1,564	1,443	***92.3%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	971	933	***96.1%***	418	306	***73.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,389	1,239	***89.2%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	883	***99.7%***	92	76	***82.6%***	146	137	***93.8%***	1,124	1,096	***97.5%***
Perry Correctional Institution	686	663	***96.6%***	266	221	***83.1%***	20	19	***95.0%***	972	903	***92.9%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,119***	***4,879***	***95.3%***	***1,520***	***1,172***	***77.1%***	***1,715***	***1,405***	***81.9%***	***8,354***	***7,456***	***89.3%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,883***	***15,172***	***95.5%***	***2,281***	***1,707***	***74.8%***	***3,061***	***2,242***	***73.2%***	***21,225***	***19,121***	***90.1%***
Level IV Institutions (Female) ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Goodman Correctional Institution (Min.)	308	208	***67.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	45	36	***80.0%***	353	244	***69.1%***
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	201	190	***94.5%***	171	102	***59.6%***	255	145	***56.9%***	627	437	***69.7%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	112	***133.3%***	84	112	***133.3%***
Leath Correctional Institution (Maximum)	516	500	***96.9%***	44	13	***29.5%***	96	79	***82.3%***	656	592	***90.2%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***1,025***	***898***	***87.6%***	***215***	***115***	***53.5%***	***480***	***372***	***77.5%***	***1,720***	***1,385***	***80.5%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,908***	***16,070***	***95.0%***	***2,496***	***1,822***	***73.0%***	***3,541***	***2,614***	***73.8%***	***22,945***	***20,506***	***89.4%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
May 15, 2016

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	146	***77.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	146	***77.7%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	528	488	***92.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	528	488	***92.4%***
Lower Savannah Pre-Release Center	250	125	***50.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	250	125	***50.0%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	575	***65.6%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	11	***55.0%***	919	609	***66.3%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	223	***76.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	223	***76.4%***
Walden Correctional Institution	694	638	***91.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	694	638	***91.9%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,828***	***2,195***	***77.6%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***11***	***55.0%***	***2,871***	***2,229***	***77.6%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	968	1,002	***103.5%***	184	103	***56.0%***	34	25	***73.5%***	1,186	1,130	***95.3%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,206	1,185	***98.3%***	100	86	***86.0%***	36	36	***100.0%***	1,342	1,307	***97.4%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,195	1,122	***93.9%***	159	132	***83.0%***	20	15	***75.0%***	1,374	1,269	***92.4%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	611	***93.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	3	***15.0%***	672	614	***91.4%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,074	1,099	***102.3%***	89	70	***78.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,163	1,169	***100.5%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	297	***88.4%***	47	27	***57.4%***	336	190	***56.5%***	719	514	***71.5%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	612	591	***96.6%***	41	36	***87.8%***	816	515	***63.1%***	1,469	1,142	***77.7%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	992	1,155	***116.4%***	106	86	***81.1%***	62	53	***85.5%***	1,160	1,294	***111.6%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	791	***90.7%***	12	12	***100.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	803	***90.8%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,907***	***7,853***	***99.3%***	***738***	***552***	***74.8%***	***1,324***	***837***	***63.2%***	***9,969***	***9,242***	***92.7%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,229	1,189	***96.7%***	84	59	***70.2%***	66	44	***66.7%***	1,379	1,292	***93.7%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	6	***60.0%***	88	83	***94.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	89	***90.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	238	***65.4%***	185	134	***72.4%***	256	126	***49.2%***	805	498	***61.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	976	1,160	***118.9%***	976	1,160	***118.9%***
Lee Correctional Institution	973	960	***98.7%***	339	305	***90.0%***	251	143	***57.0%***	1,563	1,408	***90.1%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	971	929	***95.7%***	418	310	***74.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,389	1,239	***89.2%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	859	***97.0%***	91	73	***80.2%***	146	132	***90.4%***	1,123	1,064	***94.7%***
Perry Correctional Institution	686	647	***94.3%***	265	223	***84.2%***	20	20	***100.0%***	971	890	***91.7%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,119***	***4,828***	***94.3%***	***1,470***	***1,187***	***80.7%***	***1,715***	***1,625***	***94.8%***	***8,304***	***7,640***	***92.0%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,854***	***14,876***	***93.8%***	***2,231***	***1,762***	***79.0%***	***3,059***	***2,473***	***80.8%***	***21,144***	***19,111***	***90.4%***
Level IV Institutions (Female) ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Goodman Correctional Institution (Min.)	308	201	***65.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	45	38	***84.4%***	353	239	***67.7%***
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	201	189	***94.0%***	171	134	***78.4%***	255	144	***56.5%***	627	467	***74.5%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	126	***150.0%***	84	126	***150.0%***
Leath Correctional Institution (Maximum)	329	321	***97.6%***	223	186	***83.4%***	100	86	***86.0%***	652	593	***91.0%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***838***	***711***	***84.8%***	***394***	***320***	***81.2%***	***484***	***394***	***81.4%***	***1,716***	***1,425***	***83.0%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,692***	***15,587***	***93.4%***	***2,625***	***2,082***	***79.3%***	***3,543***	***2,867***	***80.9%***	***22,860***	***20,536***	***89.8%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
June 15, 2016

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	162	***86.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	162	***86.2%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	530	522	***98.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	530	522	***98.5%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	612	***69.9%***	23	21	***91.3%***	20	13	***65.0%***	919	646	***70.3%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	253	***86.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	253	***86.6%***
Walden Correctional Institution	694	626	***90.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	694	626	***90.2%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,580***	***2,175***	***84.3%***	***23***	***21***	***91.3%***	***20***	***13***	***65.0%***	***2,623***	***2,209***	***84.2%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	967	1,028	***106.3%***	182	61	***33.5%***	34	28	***82.4%***	1,183	1,117	***94.4%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,208	1,197	***99.1%***	100	85	***85.0%***	36	36	***100.0%***	1,344	1,318	***98.1%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,195	1,178	***98.6%***	160	123	***76.9%***	20	17	***85.0%***	1,375	1,318	***95.9%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	628	***96.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	1	***5.0%***	672	629	***93.6%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,079	1,128	***104.5%***	90	71	***78.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,169	1,199	***102.6%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	386	322	***83.4%***	47	34	***72.3%***	286	201	***70.3%***	719	557	***77.5%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	611	590	***96.6%***	40	36	***90.0%***	816	521	***63.8%***	1,467	1,147	***78.2%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	992	1,154	***116.3%***	106	77	***72.6%***	62	60	***96.8%***	1,160	1,291	***111.3%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	803	***92.1%***	12	8	***66.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	811	***91.7%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,962***	***8,028***	***100.8%***	***737***	***495***	***67.2%***	***1,274***	***864***	***67.8%***	***9,973***	***9,387***	***94.1%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,228	1,182	***96.3%***	84	59	***70.2%***	66	41	***62.1%***	1,378	1,282	***93.0%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	5	***50.0%***	88	86	***97.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	91	***92.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	259	***71.2%***	185	122	***65.9%***	256	127	***49.6%***	805	508	***63.1%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	976	1,079	***110.6%***	976	1,079	***110.6%***
Lee Correctional Institution	974	952	***97.7%***	337	300	***89.0%***	251	120	***47.8%***	1,562	1,372	***87.8%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	973	940	***96.6%***	418	299	***71.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,391	1,239	***89.1%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	856	***96.6%***	92	67	***72.8%***	146	136	***93.2%***	1,124	1,059	***94.2%***
Perry Correctional Institution	686	654	***95.3%***	266	228	***85.7%***	20	20	***100.0%***	972	902	***92.8%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,121***	***4,848***	***94.7%***	***1,470***	***1,161***	***79.0%***	***1,715***	***1,523***	***88.8%***	***8,306***	***7,532***	***90.7%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,663***	***15,051***	***96.1%***	***2,230***	***1,677***	***75.2%***	***3,009***	***2,400***	***79.8%***	***20,902***	***19,128***	***91.5%***
Level IV Institutions (Female) ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Goodman Correctional Institution (Min.)	308	191	***62.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	45	31	***68.9%***	353	222	***62.9%***
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	201	182	***90.5%***	171	103	***60.2%***	209	130	***62.2%***	581	415	***71.4%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E (Max.)	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	65	***77.4%***	84	65	***77.4%***
Leath Correctional Institution (Maximum)	329	425	***129.2%***	223	187	***83.9%***	100	98	***98.0%***	652	710	***108.9%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***838***	***798***	***95.2%***	***394***	***290***	***73.6%***	***438***	***324***	***74.0%***	***1,670***	***1,412***	***84.6%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,501***	***15,849***	***96.0%***	***2,624***	***1,967***	***75.0%***	***3,447***	***2,724***	***79.0%***	***22,572***	***20,540***	***91.0%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
July 15, 2016

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	181	***96.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	181	***96.3%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	529	527	***99.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	529	527	***99.6%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	610	***69.6%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	13	***65.0%***	919	646	***70.3%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	278	***95.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	278	***95.2%***
Walden Correctional Institution	694	621	***89.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	694	621	***89.5%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,579***	***2,217***	***86.0%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***13***	***65.0%***	***2,622***	***2,253***	***85.9%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	967	1,105	***114.3%***	184	83	***45.1%***	34	29	***85.3%***	1,185	1,217	***102.7%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,208	1,192	***98.7%***	98	87	***88.8%***	36	35	***97.2%***	1,342	1,314	***97.9%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,260	1,240	***98.4%***	96	67	***69.8%***	20	17	***85.0%***	1,376	1,324	***96.2%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	631	***96.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	1	***5.0%***	672	632	***94.0%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,078	1,118	***103.7%***	90	76	***84.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,168	1,194	***102.2%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	386	327	***84.7%***	47	40	***85.1%***	286	200	***69.9%***	719	567	***78.9%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	611	594	***97.2%***	40	29	***72.5%***	818	516	***63.1%***	1,469	1,139	***77.5%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	990	1,146	***115.8%***	106	81	***76.4%***	62	55	***88.7%***	1,158	1,282	***110.7%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	871	***99.9%***	12	8	***66.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	879	***99.4%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***8,024***	***8,224***	***102.5%***	***673***	***471***	***70.0%***	***1,276***	***853***	***66.8%***	***9,973***	***9,548***	***95.7%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,230	1,172	***95.3%***	84	57	***67.9%***	66	41	***62.1%***	1,380	1,270	***92.0%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	88	79	***89.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	86	***87.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	251	***69.0%***	185	118	***63.8%***	256	131	***51.2%***	805	500	***62.1%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	976	880	***90.2%***	976	880	***90.2%***
Lee Correctional Institution	972	937	***96.4%***	335	303	***90.4%***	251	119	***47.4%***	1,558	1,359	***87.2%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	974	943	***96.8%***	418	289	***69.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,392	1,232	***88.5%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	865	***97.6%***	92	63	***68.5%***	146	138	***94.5%***	1,124	1,066	***94.8%***
Perry Correctional Institution	686	652	***95.0%***	266	224	***84.2%***	20	19	***95.0%***	972	895	***92.1%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,122***	***4,827***	***94.2%***	***1,468***	***1,133***	***77.2%***	***1,715***	***1,328***	***77.4%***	***8,305***	***7,288***	***87.8%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,725***	***15,268***	***97.1%***	***2,164***	***1,627***	***75.2%***	***3,011***	***2,194***	***72.9%***	***20,900***	***19,089***	***91.3%***
Level IV Institutions (Female) ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Goodman Correctional Institution (Min.)	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***N/A***
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	447	410	***91.7%***	160	76	***47.5%***	219	121	***55.3%***	826	607	***73.5%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	106	***126.2%***	84	106	***126.2%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	432	***131.3%***	223	185	***83.0%***	100	94	***94.0%***	652	711	***109.0%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***776***	***842***	***108.5%***	***383***	***261***	***68.1%***	***403***	***321***	***79.7%***	***1,562***	***1,424***	***91.2%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,501***	***16,110***	***97.6%***	***2,547***	***1,888***	***74.1%***	***3,414***	***2,515***	***73.7%***	***22,462***	***20,513***	***91.3%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
August 15, 2016

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	173	***92.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	173	***92.0%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	530	513	***96.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	530	513	***96.8%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	613	***70.0%***	23	18	***78.3%***	20	6	***30.0%***	919	637	***69.3%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	288	***98.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	288	***98.6%***
Walden Correctional Institution	694	649	***93.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	694	649	***93.5%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,580***	***2,236***	***86.7%***	***23***	***18***	***78.3%***	***20***	***6***	***30.0%***	***2,623***	***2,260***	***86.2%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	968	1,098	***113.4%***	88	72	***81.8%***	34	28	***82.4%***	1,090	1,198	***109.9%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,208	1,196	***99.0%***	98	87	***88.8%***	36	36	***100.0%***	1,342	1,319	***98.3%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,260	1,235	***98.0%***	96	66	***68.8%***	20	20	***100.0%***	1,376	1,321	***96.0%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	626	***96.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	2	***10.0%***	672	628	***93.5%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,077	1,124	***104.4%***	90	72	***80.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,167	1,196	***102.5%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	337	***100.3%***	47	42	***89.4%***	330	226	***68.5%***	713	605	***84.9%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	611	598	***97.9%***	40	29	***72.5%***	818	497	***60.8%***	1,469	1,124	***76.5%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	990	1,155	***116.7%***	106	75	***70.8%***	62	49	***79.0%***	1,158	1,279	***110.4%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	907	***104.0%***	12	10	***83.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	917	***103.7%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,974***	***8,276***	***103.8%***	***577***	***453***	***78.5%***	***1,320***	***858***	***65.0%***	***9,871***	***9,587***	***97.1%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,230	1,186	***96.4%***	84	63	***75.0%***	66	43	***65.2%***	1,380	1,292	***93.6%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	8	***80.0%***	88	83	***94.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	91	***92.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	257	***70.6%***	185	132	***71.4%***	256	139	***54.3%***	805	528	***65.6%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	976	945	***96.8%***	976	945	***96.8%***
Lee Correctional Institution	970	954	***98.4%***	337	303	***89.9%***	251	115	***45.8%***	1,558	1,372	***88.1%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	974	958	***98.4%***	418	295	***70.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,392	1,253	***90.0%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	871	***98.3%***	92	70	***76.1%***	146	137	***93.8%***	1,124	1,078	***95.9%***
Perry Correctional Institution	686	597	***87.0%***	265	226	***85.3%***	20	19	***95.0%***	971	842	***86.7%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,120***	***4,831***	***94.4%***	***1,469***	***1,172***	***79.8%***	***1,715***	***1,398***	***81.5%***	***8,304***	***7,401***	***89.1%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,674***	***15,343***	***97.9%***	***2,069***	***1,643***	***79.4%***	***3,055***	***2,262***	***74.0%***	***20,798***	***19,248***	***92.5%***
Level IV Institutions (Female) ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Goodman Correctional Institution (Min.)	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***N/A***
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	447	430	***96.2%***	140	69	***49.3%***	175	131	***74.9%***	762	630	***82.7%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	79	***76.0%***	104	79	***76.0%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	437	***132.8%***	223	196	***87.9%***	100	96	***96.0%***	652	729	***111.8%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***776***	***867***	***111.7%***	***363***	***265***	***73.0%***	***379***	***306***	***80.7%***	***1,518***	***1,438***	***94.7%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,450***	***16,210***	***98.5%***	***2,432***	***1,908***	***78.5%***	***3,434***	***2,568***	***74.8%***	***22,316***	***20,686***	***92.7%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
September 15, 2016

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	154	***81.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	154	***81.9%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	530	506	***95.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	530	506	***95.5%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	643	***73.4%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	17	***85.0%***	919	683	***74.3%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	262	***89.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	262	***89.7%***
Walden Correctional Institution	694	602	***86.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	694	602	***86.7%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,580***	***2,167***	***84.0%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***17***	***85.0%***	***2,623***	***2,207***	***84.1%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	967	1,063	***109.9%***	90	72	***80.0%***	34	28	***82.4%***	1,091	1,163	***106.6%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,208	1,190	***98.5%***	99	83	***83.8%***	36	35	***97.2%***	1,343	1,308	***97.4%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,258	1,242	***98.7%***	96	60	***62.5%***	20	14	***70.0%***	1,374	1,316	***95.8%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	645	***98.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	6	***30.0%***	672	651	***96.9%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,074	1,127	***104.9%***	90	69	***76.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,164	1,196	***102.7%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	316	***94.0%***	47	41	***87.2%***	330	230	***69.7%***	713	587	***82.3%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	598	584	***97.7%***	60	45	***75.0%***	764	477	***62.4%***	1,422	1,106	***77.8%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	990	1,150	***116.2%***	106	90	***84.9%***	62	48	***77.4%***	1,158	1,288	***111.2%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	879	***100.8%***	12	12	***100.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	891	***100.8%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,955***	***8,196***	***103.0%***	***600***	***472***	***78.7%***	***1,266***	***838***	***66.2%***	***9,821***	***9,506***	***96.8%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,216	1,164	***95.7%***	92	66	***71.7%***	66	40	***60.6%***	1,374	1,270	***92.4%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	88	77	***87.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	84	***85.7%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	244	***67.0%***	185	134	***72.4%***	256	143	***55.9%***	805	521	***64.7%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	976	1,131	***115.9%***	976	1,131	***115.9%***
Lee Correctional Institution	969	952	***98.2%***	339	311	***91.7%***	251	95	***37.8%***	1,559	1,358	***87.1%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	972	956	***98.4%***	383	297	***77.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,355	1,253	***92.5%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	885	878	***99.2%***	92	65	***70.7%***	145	136	***93.8%***	1,122	1,079	***96.2%***
Perry Correctional Institution	685	602	***87.9%***	266	236	***88.7%***	20	20	***100.0%***	971	858	***88.4%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,101***	***4,803***	***94.2%***	***1,445***	***1,186***	***82.1%***	***1,714***	***1,565***	***91.3%***	***8,260***	***7,554***	***91.5%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,636***	***15,166***	***97.0%***	***2,068***	***1,681***	***81.3%***	***3,000***	***2,420***	***80.7%***	***20,704***	***19,267***	***93.1%***
Level IV Institutions (Female) ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Goodman Correctional Institution (Min.)	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	447	440	***98.4%***	140	77	***55.0%***	175	122	***69.7%***	762	639	***83.9%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	80	***76.9%***	104	80	***76.9%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	446	***135.6%***	223	186	***83.4%***	100	99	***99.0%***	652	731	***112.1%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***776***	***886***	***114.2%***	***363***	***263***	***72.5%***	***379***	***301***	***79.4%***	***1,518***	***1,450***	***95.5%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,412***	***16,052***	***97.8%***	***2,431***	***1,944***	***80.0%***	***3,379***	***2,721***	***80.5%***	***22,222***	***20,717***	***93.2%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
October 15, 2016

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	162	***86.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	162	***86.2%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	530	513	***96.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	530	513	***96.8%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	636	***72.6%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	17	***85.0%***	919	676	***73.6%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	267	***91.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	267	***91.4%***
Walden Correctional Institution	694	486	***70.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	694	486	***70.0%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,580***	***2,064***	***80.0%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***17***	***85.0%***	***2,623***	***2,104***	***80.2%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	964	1,025	***106.3%***	87	78	***89.7%***	33	30	***90.9%***	1,084	1,133	***104.5%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,206	1,179	***97.8%***	99	83	***83.8%***	36	35	***97.2%***	1,341	1,297	***96.7%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,260	1,239	***98.3%***	96	65	***67.7%***	20	20	***100.0%***	1,376	1,324	***96.2%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	642	***98.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	7	***35.0%***	672	649	***96.6%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,081	1,125	***104.1%***	90	75	***83.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,171	1,200	***102.5%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	313	***93.2%***	47	43	***91.5%***	330	231	***70.0%***	713	587	***82.3%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	595	562	***94.5%***	60	36	***60.0%***	766	482	***62.9%***	1,421	1,080	***76.0%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	989	1,153	***116.6%***	106	72	***67.9%***	62	50	***80.6%***	1,157	1,275	***110.2%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	886	***101.6%***	12	12	***100.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	898	***101.6%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,955***	***8,124***	***102.1%***	***597***	***464***	***77.7%***	***1,267***	***855***	***67.5%***	***9,819***	***9,443***	***96.2%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,225	1,173	***95.8%***	93	68	***73.1%***	66	45	***68.2%***	1,384	1,286	***92.9%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	8	***80.0%***	88	83	***94.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	91	***92.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	263	***72.3%***	185	123	***66.5%***	256	142	***55.5%***	805	528	***65.6%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	976	1,270	***130.1%***	976	1,270	***130.1%***
Lee Correctional Institution	970	958	***98.8%***	341	296	***86.8%***	251	94	***37.5%***	1,562	1,348	***86.3%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	973	961	***98.8%***	395	291	***73.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,368	1,252	***91.5%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	878	***99.1%***	92	68	***73.9%***	146	138	***94.5%***	1,124	1,084	***96.4%***
Perry Correctional Institution	590	588	***99.7%***	362	257	***71.0%***	20	19	***95.0%***	972	864	***88.9%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,018***	***4,829***	***96.2%***	***1,556***	***1,186***	***76.2%***	***1,715***	***1,708***	***99.6%***	***8,289***	***7,723***	***93.2%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,553***	***15,017***	***96.6%***	***2,176***	***1,673***	***76.9%***	***3,002***	***2,580***	***85.9%***	***20,731***	***19,270***	***93.0%***
Level IV Institutions (Female) ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Goodman Correctional Institution (Min.)	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	447	445	***99.6%***	140	73	***52.1%***	175	110	***62.9%***	762	628	***82.4%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	130	***125.0%***	104	130	***125.0%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	439	***133.4%***	223	192	***86.1%***	100	91	***91.0%***	652	722	***110.7%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***776***	***884***	***113.9%***	***363***	***265***	***73.0%***	***379***	***331***	***87.3%***	***1,518***	***1,480***	***97.5%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,329***	***15,901***	***97.4%***	***2,539***	***1,938***	***76.3%***	***3,381***	***2,911***	***86.1%***	***22,249***	***20,750***	***93.3%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
November 15, 2016

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	159	***84.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	159	***84.6%***
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	422	***76.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	422	***76.4%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	529	520	***98.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	529	520	***98.3%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	616	***70.3%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	13	***65.0%***	919	652	***70.9%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	280	***95.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	280	***95.9%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,437***	***1,997***	***81.9%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***13***	***65.0%***	***2,480***	***2,033***	***82.0%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	967	1,055	***109.1%***	89	52	***58.4%***	34	32	***94.1%***	1,090	1,139	***104.5%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,208	1,194	***98.8%***	99	85	***85.9%***	36	36	***100.0%***	1,343	1,315	***97.9%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,260	1,245	***98.8%***	96	70	***72.9%***	20	18	***90.0%***	1,376	1,333	***96.9%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	645	***98.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	10	***50.0%***	672	655	***97.5%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,084	1,138	***105.0%***	90	62	***68.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,174	1,200	***102.2%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	326	***97.0%***	47	42	***89.4%***	336	235	***69.9%***	719	603	***83.9%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	594	578	***97.3%***	60	34	***56.7%***	800	482	***60.3%***	1,454	1,094	***75.2%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	989	1,142	***115.5%***	106	82	***77.4%***	62	49	***79.0%***	1,157	1,273	***110.0%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	913	***104.7%***	12	12	***100.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	925	***104.6%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,962***	***8,236***	***103.4%***	***599***	***439***	***73.3%***	***1,308***	***862***	***65.9%***	***9,869***	***9,537***	***96.6%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,225	1,185	***96.7%***	97	69	***71.1%***	66	43	***65.2%***	1,388	1,297	***93.4%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	88	79	***89.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	86	***87.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	228	***62.6%***	185	97	***52.4%***	256	138	***53.9%***	805	463	***57.5%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,104	1,300	***117.8%***	1,104	1,300	***117.8%***
Lee Correctional Institution	970	957	***98.7%***	341	275	***80.6%***	251	105	***41.8%***	1,562	1,337	***85.6%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	976	965	***98.9%***	394	301	***76.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,370	1,266	***92.4%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	879	***99.2%***	92	72	***78.3%***	146	141	***96.6%***	1,124	1,092	***97.2%***
Perry Correctional Institution	590	588	***99.7%***	362	264	***72.9%***	20	18	***90.0%***	972	870	***89.5%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,021***	***4,809***	***95.8%***	***1,559***	***1,157***	***74.2%***	***1,843***	***1,745***	***94.7%***	***8,423***	***7,711***	***91.5%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,420***	***15,042***	***97.5%***	***2,181***	***1,619***	***74.2%***	***3,171***	***2,620***	***82.6%***	***20,772***	***19,281***	***92.8%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	447	438	***98.0%***	140	80	***57.1%***	175	114	***65.1%***	762	632	***82.9%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	126	***121.2%***	104	126	***121.2%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	439	***133.4%***	223	190	***85.2%***	100	94	***94.0%***	652	723	***110.9%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***776***	***877***	***113.0%***	***363***	***270***	***74.4%***	***379***	***334***	***88.1%***	***1,518***	***1,481***	***97.6%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,196***	***15,919***	***98.3%***	***2,544***	***1,889***	***74.3%***	***3,550***	***2,954***	***83.2%***	***22,290***	***20,762***	***93.1%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
December 15, 2016

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	156	***83.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	156	***83.0%***
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	486	***88.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	486	***88.0%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	492	513	***104.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	492	513	***104.3%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	603	***68.8%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	0	***0.0%***	919	626	***68.1%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	265	***90.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	265	***90.8%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,400***	***2,023***	***84.3%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***0***	***0.0%***	***2,443***	***2,046***	***83.7%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	967	1,037	***107.2%***	89	42	***47.2%***	82	35	***42.7%***	1,138	1,114	***97.9%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,206	1,193	***98.9%***	100	84	***84.0%***	36	36	***100.0%***	1,342	1,313	***97.8%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,258	1,246	***99.0%***	96	73	***76.0%***	20	19	***95.0%***	1,374	1,338	***97.4%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	616	***94.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	20	***100.0%***	672	636	***94.6%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,080	1,128	***104.4%***	90	72	***80.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,170	1,200	***102.6%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	318	***94.6%***	47	36	***76.6%***	336	221	***65.8%***	719	575	***80.0%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	582	568	***97.6%***	60	36	***60.0%***	936	489	***52.2%***	1,578	1,093	***69.3%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	992	1,150	***115.9%***	106	87	***82.1%***	58	47	***81.0%***	1,156	1,284	***111.1%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	911	***104.5%***	12	11	***91.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	922	***104.3%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,945***	***8,167***	***102.8%***	***600***	***441***	***73.5%***	***1,488***	***867***	***58.3%***	***10,033***	***9,475***	***94.4%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,227	1,174	***95.7%***	147	87	***59.2%***	66	48	***72.7%***	1,440	1,309	***90.9%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	88	73	***83.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	80	***81.6%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	230	***63.2%***	185	106	***57.3%***	256	145	***56.6%***	805	481	***59.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,104	1,282	***116.1%***	1,104	1,282	***116.1%***
Lee Correctional Institution	974	964	***99.0%***	340	286	***84.1%***	253	111	***43.9%***	1,567	1,361	***86.9%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	976	961	***98.5%***	370	288	***77.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,346	1,249	***92.8%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	872	***98.4%***	92	70	***76.1%***	146	141	***96.6%***	1,124	1,083	***96.4%***
Perry Correctional Institution	590	574	***97.3%***	362	242	***66.9%***	20	19	***95.0%***	972	835	***85.9%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,027***	***4,782***	***95.1%***	***1,584***	***1,152***	***72.7%***	***1,845***	***1,746***	***94.6%***	***8,456***	***7,680***	***90.8%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,372***	***14,972***	***97.4%***	***2,207***	***1,616***	***73.2%***	***3,353***	***2,613***	***77.9%***	***20,932***	***19,201***	***91.7%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	447	441	***98.7%***	140	55	***39.3%***	223	124	***55.6%***	810	620	***76.5%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	116	***111.5%***	104	116	***111.5%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	443	***134.7%***	223	193	***86.5%***	100	92	***92.0%***	652	728	***111.7%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***776***	***884***	***113.9%***	***363***	***248***	***68.3%***	***427***	***332***	***77.8%***	***1,566***	***1,464***	***93.5%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,148***	***15,856***	***98.2%***	***2,570***	***1,864***	***72.5%***	***3,780***	***2,945***	***77.9%***	***22,498***	***20,665***	***91.9%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
January 15, 2017

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	181	***96.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	181	***96.3%***
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	486	***88.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	486	***88.0%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	491	508	***103.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	491	508	***103.5%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	583	***66.6%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	9	***45.0%***	919	615	***66.9%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	269	***92.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	269	***92.1%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,399***	***2,027***	***84.5%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***9***	***45.0%***	***2,442***	***2,059***	***84.3%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	965	1,000	***103.6%***	89	40	***44.9%***	82	34	***41.5%***	1,136	1,074	***94.5%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,206	1,203	***99.8%***	100	83	***83.0%***	35	34	***97.1%***	1,341	1,320	***98.4%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,258	1,232	***97.9%***	96	78	***81.3%***	20	20	***100.0%***	1,374	1,330	***96.8%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	640	***98.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	17	***85.0%***	672	657	***97.8%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,082	1,119	***103.4%***	90	61	***67.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,172	1,180	***100.7%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	313	***93.2%***	47	44	***93.6%***	336	194	***57.7%***	719	551	***76.6%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	463	454	***98.1%***	58	28	***48.3%***	958	652	***68.1%***	1,479	1,134	***76.7%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	992	1,147	***115.6%***	106	79	***74.5%***	58	46	***79.3%***	1,156	1,272	***110.0%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	908	***104.1%***	12	11	***91.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	919	***104.0%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,826***	***8,016***	***102.4%***	***598***	***424***	***70.9%***	***1,509***	***997***	***66.1%***	***9,933***	***9,437***	***95.0%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,228	1,183	***96.3%***	147	95	***64.6%***	66	46	***69.7%***	1,441	1,324	***91.9%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	8	***80.0%***	88	79	***89.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	87	***88.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	222	***61.0%***	185	113	***61.1%***	256	135	***52.7%***	805	470	***58.4%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,104	1,076	***97.5%***	1,104	1,076	***97.5%***
Lee Correctional Institution	972	958	***98.6%***	309	285	***92.2%***	253	111	***43.9%***	1,534	1,354	***88.3%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	973	959	***98.6%***	344	275	***79.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,317	1,234	***93.7%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	861	***97.2%***	74	73	***98.6%***	146	139	***95.2%***	1,106	1,073	***97.0%***
Perry Correctional Institution	574	563	***98.1%***	378	247	***65.3%***	20	18	***90.0%***	972	828	***85.2%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,007***	***4,754***	***94.9%***	***1,525***	***1,167***	***76.5%***	***1,845***	***1,525***	***82.7%***	***8,377***	***7,446***	***88.9%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,232***	***14,797***	***97.1%***	***2,146***	***1,614***	***75.2%***	***3,374***	***2,531***	***75.0%***	***20,752***	***18,942***	***91.3%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	447	437	***97.8%***	140	58	***41.4%***	223	118	***52.9%***	810	613	***75.7%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	129	***124.0%***	104	129	***124.0%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	446	***135.6%***	223	181	***81.2%***	100	95	***95.0%***	652	722	***110.7%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***776***	***883***	***113.8%***	***363***	***239***	***65.8%***	***427***	***342***	***80.1%***	***1,566***	***1,464***	***93.5%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,008***	***15,680***	***98.0%***	***2,509***	***1,853***	***73.9%***	***3,801***	***2,873***	***75.6%***	***22,318***	***20,406***	***91.4%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
February 15, 2017

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	187	178	***95.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	187	178	***95.2%***
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	480	***87.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	480	***87.0%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	492	508	***103.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	492	508	***103.3%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	574	***65.5%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	11	***55.0%***	919	608	***66.2%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	271	***92.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	271	***92.8%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,399***	***2,011***	***83.8%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***11***	***55.0%***	***2,442***	***2,045***	***83.7%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	963	987	***102.5%***	86	70	***81.4%***	82	36	***43.9%***	1,131	1,093	***96.6%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,205	1,201	***99.7%***	102	85	***83.3%***	36	34	***94.4%***	1,343	1,320	***98.3%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,260	1,246	***98.9%***	95	80	***84.2%***	20	19	***95.0%***	1,375	1,345	***97.8%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	587	***90.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	19	***95.0%***	672	606	***90.2%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,080	1,119	***103.6%***	88	64	***72.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,168	1,183	***101.3%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	331	***98.5%***	47	33	***70.2%***	336	203	***60.4%***	719	567	***78.9%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	477	467	***97.9%***	60	33	***55.0%***	958	651	***68.0%***	1,495	1,151	***77.0%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	992	1,144	***115.3%***	104	82	***78.8%***	57	38	***66.7%***	1,153	1,264	***109.6%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	884	***101.4%***	12	11	***91.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	895	***101.2%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,837***	***7,966***	***101.6%***	***594***	***458***	***77.1%***	***1,509***	***1,000***	***66.3%***	***9,940***	***9,424***	***94.8%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,225	1,187	***96.9%***	147	102	***69.4%***	66	47	***71.2%***	1,438	1,336	***92.9%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	88	87	***98.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	94	***95.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	246	***67.6%***	185	96	***51.9%***	256	133	***52.0%***	805	475	***59.0%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,104	1,107	***100.3%***	1,104	1,107	***100.3%***
Lee Correctional Institution	974	964	***99.0%***	309	285	***92.2%***	253	106	***41.9%***	1,536	1,355	***88.2%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,095	955	***87.2%***	334	268	***80.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,429	1,223	***85.6%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	870	***98.2%***	76	73	***96.1%***	146	137	***93.8%***	1,108	1,080	***97.5%***
Perry Correctional Institution	574	564	***98.3%***	378	239	***63.2%***	20	19	***95.0%***	972	822	***84.6%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,128***	***4,793***	***93.5%***	***1,517***	***1,150***	***75.8%***	***1,845***	***1,549***	***84.0%***	***8,490***	***7,492***	***88.2%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,364***	***14,770***	***96.1%***	***2,134***	***1,631***	***76.4%***	***3,374***	***2,560***	***75.9%***	***20,872***	***18,961***	***90.8%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	447	403	***90.2%***	140	67	***47.9%***	224	145	***64.7%***	811	615	***75.8%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E (Max.)	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	132	***126.9%***	104	132	***126.9%***
Leath Correctional Institution (Maximum)	329	431	***131.0%***	223	182	***81.6%***	100	89	***89.0%***	652	702	***107.7%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***776***	***834***	***107.5%***	***363***	***249***	***68.6%***	***428***	***366***	***85.5%***	***1,567***	***1,449***	***92.5%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,140***	***15,604***	***96.7%***	***2,497***	***1,880***	***75.3%***	***3,802***	***2,926***	***77.0%***	***22,439***	***20,410***	***91.0%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
March 15, 2017

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	177	***94.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	177	***94.1%***
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	481	***87.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	481	***87.1%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	491	511	***104.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	491	511	***104.1%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	577	***65.9%***	23	22	***95.7%***	20	10	***50.0%***	919	609	***66.3%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	272	***93.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	272	***93.2%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,399***	***2,018***	***84.1%***	***23***	***22***	***95.7%***	***20***	***10***	***50.0%***	***2,442***	***2,050***	***83.9%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	965	943	***97.7%***	86	75	***87.2%***	34	27	***79.4%***	1,085	1,045	***96.3%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,205	1,197	***99.3%***	114	103	***90.4%***	36	35	***97.2%***	1,355	1,335	***98.5%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,260	1,232	***97.8%***	95	68	***71.6%***	20	14	***70.0%***	1,375	1,314	***95.6%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	651	570	***87.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	18	***90.0%***	671	588	***87.6%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,070	1,110	***103.7%***	90	67	***74.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,160	1,177	***101.5%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	316	***94.0%***	47	41	***87.2%***	336	202	***60.1%***	719	559	***77.7%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	613	589	***96.1%***	60	28	***46.7%***	830	484	***58.3%***	1,503	1,101	***73.3%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	992	1,151	***116.0%***	104	64	***61.5%***	57	38	***66.7%***	1,153	1,253	***108.7%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	872	***100.0%***	12	10	***83.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	882	***99.8%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,964***	***7,980***	***100.2%***	***608***	***456***	***75.0%***	***1,333***	***818***	***61.4%***	***9,905***	***9,254***	***93.4%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,226	1,160	***94.6%***	147	97	***66.0%***	66	47	***71.2%***	1,439	1,304	***90.6%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	88	87	***98.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	94	***95.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	219	***60.2%***	185	112	***60.5%***	256	135	***52.7%***	805	466	***57.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,104	1,045	***94.7%***	1,104	1,045	***94.7%***
Lee Correctional Institution	974	958	***98.4%***	308	286	***92.9%***	251	98	***39.0%***	1,533	1,342	***87.5%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,094	1,069	***97.7%***	201	136	***67.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,295	1,205	***93.1%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	879	***99.2%***	74	74	***100.0%***	146	137	***93.8%***	1,106	1,090	***98.6%***
Perry Correctional Institution	574	563	***98.1%***	378	242	***64.0%***	20	19	***95.0%***	972	824	***84.8%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,128***	***4,855***	***94.7%***	***1,381***	***1,034***	***74.9%***	***1,843***	***1,481***	***80.4%***	***8,352***	***7,370***	***88.2%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,491***	***14,853***	***95.9%***	***2,012***	***1,512***	***75.1%***	***3,196***	***2,309***	***72.2%***	***20,699***	***18,674***	***90.2%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	447	438	***98.0%***	140	65	***46.4%***	224	151	***67.4%***	811	654	***80.6%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	121	***116.3%***	104	121	***116.3%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	412	***125.2%***	223	175	***78.5%***	100	81	***81.0%***	652	668	***102.5%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***776***	***850***	***109.5%***	***363***	***240***	***66.1%***	***428***	***353***	***82.5%***	***1,567***	***1,443***	***92.1%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,267***	***15,703***	***96.5%***	***2,375***	***1,752***	***73.8%***	***3,624***	***2,662***	***73.5%***	***22,266***	***20,117***	***90.3%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
April 15, 2017

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	177	***94.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	177	***94.1%***
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	472	***85.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	472	***85.5%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	492	496	***100.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	492	496	***100.8%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	567	***64.7%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	9	***45.0%***	919	599	***65.2%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	274	***93.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	274	***93.8%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,400***	***1,986***	***82.8%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***9***	***45.0%***	***2,443***	***2,018***	***82.6%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	965	970	***100.5%***	85	76	***89.4%***	82	35	***42.7%***	1,132	1,081	***95.5%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,207	1,196	***99.1%***	129	83	***64.3%***	36	36	***100.0%***	1,372	1,315	***95.8%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,260	1,240	***98.4%***	96	78	***81.3%***	20	20	***100.0%***	1,376	1,338	***97.2%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	621	***95.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	19	***95.0%***	672	640	***95.2%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,051	1,085	***103.2%***	90	68	***75.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,141	1,153	***101.1%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	300	***89.3%***	47	43	***91.5%***	336	200	***59.5%***	719	543	***75.5%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	613	586	***95.6%***	43	32	***74.4%***	829	461	***55.6%***	1,485	1,079	***72.7%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	992	1,150	***115.9%***	104	86	***82.7%***	57	37	***64.9%***	1,153	1,273	***110.4%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	804	***92.2%***	12	12	***100.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	816	***92.3%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,948***	***7,952***	***100.1%***	***606***	***478***	***78.9%***	***1,380***	***808***	***58.6%***	***9,934***	***9,238***	***93.0%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,227	1,153	***94.0%***	147	104	***70.7%***	66	46	***69.7%***	1,440	1,303	***90.5%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	9	***90.0%***	88	85	***96.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	94	***95.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	226	***62.1%***	185	103	***55.7%***	256	133	***52.0%***	805	462	***57.4%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,104	1,173	***106.3%***	1,104	1,173	***106.3%***
Lee Correctional Institution	973	963	***99.0%***	310	285	***91.9%***	251	100	***39.8%***	1,534	1,348	***87.9%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,003	972	***96.9%***	296	232	***78.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,299	1,204	***92.7%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	885	856	***96.7%***	78	69	***88.5%***	146	139	***95.2%***	1,109	1,064	***95.9%***
Perry Correctional Institution	574	554	***96.5%***	378	249	***65.9%***	20	19	***95.0%***	972	822	***84.6%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,036***	***4,733***	***94.0%***	***1,482***	***1,127***	***76.0%***	***1,843***	***1,610***	***87.4%***	***8,361***	***7,470***	***89.3%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,384***	***14,671***	***95.4%***	***2,111***	***1,628***	***77.1%***	***3,243***	***2,427***	***74.8%***	***20,738***	***18,726***	***90.3%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***Security Level: (In Parentheses)***												
Graham Correctional Institution (Max.)	447	426	***95.3%***	140	68	***48.6%***	224	137	***61.2%***	811	631	***77.8%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	127	***122.1%***	104	127	***122.1%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	413	***125.5%***	223	176	***78.9%***	100	92	***92.0%***	652	681	***104.4%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***776***	***839***	***108.1%***	***363***	***244***	***67.2%***	***428***	***356***	***83.2%***	***1,567***	***1,439***	***91.8%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,160***	***15,510***	***96.0%***	***2,474***	***1,872***	***75.7%***	***3,671***	***2,783***	***75.8%***	***22,305***	***20,165***	***90.4%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
May 15, 2017

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	168	***89.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	168	***89.4%***
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	472	***85.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	472	***85.5%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	492	480	***97.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	492	480	***97.6%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	557	***63.6%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	3	***15.0%***	919	583	***63.4%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	261	***89.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	261	***89.4%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,400***	***1,938***	***80.8%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***3***	***15.0%***	***2,443***	***1,964***	***80.4%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	965	943	***97.7%***	85	54	***63.5%***	81	39	***48.1%***	1,131	1,036	***91.6%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,207	1,185	***98.2%***	111	95	***85.6%***	36	35	***97.2%***	1,354	1,315	***97.1%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,254	1,177	***93.9%***	96	80	***83.3%***	20	20	***100.0%***	1,370	1,277	***93.2%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	652	612	***93.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	20	19	***95.0%***	672	631	***93.9%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,058	1,105	***104.4%***	87	69	***79.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,145	1,174	***102.5%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	324	***96.4%***	47	35	***74.5%***	336	234	***69.6%***	719	593	***82.5%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	613	586	***95.6%***	43	33	***76.7%***	829	417	***50.3%***	1,485	1,036	***69.8%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	990	1,157	***116.9%***	104	80	***76.9%***	57	34	***59.6%***	1,151	1,271	***110.4%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	741	***85.0%***	12	11	***91.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	752	***85.1%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,947***	***7,830***	***98.5%***	***585***	***457***	***78.1%***	***1,379***	***798***	***57.9%***	***9,911***	***9,085***	***91.7%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,227	1,158	***94.4%***	147	98	***66.7%***	66	45	***68.2%***	1,440	1,301	***90.3%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	9	***90.0%***	88	84	***95.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	93	***94.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	238	***65.4%***	165	90	***54.5%***	404	149	***36.9%***	933	477	***51.1%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	976	1,270	***130.1%***	976	1,270	***130.1%***
Lee Correctional Institution	974	954	***97.9%***	312	301	***96.5%***	251	96	***38.2%***	1,537	1,351	***87.9%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,095	1,067	***97.4%***	204	140	***68.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,299	1,207	***92.9%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	831	***93.8%***	79	69	***87.3%***	146	140	***95.9%***	1,111	1,040	***93.6%***
Perry Correctional Institution	574	562	***97.9%***	376	274	***72.9%***	20	20	***100.0%***	970	856	***88.2%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,130***	***4,819***	***93.9%***	***1,371***	***1,056***	***77.0%***	***1,863***	***1,720***	***92.3%***	***8,364***	***7,595***	***90.8%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,477***	***14,587***	***94.2%***	***1,979***	***1,536***	***77.6%***	***3,262***	***2,521***	***77.3%***	***20,718***	***18,644***	***90.0%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	448	431	***96.2%***	140	83	***59.3%***	224	142	***63.4%***	812	656	***80.8%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	111	***106.7%***	104	111	***106.7%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	415	***126.1%***	223	166	***74.4%***	100	89	***89.0%***	652	670	***102.8%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***777***	***846***	***108.9%***	***363***	***249***	***68.6%***	***428***	***342***	***79.9%***	***1,568***	***1,437***	***91.6%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,254***	***15,433***	***94.9%***	***2,342***	***1,785***	***76.2%***	***3,690***	***2,863***	***77.6%***	***22,286***	***20,081***	***90.1%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
June 15, 2017

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	161	***85.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	161	***85.6%***
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	507	***91.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	507	***91.8%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	492	490	***99.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	492	490	***99.6%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	551	***62.9%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	5	***25.0%***	919	579	***63.0%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	266	***91.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	266	***91.1%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,400***	***1,975***	***82.3%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***5***	***25.0%***	***2,443***	***2,003***	***82.0%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	965	936	***97.0%***	85	65	***76.5%***	81	44	***54.3%***	1,131	1,045	***92.4%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,205	1,195	***99.2%***	111	100	***90.1%***	35	34	***97.1%***	1,351	1,330	***98.4%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,256	1,189	***94.7%***	82	78	***95.1%***	20	10	***50.0%***	1,358	1,277	***94.0%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	671	663	***98.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	671	663	***98.8%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	1,062	1,103	***103.9%***	87	78	***89.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,149	1,181	***102.8%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	308	***91.7%***	47	39	***83.0%***	336	208	***61.9%***	719	555	***77.2%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	613	570	***93.0%***	43	28	***65.1%***	765	377	***49.3%***	1,421	975	***68.6%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	990	1,154	***116.6%***	104	83	***79.8%***	57	32	***56.1%***	1,151	1,269	***110.3%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	739	***84.7%***	12	11	***91.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	750	***84.8%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,970***	***7,857***	***98.6%***	***571***	***482***	***84.4%***	***1,294***	***705***	***54.5%***	***9,835***	***9,045***	***92.0%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,227	1,148	***93.6%***	147	97	***66.0%***	66	52	***78.8%***	1,440	1,297	***90.1%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	88	76	***86.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	83	***84.7%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	251	***69.0%***	165	117	***70.9%***	384	147	***38.3%***	913	515	***56.4%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	1,186	***119.1%***	996	1,186	***119.1%***
Lee Correctional Institution	974	958	***98.4%***	313	295	***94.2%***	251	87	***34.7%***	1,538	1,340	***87.1%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	977	938	***96.0%***	329	235	***71.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,306	1,173	***89.8%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	839	***94.7%***	80	77	***96.3%***	146	140	***95.9%***	1,112	1,056	***95.0%***
Perry Correctional Institution	574	563	***98.1%***	378	275	***72.8%***	20	20	***100.0%***	972	858	***88.3%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,012***	***4,704***	***93.9%***	***1,500***	***1,172***	***78.1%***	***1,863***	***1,632***	***87.6%***	***8,375***	***7,508***	***89.6%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,382***	***14,536***	***94.5%***	***2,094***	***1,677***	***80.1%***	***3,177***	***2,342***	***73.7%***	***20,653***	***18,556***	***89.8%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	448	436	***97.3%***	140	79	***56.4%***	224	141	***62.9%***	812	656	***80.8%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	95	***91.3%***	104	95	***91.3%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	434	***131.9%***	223	192	***86.1%***	100	86	***86.0%***	652	712	***109.2%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***777***	***870***	***112.0%***	***363***	***271***	***74.7%***	***428***	***322***	***75.2%***	***1,568***	***1,463***	***93.3%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,159***	***15,406***	***95.3%***	***2,457***	***1,948***	***79.3%***	***3,605***	***2,664***	***73.9%***	***22,221***	***20,019***	***90.1%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
July 15, 2017

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	145	***77.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	145	***77.1%***
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	530	***96.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	530	***96.0%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	492	485	***98.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	492	485	***98.6%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	527	***60.2%***	23	22	***95.7%***	20	14	***70.0%***	919	563	***61.3%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	250	***85.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	250	***85.6%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,400***	***1,937***	***80.7%***	***23***	***22***	***95.7%***	***20***	***14***	***70.0%***	***2,443***	***1,973***	***80.8%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	965	1,008	***104.5%***	87	68	***78.2%***	82	51	***62.2%***	1,134	1,127	***99.4%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,205	1,197	***99.3%***	111	97	***87.4%***	36	35	***97.2%***	1,352	1,329	***98.3%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,260	1,204	***95.6%***	81	73	***90.1%***	20	20	***100.0%***	1,361	1,297	***95.3%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	671	655	***97.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	671	655	***97.6%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	922	945	***102.5%***	87	73	***83.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,009	1,018	***100.9%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	304	***90.5%***	47	39	***83.0%***	336	204	***60.7%***	719	547	***76.1%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	677	576	***85.1%***	43	28	***65.1%***	765	385	***50.3%***	1,485	989	***66.6%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	989	1,157	***117.0%***	106	78	***73.6%***	57	31	***54.4%***	1,152	1,266	***109.9%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	870	731	***84.0%***	12	8	***66.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	882	739	***83.8%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,895***	***7,777***	***98.5%***	***574***	***464***	***80.8%***	***1,296***	***726***	***56.0%***	***9,765***	***8,967***	***91.8%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,230	1,158	***94.1%***	147	105	***71.4%***	66	50	***75.8%***	1,443	1,313	***91.0%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	10	***100.0%***	88	78	***88.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	88	***89.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	263	***72.3%***	142	108	***76.1%***	408	165	***40.4%***	914	536	***58.6%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	1,212	***121.7%***	996	1,212	***121.7%***
Lee Correctional Institution	971	949	***97.7%***	307	285	***92.8%***	249	85	***34.1%***	1,527	1,319	***86.4%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	977	936	***95.8%***	330	231	***70.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,307	1,167	***89.3%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	825	***93.1%***	80	72	***90.0%***	146	138	***94.5%***	1,112	1,035	***93.1%***
Perry Correctional Institution	574	567	***98.8%***	378	287	***75.9%***	20	19	***95.0%***	972	873	***89.8%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,012***	***4,708***	***93.9%***	***1,472***	***1,166***	***79.2%***	***1,885***	***1,669***	***88.5%***	***8,369***	***7,543***	***90.1%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,307***	***14,422***	***94.2%***	***2,069***	***1,652***	***79.8%***	***3,201***	***2,409***	***75.3%***	***20,577***	***18,483***	***89.8%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	448	418	***93.3%***	140	66	***47.1%***	224	138	***61.6%***	812	622	***76.6%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	104	***100.0%***	104	104	***100.0%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	439	***133.4%***	223	191	***85.7%***	100	90	***90.0%***	652	720	***110.4%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***777***	***857***	***110.3%***	***363***	***257***	***70.8%***	***428***	***332***	***77.6%***	***1,568***	***1,446***	***92.2%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***16,084***	***15,279***	***95.0%***	***2,432***	***1,909***	***78.5%***	***3,629***	***2,741***	***75.5%***	***22,145***	***19,929***	***90.0%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
August 15, 2017

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	126	***67.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	126	***67.0%***
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	539	***97.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	539	***97.6%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	492	483	***98.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	492	483	***98.2%***
Manning Correctional Institution	876	534	***61.0%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	12	***60.0%***	919	569	***61.9%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	241	***82.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	241	***82.5%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,400***	***1,923***	***80.1%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***12***	***60.0%***	***2,443***	***1,958***	***80.1%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	968	953	***98.5%***	87	75	***86.2%***	82	33	***40.2%***	1,137	1,061	***93.3%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,203	1,190	***98.9%***	119	108	***90.8%***	36	35	***97.2%***	1,358	1,333	***98.2%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,259	1,192	***94.7%***	75	71	***94.7%***	20	20	***100.0%***	1,354	1,283	***94.8%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	671	667	***99.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	671	667	***99.4%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	923	975	***105.6%***	89	78	***87.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,012	1,053	***104.1%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	311	***92.6%***	47	38	***80.9%***	280	187	***66.8%***	663	536	***80.8%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	677	557	***82.3%***	43	28	***65.1%***	765	401	***52.4%***	1,485	986	***66.4%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	990	1,154	***116.6%***	106	80	***75.5%***	57	19	***33.3%***	1,153	1,253	***108.7%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	772	729	***94.4%***	12	11	***91.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	784	740	***94.4%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,799***	***7,728***	***99.1%***	***578***	***489***	***84.6%***	***1,240***	***695***	***56.0%***	***9,617***	***8,912***	***92.7%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,231	1,182	***96.0%***	147	108	***73.5%***	66	54	***81.8%***	1,444	1,344	***93.1%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	88	79	***89.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	86	***87.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	266	***73.1%***	142	85	***59.9%***	408	173	***42.4%***	914	524	***57.3%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	1,275	***128.0%***	996	1,275	***128.0%***
Lee Correctional Institution	969	958	***98.9%***	298	288	***96.6%***	245	97	***39.6%***	1,512	1,343	***88.8%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	969	937	***96.7%***	331	244	***73.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,300	1,181	***90.8%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	839	***94.7%***	79	75	***94.9%***	146	125	***85.6%***	1,111	1,039	***93.5%***
Perry Correctional Institution	574	565	***98.4%***	378	282	***74.6%***	20	19	***95.0%***	972	866	***89.1%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,003***	***4,754***	***95.0%***	***1,463***	***1,161***	***79.4%***	***1,881***	***1,743***	***92.7%***	***8,347***	***7,658***	***91.7%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,202***	***14,405***	***94.8%***	***2,064***	***1,673***	***81.1%***	***3,141***	***2,450***	***78.0%***	***20,407***	***18,528***	***90.8%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	448	397	***88.6%***	140	78	***55.7%***	224	152	***67.9%***	812	627	***77.2%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	122	***117.3%***	104	122	***117.3%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	444	***135.0%***	223	180	***80.7%***	100	73	***73.0%***	652	697	***106.9%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***777***	***841***	***108.2%***	***363***	***258***	***71.1%***	***428***	***347***	***81.1%***	***1,568***	***1,446***	***92.2%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***15,979***	***15,246***	***95.4%***	***2,427***	***1,931***	***79.6%***	***3,569***	***2,797***	***78.4%***	***21,975***	***19,974***	***90.9%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
September 15, 2017

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	124	***66.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	124	***66.0%***
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	535	***96.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	535	***96.9%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	492	497	***101.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	492	497	***101.0%***
Manning Correctional Institution	796	534	***67.1%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	9	***45.0%***	839	566	***67.5%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	235	***80.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	235	***80.5%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,320***	***1,925***	***83.0%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***9***	***45.0%***	***2,363***	***1,957***	***82.8%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	966	933	***96.6%***	87	57	***65.5%***	83	42	***50.6%***	1,136	1,032	***90.8%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,199	1,189	***99.2%***	119	100	***84.0%***	35	33	***94.3%***	1,353	1,322	***97.7%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,259	1,193	***94.8%***	81	69	***85.2%***	20	19	***95.0%***	1,360	1,281	***94.2%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	670	665	***99.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	670	665	***99.3%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	931	929	***99.8%***	89	65	***73.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,020	994	***97.5%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	335	331	***98.8%***	47	39	***83.0%***	281	179	***63.7%***	663	549	***82.8%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	676	567	***83.9%***	43	29	***67.4%***	764	402	***52.6%***	1,483	998	***67.3%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	989	1,154	***116.7%***	106	63	***59.4%***	57	25	***43.9%***	1,152	1,242	***107.8%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	821	804	***97.9%***	12	12	***100.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	833	816	***98.0%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,846***	***7,765***	***99.0%***	***584***	***434***	***74.3%***	***1,240***	***700***	***56.5%***	***9,670***	***8,899***	***92.0%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,225	1,207	***98.5%***	147	114	***77.6%***	65	60	***92.3%***	1,437	1,381	***96.1%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	88	82	***93.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	89	***90.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	274	***75.3%***	142	82	***57.7%***	408	166	***40.7%***	914	522	***57.1%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	1,211	***121.6%***	996	1,211	***121.6%***
Lee Correctional Institution	968	954	***98.6%***	300	283	***94.3%***	245	96	***39.2%***	1,513	1,333	***88.1%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	972	918	***94.4%***	328	240	***73.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,300	1,158	***89.1%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	867	***97.9%***	79	79	***100.0%***	146	132	***90.4%***	1,111	1,078	***97.0%***
Perry Correctional Institution	574	560	***97.6%***	378	274	***72.5%***	20	20	***100.0%***	972	854	***87.9%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***4,999***	***4,787***	***95.8%***	***1,462***	***1,154***	***78.9%***	***1,880***	***1,685***	***89.6%***	***8,341***	***7,626***	***91.4%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,165***	***14,477***	***95.5%***	***2,069***	***1,611***	***77.9%***	***3,140***	***2,394***	***76.2%***	***20,374***	***18,482***	***90.7%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	448	412	***92.0%***	150	86	***57.3%***	214	157	***73.4%***	812	655	***80.7%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	129	***124.0%***	104	129	***124.0%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	423	***128.6%***	223	177	***79.4%***	100	71	***71.0%***	652	671	***102.9%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***777***	***835***	***107.5%***	***373***	***263***	***70.5%***	***418***	***357***	***85.4%***	***1,568***	***1,455***	***92.8%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***15,942***	***15,312***	***96.0%***	***2,442***	***1,874***	***76.7%***	***3,558***	***2,751***	***77.3%***	***21,942***	***19,937***	***90.9%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
October 15, 2017

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	123	***65.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	123	***65.4%***
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	508	***92.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	508	***92.0%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	492	505	***102.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	492	505	***102.6%***
Manning Correctional Institution	796	533	***67.0%***	23	23	***100.0%***	20	7	***35.0%***	839	563	***67.1%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	224	***76.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	224	***76.7%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,320***	***1,893***	***81.6%***	***23***	***23***	***100.0%***	***20***	***7***	***35.0%***	***2,363***	***1,923***	***81.4%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	893	942	***105.5%***	87	56	***64.4%***	98	51	***52.0%***	1,078	1,049	***97.3%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,204	1,192	***99.0%***	119	108	***90.8%***	36	34	***94.4%***	1,359	1,334	***98.2%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,259	1,187	***94.3%***	80	78	***97.5%***	20	19	***95.0%***	1,359	1,284	***94.5%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	672	661	***98.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	672	661	***98.4%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	914	902	***98.7%***	89	76	***85.4%***	20	12	***60.0%***	1,023	990	***96.8%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	326	***97.0%***	47	39	***83.0%***	336	200	***59.5%***	719	565	***78.6%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	614	567	***92.3%***	43	37	***86.0%***	764	432	***56.5%***	1,421	1,036	***72.9%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	990	1,148	***116.0%***	106	75	***70.8%***	57	28	***49.1%***	1,153	1,251	***108.5%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	822	809	***98.4%***	12	11	***91.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	834	820	***98.3%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,704***	***7,734***	***100.4%***	***583***	***480***	***82.3%***	***1,331***	***776***	***58.3%***	***9,618***	***8,990***	***93.5%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,225	1,205	***98.4%***	147	108	***73.5%***	65	61	***93.8%***	1,437	1,374	***95.6%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	88	75	***85.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	82	***83.7%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	273	***75.0%***	142	125	***88.0%***	408	158	***38.7%***	914	556	***60.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	1,084	***108.8%***	996	1,084	***108.8%***
Lee Correctional Institution	970	948	***97.7%***	334	306	***91.6%***	245	78	***31.8%***	1,549	1,332	***86.0%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	971	938	***96.6%***	235	207	***88.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,206	1,145	***94.9%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	886	845	***95.4%***	79	44	***55.7%***	146	139	***95.2%***	1,111	1,028	***92.5%***
Perry Correctional Institution	574	554	***96.5%***	378	304	***80.4%***	20	19	***95.0%***	972	877	***90.2%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,000***	***4,770***	***95.4%***	***1,403***	***1,169***	***83.3%***	***1,880***	***1,539***	***81.9%***	***8,283***	***7,478***	***90.3%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,024***	***14,397***	***95.8%***	***2,009***	***1,672***	***83.2%***	***3,231***	***2,322***	***71.9%***	***20,264***	***18,391***	***90.8%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	448	355	***79.2%***	150	104	***69.3%***	214	160	***74.8%***	812	619	***76.2%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	130	***125.0%***	104	130	***125.0%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	433	***131.6%***	223	179	***80.3%***	100	71	***71.0%***	652	683	***104.8%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***777***	***788***	***101.4%***	***373***	***283***	***75.9%***	***418***	***361***	***86.4%***	***1,568***	***1,432***	***91.3%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***15,801***	***15,185***	***96.1%***	***2,382***	***1,955***	***82.1%***	***3,649***	***2,683***	***73.5%***	***21,832***	***19,823***	***90.8%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
November 15, 2017

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Catawba Pre-Release Center	188	95	***50.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	188	95	***50.5%***
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	543	***98.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	543	***98.4%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	492	490	***99.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	492	490	***99.6%***
Manning Correctional Institution	796	512	***64.3%***	23	22	***95.7%***	20	18	***90.0%***	839	552	***65.8%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	224	***76.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	224	***76.7%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,320***	***1,864***	***80.3%***	***23***	***22***	***95.7%***	***20***	***18***	***90.0%***	***2,363***	***1,904***	***80.6%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	858	972	***113.3%***	87	59	***67.8%***	133	66	***49.6%***	1,078	1,097	***101.8%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,206	1,190	***98.7%***	119	107	***89.9%***	36	35	***97.2%***	1,361	1,332	***97.9%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,258	1,248	***99.2%***	91	89	***97.8%***	20	13	***65.0%***	1,369	1,350	***98.6%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	704	664	***94.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	704	664	***94.3%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	915	907	***99.1%***	89	61	***68.5%***	20	17	***85.0%***	1,024	985	***96.2%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	335	***99.7%***	47	32	***68.1%***	336	238	***70.8%***	719	605	***84.1%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	613	556	***90.7%***	42	32	***76.2%***	722	490	***67.9%***	1,377	1,078	***78.3%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	990	1,158	***117.0%***	106	77	***72.6%***	57	22	***38.6%***	1,153	1,257	***109.0%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	818	858	***104.9%***	12	12	***100.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	830	870	***104.8%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,698***	***7,888***	***102.5%***	***593***	***469***	***79.1%***	***1,324***	***881***	***66.5%***	***9,615***	***9,238***	***96.1%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,225	1,173	***95.8%***	146	112	***76.7%***	65	58	***89.2%***	1,436	1,343	***93.5%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	5	***50.0%***	88	73	***83.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	78	***79.6%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	259	***71.2%***	142	115	***81.0%***	408	157	***38.5%***	914	531	***58.1%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	911	***91.5%***	996	911	***91.5%***
Lee Correctional Institution	1,226	1,206	***98.4%***	333	297	***89.2%***	4	4	***100.0%***	1,563	1,507	***96.4%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	972	957	***98.5%***	258	225	***87.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,230	1,182	***96.1%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	634	612	***96.5%***	76	35	***46.1%***	146	138	***94.5%***	856	785	***91.7%***
Perry Correctional Institution	574	569	***99.1%***	378	296	***78.3%***	20	20	***100.0%***	972	885	***91.0%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,005***	***4,781***	***95.5%***	***1,421***	***1,153***	***81.1%***	***1,639***	***1,288***	***78.6%***	***8,065***	***7,222***	***89.5%***
TOTAL MALES	***15,023***	***14,533***	***96.7%***	***2,037***	***1,644***	***80.7%***	***2,983***	***2,187***	***73.3%***	***20,043***	***18,364***	***91.6%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	448	368	***82.1%***	150	96	***64.0%***	214	152	***71.0%***	812	616	***75.9%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	106	***101.9%***	104	106	***101.9%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	429	***130.4%***	223	186	***83.4%***	100	66	***66.0%***	652	681	***104.4%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***777***	***797***	***102.6%***	***373***	***282***	***75.6%***	***418***	***324***	***77.5%***	***1,568***	***1,403***	***89.5%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***15,800***	***15,330***	***97.0%***	***2,410***	***1,926***	***79.9%***	***3,401***	***2,511***	***73.8%***	***21,611***	***19,767***	***91.5%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
December 15, 2017

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	534	***96.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	534	***96.7%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	492	511	***103.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	492	511	***103.9%***
Manning Correctional Institution	796	573	***72.0%***	23	14	***60.9%***	20	16	***80.0%***	839	603	***71.9%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	244	***83.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	244	***83.6%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,132***	***1,862***	***87.3%***	***23***	***14***	***60.9%***	***20***	***16***	***80.0%***	***2,175***	***1,892***	***87.0%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	858	956	***111.4%***	86	51	***59.3%***	133	81	***60.9%***	1,077	1,088	***101.0%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,204	1,195	***99.3%***	118	106	***89.8%***	35	34	***97.1%***	1,357	1,335	***98.4%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,260	1,247	***99.0%***	91	86	***94.5%***	20	19	***95.0%***	1,371	1,352	***98.6%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	670	661	***98.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	670	661	***98.7%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	913	908	***99.5%***	83	68	***81.9%***	20	18	***90.0%***	1,016	994	***97.8%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	330	***98.2%***	47	42	***89.4%***	336	223	***66.4%***	719	595	***82.8%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	612	561	***91.7%***	42	36	***85.7%***	721	465	***64.5%***	1,375	1,062	***77.2%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	990	1,154	***116.6%***	106	76	***71.7%***	63	18	***28.6%***	1,159	1,248	***107.7%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	816	839	***102.8%***	12	10	***83.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	828	849	***102.5%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,659***	***7,851***	***102.5%***	***585***	***475***	***81.2%***	***1,328***	***858***	***64.6%***	***9,572***	***9,184***	***95.9%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	999	988	***98.9%***	394	292	***74.1%***	42	38	***90.5%***	1,435	1,318	***91.8%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	6	***60.0%***	88	81	***92.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	87	***88.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	254	***69.8%***	142	120	***84.5%***	408	152	***37.3%***	914	526	***57.5%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	939	***94.3%***	996	939	***94.3%***
Lee Correctional Institution	1,225	1,215	***99.2%***	334	305	***91.3%***	4	4	***100.0%***	1,563	1,524	***97.5%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	972	958	***98.6%***	258	242	***93.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,230	1,200	***97.6%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	634	624	***98.4%***	79	45	***57.0%***	146	138	***94.5%***	859	807	***93.9%***
Perry Correctional Institution	574	566	***98.6%***	378	282	***74.6%***	20	20	***100.0%***	972	868	***89.3%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***4,778***	***4,611***	***96.5%***	***1,673***	***1,367***	***81.7%***	***1,616***	***1,291***	***79.9%***	***8,067***	***7,269***	***90.1%***
TOTAL MALES	***14,569***	***14,324***	***98.3%***	***2,281***	***1,856***	***81.4%***	***2,964***	***2,165***	***73.0%***	***19,814***	***18,345***	***92.6%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	448	373	***83.3%***	150	98	***65.3%***	214	144	***67.3%***	812	615	***75.7%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	102	***98.1%***	104	102	***98.1%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	421	***128.0%***	223	189	***84.8%***	100	64	***64.0%***	652	674	***103.4%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***777***	***794***	***102.2%***	***373***	***287***	***76.9%***	***418***	***310***	***74.2%***	***1,568***	***1,391***	***88.7%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***15,346***	***15,118***	***98.5%***	***2,654***	***2,143***	***80.7%***	***3,382***	***2,475***	***73.2%***	***21,382***	***19,736***	***92.3%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
January 15, 2018

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	536	***97.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	536	***97.1%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	492	496	***100.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	492	496	***100.8%***
Manning Correctional Institution	784	552	***70.4%***	23	21	***91.3%***	32	24	***75.0%***	839	597	***71.2%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	228	***78.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	228	***78.1%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,120***	***1,812***	***85.5%***	***23***	***21***	***91.3%***	***32***	***24***	***75.0%***	***2,175***	***1,857***	***85.4%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	856	930	***108.6%***	88	64	***72.7%***	133	73	***54.9%***	1,077	1,067	***99.1%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,203	1,151	***95.7%***	188	133	***70.7%***	35	30	***85.7%***	1,426	1,314	***92.1%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,260	1,237	***98.2%***	94	93	***98.9%***	20	18	***90.0%***	1,374	1,348	***98.1%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	672	658	***97.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	672	658	***97.9%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	913	896	***98.1%***	85	71	***83.5%***	20	15	***75.0%***	1,018	982	***96.5%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	317	***94.3%***	47	28	***59.6%***	336	236	***70.2%***	719	581	***80.8%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	612	546	***89.2%***	42	31	***73.8%***	708	487	***68.8%***	1,362	1,064	***78.1%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	989	1,147	***116.0%***	106	82	***77.4%***	64	15	***23.4%***	1,159	1,244	***107.3%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	816	840	***102.9%***	12	10	***83.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	828	850	***102.7%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,657***	***7,722***	***100.8%***	***662***	***512***	***77.3%***	***1,316***	***874***	***66.4%***	***9,635***	***9,108***	***94.5%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,001	950	***94.9%***	396	338	***85.4%***	40	35	***87.5%***	1,437	1,323	***92.1%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	88	76	***86.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	83	***84.7%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	267	***73.4%***	142	133	***93.7%***	408	154	***37.7%***	914	554	***60.6%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	744	***74.7%***	996	744	***74.7%***
Lee Correctional Institution	1,223	1,203	***98.4%***	344	311	***90.4%***	4	4	***100.0%***	1,571	1,518	***96.6%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,130	1,107	***98.0%***	96	86	***89.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,226	1,193	***97.3%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	634	624	***98.4%***	79	45	***57.0%***	146	136	***93.2%***	859	805	***93.7%***
Perry Correctional Institution	670	659	***98.4%***	282	186	***66.0%***	20	20	***100.0%***	972	865	***89.0%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,032***	***4,817***	***95.7%***	***1,427***	***1,175***	***82.3%***	***1,614***	***1,093***	***67.7%***	***8,073***	***7,085***	***87.8%***
TOTAL MALES	***14,809***	***14,351***	***96.9%***	***2,112***	***1,708***	***80.9%***	***2,962***	***1,991***	***67.2%***	***19,883***	***18,050***	***90.8%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	448	361	***80.6%***	150	93	***62.0%***	214	143	***66.8%***	812	597	***73.5%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	104	***100.0%***	104	104	***100.0%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	402	***122.2%***	223	192	***86.1%***	100	64	***64.0%***	652	658	***100.9%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***777***	***763***	***98.2%***	***373***	***285***	***76.4%***	***418***	***311***	***74.4%***	***1,568***	***1,359***	***86.7%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***15,586***	***15,114***	***97.0%***	***2,485***	***1,993***	***80.2%***	***3,380***	***2,302***	***68.1%***	***21,451***	***19,409***	***90.5%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
February 15, 2018

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	540	***97.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	540	***97.8%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	492	485	***98.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	492	485	***98.6%***
Manning Correctional Institution	784	548	***69.9%***	23	22	***95.7%***	32	14	***43.8%***	839	584	***69.6%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	292	215	***73.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	215	***73.6%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***2,120***	***1,788***	***84.3%***	***23***	***22***	***95.7%***	***32***	***14***	***43.8%***	***2,175***	***1,824***	***83.9%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	856	939	***109.7%***	86	64	***74.4%***	132	66	***50.0%***	1,074	1,069	***99.5%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,203	1,190	***98.9%***	188	123	***65.4%***	35	35	***100.0%***	1,426	1,348	***94.5%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,254	1,243	***99.1%***	95	92	***96.8%***	20	19	***95.0%***	1,369	1,354	***98.9%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	672	661	***98.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	672	661	***98.4%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	913	908	***99.5%***	85	71	***83.5%***	20	13	***65.0%***	1,018	992	***97.4%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	333	***99.1%***	47	41	***87.2%***	336	234	***69.6%***	719	608	***84.6%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	608	562	***92.4%***	41	32	***78.0%***	708	448	***63.3%***	1,357	1,042	***76.8%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	942	1,088	***115.5%***	106	95	***89.6%***	64	22	***34.4%***	1,112	1,205	***108.4%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	818	841	***102.8%***	12	12	***100.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	830	853	***102.8%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,602***	***7,765***	***102.1%***	***660***	***530***	***80.3%***	***1,315***	***837***	***63.7%***	***9,577***	***9,132***	***95.4%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,000	987	***98.7%***	396	301	***76.0%***	40	33	***82.5%***	1,436	1,321	***92.0%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	88	77	***87.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	84	***85.7%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	267	***73.4%***	142	118	***83.1%***	408	151	***37.0%***	914	536	***58.6%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	702	***70.5%***	996	702	***70.5%***
Lee Correctional Institution	1,261	1,240	***98.3%***	306	273	***89.2%***	4	4	***100.0%***	1,571	1,517	***96.6%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,130	1,119	***99.0%***	89	77	***86.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,219	1,196	***98.1%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	634	628	***99.1%***	79	44	***55.7%***	146	140	***95.9%***	859	812	***94.5%***
Perry Correctional Institution	670	664	***99.1%***	282	198	***70.2%***	20	19	***95.0%***	972	881	***90.6%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,069***	***4,912***	***96.9%***	***1,382***	***1,088***	***78.7%***	***1,614***	***1,049***	***65.0%***	***8,065***	***7,049***	***87.4%***
TOTAL MALES	***14,791***	***14,465***	***97.8%***	***2,065***	***1,640***	***79.4%***	***2,961***	***1,900***	***64.2%***	***19,817***	***18,005***	***90.9%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	448	352	***78.6%***	150	94	***62.7%***	214	157	***73.4%***	812	603	***74.3%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	110	***105.8%***	104	110	***105.8%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	420	***127.7%***	223	180	***80.7%***	100	67	***67.0%***	652	667	***102.3%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***777***	***772***	***99.4%***	***373***	***274***	***73.5%***	***418***	***334***	***79.9%***	***1,568***	***1,380***	***88.0%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***15,568***	***15,237***	***97.9%***	***2,438***	***1,914***	***78.5%***	***3,379***	***2,234***	***66.1%***	***21,385***	***19,385***	***90.6%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
March 15, 2018

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	512	***92.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	512	***92.8%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	336	329	***97.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	156	153	***98.1%***	492	482	***98.0%***
Manning Correctional Institution	112	92	***82.1%***	23	22	***95.7%***	704	446	***63.4%***	839	560	***66.7%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	282	***96.6%***	292	282	***96.6%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***1,000***	***933***	***93.3%***	***23***	***22***	***95.7%***	***1,152***	***881***	***76.5%***	***2,175***	***1,836***	***84.4%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	856	960	***112.1%***	86	61	***70.9%***	132	63	***47.7%***	1,074	1,084	***100.9%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,203	1,071	***89.0%***	188	157	***83.5%***	36	35	***97.2%***	1,427	1,263	***88.5%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,249	1,235	***98.9%***	93	87	***93.5%***	20	11	***55.0%***	1,362	1,333	***97.9%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	671	652	***97.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	671	652	***97.2%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	912	899	***98.6%***	87	62	***71.3%***	20	14	***70.0%***	1,019	975	***95.7%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	332	***98.8%***	47	39	***83.0%***	336	253	***75.3%***	719	624	***86.8%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	549	537	***97.8%***	42	34	***81.0%***	778	465	***59.8%***	1,369	1,036	***75.7%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	942	1,080	***114.6%***	106	61	***57.5%***	64	20	***31.3%***	1,112	1,161	***104.4%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	818	791	***96.7%***	12	9	***75.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	830	800	***96.4%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,536***	***7,557***	***100.3%***	***661***	***510***	***77.2%***	***1,386***	***861***	***62.1%***	***9,583***	***8,928***	***93.2%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,002	984	***98.2%***	399	309	***77.4%***	39	30	***76.9%***	1,440	1,323	***91.9%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	88	78	***88.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	98	85	***86.7%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	253	***69.5%***	142	113	***79.6%***	408	163	***40.0%***	914	529	***57.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	733	***73.6%***	996	733	***73.6%***
Lee Correctional Institution	1,263	1,228	***97.2%***	314	286	***91.1%***	4	4	***100.0%***	1,581	1,518	***96.0%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,131	1,121	***99.1%***	78	64	***82.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,209	1,185	***98.0%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	634	625	***98.6%***	78	44	***56.4%***	146	140	***95.9%***	858	809	***94.3%***
Perry Correctional Institution	670	661	***98.7%***	186	112	***60.2%***	116	97	***83.6%***	972	870	***89.5%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,074***	***4,879***	***96.2%***	***1,285***	***1,006***	***78.3%***	***1,709***	***1,167***	***68.3%***	***8,068***	***7,052***	***87.4%***
TOTAL MALES	***13,610***	***13,369***	***98.2%***	***1,969***	***1,538***	***78.1%***	***4,247***	***2,909***	***68.5%***	***19,826***	***17,816***	***89.9%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	280	252	***90.0%***	150	80	***53.3%***	382	275	***72.0%***	812	607	***74.8%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	93	***89.4%***	104	93	***89.4%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	401	***121.9%***	223	174	***78.0%***	100	70	***70.0%***	652	645	***98.9%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***609***	***653***	***107.2%***	***373***	***254***	***68.1%***	***586***	***438***	***74.7%***	***1,568***	***1,345***	***85.8%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***14,219***	***14,022***	***98.6%***	***2,342***	***1,792***	***76.5%***	***4,833***	***3,347***	***69.3%***	***21,394***	***19,161***	***89.6%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
April 15, 2018

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	502	***90.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	502	***90.9%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	336	324	***96.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	156	153	***98.1%***	492	477	***97.0%***
Manning Correctional Institution	112	97	***86.6%***	23	21	***91.3%***	704	420	***59.7%***	839	538	***64.1%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	272	***93.2%***	292	272	***93.2%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***1,000***	***923***	***92.3%***	***23***	***21***	***91.3%***	***1,152***	***845***	***73.4%***	***2,175***	***1,789***	***82.3%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	858	923	***107.6%***	88	62	***70.5%***	132	62	***47.0%***	1,078	1,047	***97.1%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,201	1,066	***88.8%***	188	145	***77.1%***	35	35	***100.0%***	1,424	1,246	***87.5%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,124	1,101	***98.0%***	91	87	***95.6%***	148	114	***77.0%***	1,363	1,302	***95.5%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	672	662	***98.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	672	662	***98.5%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	914	902	***98.7%***	43	35	***81.4%***	63	46	***73.0%***	1,020	983	***96.4%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	329	***97.9%***	47	42	***89.4%***	336	242	***72.0%***	719	613	***85.3%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	549	534	***97.3%***	42	30	***71.4%***	777	447	***57.5%***	1,368	1,011	***73.9%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	942	1,069	***113.5%***	70	58	***82.9%***	100	42	***42.0%***	1,112	1,169	***105.1%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	818	803	***98.2%***	12	11	***91.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	830	814	***98.1%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,414***	***7,389***	***99.7%***	***581***	***470***	***80.9%***	***1,591***	***988***	***62.1%***	***9,586***	***8,847***	***92.3%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,000	992	***99.2%***	147	127	***86.4%***	288	213	***74.0%***	1,435	1,332	***92.8%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	88	79	***89.8%***	98	86	***87.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	241	***66.2%***	142	108	***76.1%***	408	165	***40.4%***	914	514	***56.2%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	834	***83.7%***	996	834	***83.7%***
Lee Correctional Institution	1,272	1,266	***99.5%***	136	133	***97.8%***	246	184	***74.8%***	1,654	1,583	***95.7%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,124	1,116	***99.3%***	81	71	***87.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,205	1,187	***98.5%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	633	624	***98.6%***	79	46	***58.2%***	146	140	***95.9%***	858	810	***94.4%***
Perry Correctional Institution	670	658	***98.2%***	231	134	***58.0%***	20	20	***100.0%***	921	812	***88.2%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***5,073***	***4,904***	***96.7%***	***816***	***619***	***75.9%***	***2,192***	***1,635***	***74.6%***	***8,081***	***7,158***	***88.6%***
TOTAL MALES	***13,487***	***13,216***	***98.0%***	***1,420***	***1,110***	***78.2%***	***4,935***	***3,468***	***70.3%***	***19,842***	***17,794***	***89.7%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	280	239	***85.4%***	106	70	***66.0%***	426	308	***72.3%***	812	617	***76.0%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	95	***91.3%***	104	95	***91.3%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	375	***114.0%***	44	26	***59.1%***	279	225	***80.6%***	652	626	***96.0%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***609***	***614***	***100.8%***	***150***	***96***	***64.0%***	***809***	***628***	***77.6%***	***1,568***	***1,338***	***85.3%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***14,096***	***13,830***	***98.1%***	***1,570***	***1,206***	***76.8%***	***5,744***	***4,096***	***71.3%***	***21,410***	***19,132***	***89.4%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
May 15, 2018

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	517	***93.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	517	***93.7%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	336	302	***89.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	156	148	***94.9%***	492	450	***91.5%***
Manning Correctional Institution	112	101	***90.2%***	23	21	***91.3%***	704	409	***58.1%***	839	531	***63.3%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	265	***90.8%***	292	265	***90.8%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***1,000***	***920***	***92.0%***	***23***	***21***	***91.3%***	***1,152***	***822***	***71.4%***	***2,175***	***1,763***	***81.1%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	858	895	***104.3%***	88	70	***79.5%***	132	80	***60.6%***	1,078	1,045	***96.9%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,203	1,082	***89.9%***	188	130	***69.1%***	35	33	***94.3%***	1,426	1,245	***87.3%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,127	1,107	***98.2%***	94	91	***96.8%***	148	99	***66.9%***	1,369	1,297	***94.7%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	672	665	***99.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	672	665	***99.0%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	916	887	***96.8%***	43	33	***76.7%***	63	36	***57.1%***	1,022	956	***93.5%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	312	***92.9%***	47	32	***68.1%***	280	221	***78.9%***	663	565	***85.2%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	547	529	***96.7%***	42	31	***73.8%***	778	469	***60.3%***	1,367	1,029	***75.3%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	942	1,091	***115.8%***	70	51	***72.9%***	100	44	***44.0%***	1,112	1,186	***106.7%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	818	795	***97.2%***	12	11	***91.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	830	806	***97.1%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,419***	***7,363***	***99.2%***	***584***	***449***	***76.9%***	***1,536***	***982***	***63.9%***	***9,539***	***8,794***	***92.2%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	999	985	***98.6%***	147	141	***95.9%***	288	218	***75.7%***	1,434	1,344	***93.7%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	88	79	***89.8%***	98	86	***87.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	236	***64.8%***	142	110	***77.5%***	408	165	***40.4%***	914	511	***55.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	938	***94.2%***	996	938	***94.2%***
Lee Correctional Institution	1,146	1,085	***94.7%***	202	191	***94.6%***	118	92	***78.0%***	1,466	1,368	***93.3%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,130	1,107	***98.0%***	117	104	***88.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,247	1,211	***97.1%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	634	623	***98.3%***	97	82	***84.5%***	274	226	***82.5%***	1,005	931	***92.6%***
Perry Correctional Institution	670	658	***98.2%***	187	144	***77.0%***	20	20	***100.0%***	877	822	***93.7%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***4,953***	***4,701***	***94.9%***	***892***	***772***	***86.5%***	***2,192***	***1,738***	***79.3%***	***8,037***	***7,211***	***89.7%***
TOTAL MALES	***13,372***	***12,984***	***97.1%***	***1,499***	***1,242***	***82.9%***	***4,880***	***3,542***	***72.6%***	***19,751***	***17,768***	***90.0%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	280	238	***85.0%***	106	67	***63.2%***	426	334	***78.4%***	812	639	***78.7%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	104	62	***59.6%***	104	62	***59.6%***
Leath Correctional Institution	329	395	***120.1%***	44	12	***27.3%***	279	228	***81.7%***	652	635	***97.4%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***609***	***633***	***103.9%***	***150***	***79***	***52.7%***	***809***	***624***	***77.1%***	***1,568***	***1,336***	***85.2%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***13,981***	***13,617***	***97.4%***	***1,649***	***1,321***	***80.1%***	***5,689***	***4,166***	***73.2%***	***21,319***	***19,104***	***89.6%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
June 15, 2018

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	492	***89.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	492	***89.1%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	336	302	***89.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	156	135	***86.5%***	492	437	***88.8%***
Manning Correctional Institution	112	100	***89.3%***	23	21	***91.3%***	704	391	***55.5%***	839	512	***61.0%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	249	***85.3%***	292	249	***85.3%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***1,000***	***894***	***89.4%***	***23***	***21***	***91.3%***	***1,152***	***775***	***67.3%***	***2,175***	***1,690***	***77.7%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	858	892	***104.0%***	88	62	***70.5%***	132	75	***56.8%***	1,078	1,029	***95.5%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,076	1,060	***98.5%***	188	115	***61.2%***	164	86	***52.4%***	1,428	1,261	***88.3%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,127	1,108	***98.3%***	94	91	***96.8%***	148	97	***65.5%***	1,369	1,296	***94.7%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	672	663	***98.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	672	663	***98.7%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	912	896	***98.2%***	41	32	***78.0%***	64	29	***45.3%***	1,017	957	***94.1%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	308	***91.7%***	47	42	***89.4%***	274	219	***79.9%***	657	569	***86.6%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	549	532	***96.9%***	42	35	***83.3%***	764	428	***56.0%***	1,355	995	***73.4%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	942	1,090	***115.7%***	70	64	***91.4%***	100	43	***43.0%***	1,112	1,197	***107.6%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	818	776	***94.9%***	12	11	***91.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	830	787	***94.8%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,290***	***7,325***	***100.5%***	***582***	***452***	***77.7%***	***1,646***	***977***	***59.4%***	***9,518***	***8,754***	***92.0%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,002	977	***97.5%***	147	133	***90.5%***	283	221	***78.1%***	1,432	1,331	***92.9%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	88	81	***92.0%***	98	88	***89.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	239	***65.7%***	142	122	***85.9%***	408	174	***42.6%***	914	535	***58.5%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	1,036	***104.0%***	996	1,036	***104.0%***
Lee Correctional Institution	1,148	1,096	***95.5%***	152	143	***94.1%***	119	90	***75.6%***	1,419	1,329	***93.7%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,125	1,117	***99.3%***	111	100	***90.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,236	1,217	***98.5%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	634	628	***99.1%***	97	78	***80.4%***	270	236	***87.4%***	1,001	942	***94.1%***
Perry Correctional Institution	670	653	***97.5%***	187	151	***80.7%***	20	20	***100.0%***	877	824	***94.0%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***4,953***	***4,717***	***95.2%***	***836***	***727***	***87.0%***	***2,184***	***1,858***	***85.1%***	***7,973***	***7,302***	***91.6%***
TOTAL MALES	***13,243***	***12,936***	***97.7%***	***1,441***	***1,200***	***83.3%***	***4,982***	***3,610***	***72.5%***	***19,666***	***17,746***	***90.2%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	280	176	***62.9%***	126	42	***33.3%***	426	313	***73.5%***	832	531	***63.8%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	76	***90.5%***	84	76	***90.5%***
Leath Correctional Institution	521	541	***103.8%***	44	14	***31.8%***	279	191	***68.5%***	844	746	***88.4%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***801***	***717***	***89.5%***	***170***	***56***	***32.9%***	***789***	***580***	***73.5%***	***1,760***	***1,353***	***76.9%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***14,044***	***13,653***	***97.2%***	***1,611***	***1,256***	***78.0%***	***5,771***	***4,190***	***72.6%***	***21,426***	***19,099***	***89.1%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
July 15, 2018

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	454	***82.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	454	***82.2%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	336	286	***85.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	156	143	***91.7%***	492	429	***87.2%***
Manning Correctional Institution	112	100	***89.3%***	23	22	***95.7%***	704	415	***58.9%***	839	537	***64.0%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	255	***87.3%***	292	255	***87.3%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***1,000***	***840***	***84.0%***	***23***	***22***	***95.7%***	***1,152***	***813***	***70.6%***	***2,175***	***1,675***	***77.0%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	858	902	***105.1%***	88	66	***75.0%***	132	75	***56.8%***	1,078	1,043	***96.8%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,075	1,029	***95.7%***	188	130	***69.1%***	164	77	***47.0%***	1,427	1,236	***86.6%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,127	1,093	***97.0%***	94	92	***97.9%***	148	85	***57.4%***	1,369	1,270	***92.8%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	672	648	***96.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	672	648	***96.4%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	908	890	***98.0%***	42	28	***66.7%***	62	30	***48.4%***	1,012	948	***93.7%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	313	***93.2%***	47	43	***91.5%***	275	193	***70.2%***	658	549	***83.4%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	549	536	***97.6%***	42	30	***71.4%***	770	429	***55.7%***	1,361	995	***73.1%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	942	1,086	***115.3%***	70	58	***82.9%***	100	34	***34.0%***	1,112	1,178	***105.9%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	818	704	***86.1%***	12	11	***91.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	830	715	***86.1%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,285***	***7,201***	***98.8%***	***583***	***458***	***78.6%***	***1,651***	***923***	***55.9%***	***9,519***	***8,582***	***90.2%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,003	985	***98.2%***	147	126	***85.7%***	283	220	***77.7%***	1,433	1,331	***92.9%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	88	68	***77.3%***	98	75	***76.5%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	250	***68.7%***	142	127	***89.4%***	408	177	***43.4%***	914	554	***60.6%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	1,127	***113.2%***	996	1,127	***113.2%***
Lee Correctional Institution	1,148	1,080	***94.1%***	109	93	***85.3%***	119	88	***73.9%***	1,376	1,261	***91.6%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,119	1,106	***98.8%***	101	87	***86.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,220	1,193	***97.8%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	634	625	***98.6%***	97	79	***81.4%***	269	242	***90.0%***	1,000	946	***94.6%***
Perry Correctional Institution	670	650	***97.0%***	187	157	***84.0%***	20	20	***100.0%***	877	827	***94.3%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***4,948***	***4,703***	***95.0%***	***783***	***669***	***85.4%***	***2,183***	***1,942***	***89.0%***	***7,914***	***7,314***	***92.4%***
TOTAL MALES	***13,233***	***12,744***	***96.3%***	***1,389***	***1,149***	***82.7%***	***4,986***	***3,678***	***73.8%***	***19,608***	***17,571***	***89.6%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	280	166	***59.3%***	126	49	***38.9%***	426	307	***72.1%***	832	522	***62.7%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	115	***136.9%***	84	115	***136.9%***
Leath Correctional Institution	521	497	***95.4%***	44	15	***34.1%***	279	208	***74.6%***	844	720	***85.3%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***801***	***663***	***82.8%***	***170***	***64***	***37.6%***	***789***	***630***	***79.8%***	***1,760***	***1,357***	***77.1%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***14,034***	***13,407***	***95.5%***	***1,559***	***1,213***	***77.8%***	***5,775***	***4,308***	***74.6%***	***21,368***	***18,928***	***88.6%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
August 15, 2018

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	477	***86.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	477	***86.4%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	336	310	***92.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	156	147	***94.2%***	492	457	***92.9%***
Manning Correctional Institution	112	103	***92.0%***	23	22	***95.7%***	704	428	***60.8%***	839	553	***65.9%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	267	***91.4%***	292	267	***91.4%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***1,000***	***890***	***89.0%***	***23***	***22***	***95.7%***	***1,152***	***842***	***73.1%***	***2,175***	***1,754***	***80.6%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	858	912	***106.3%***	88	71	***80.7%***	132	74	***56.1%***	1,078	1,057	***98.1%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,076	1,057	***98.2%***	187	122	***65.2%***	164	77	***47.0%***	1,427	1,256	***88.0%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,131	1,120	***99.0%***	95	94	***98.9%***	148	98	***66.2%***	1,374	1,312	***95.5%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	672	660	***98.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	672	660	***98.2%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	908	896	***98.7%***	42	30	***71.4%***	62	17	***27.4%***	1,012	943	***93.2%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	309	***92.0%***	47	43	***91.5%***	336	189	***56.3%***	719	541	***75.2%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	549	536	***97.6%***	42	36	***85.7%***	770	445	***57.8%***	1,361	1,017	***74.7%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	942	1,092	***115.9%***	70	53	***75.7%***	100	53	***53.0%***	1,112	1,198	***107.7%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	757	***86.8%***	12	11	***91.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	768	***86.9%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,344***	***7,339***	***99.9%***	***583***	***460***	***78.9%***	***1,712***	***953***	***55.7%***	***9,639***	***8,752***	***90.8%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	999	984	***98.5%***	125	109	***87.2%***	278	238	***85.6%***	1,402	1,331	***94.9%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	8	***80.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	88	67	***76.1%***	98	75	***76.5%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	254	***69.8%***	142	120	***84.5%***	408	174	***42.6%***	914	548	***60.0%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	1,005	***100.9%***	996	1,005	***100.9%***
Lee Correctional Institution	1,147	1,040	***90.7%***	111	108	***97.3%***	119	80	***67.2%***	1,377	1,228	***89.2%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,118	1,115	***99.7%***	75	66	***88.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,193	1,181	***99.0%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	506	497	***98.2%***	97	81	***83.5%***	397	363	***91.4%***	1,000	941	***94.1%***
Perry Correctional Institution	670	660	***98.5%***	187	178	***95.2%***	20	19	***95.0%***	877	857	***97.7%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***4,814***	***4,558***	***94.7%***	***737***	***662***	***89.8%***	***2,306***	***1,946***	***84.4%***	***7,857***	***7,166***	***91.2%***
TOTAL MALES	***13,158***	***12,787***	***97.2%***	***1,343***	***1,144***	***85.2%***	***5,170***	***3,741***	***72.4%***	***19,671***	***17,672***	***89.8%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	280	197	***70.4%***	126	49	***38.9%***	426	295	***69.2%***	832	541	***65.0%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	43	***51.2%***	84	43	***51.2%***
Leath Correctional Institution	521	511	***98.1%***	44	14	***31.8%***	279	221	***79.2%***	844	746	***88.4%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***801***	***708***	***88.4%***	***170***	***63***	***37.1%***	***789***	***559***	***70.8%***	***1,760***	***1,330***	***75.6%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***13,959***	***13,495***	***96.7%***	***1,513***	***1,207***	***79.8%***	***5,959***	***4,300***	***72.2%***	***21,431***	***19,002***	***88.7%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
September 15, 2018

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	458	***83.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	458	***83.0%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	336	291	***86.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	156	147	***94.2%***	492	438	***89.0%***
Manning Correctional Institution	112	98	***87.5%***	23	22	***95.7%***	704	436	***61.9%***	839	556	***66.3%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	0	***0.0%***	292	0	***0.0%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***1,000***	***847***	***84.7%***	***23***	***22***	***95.7%***	***1,152***	***583***	***50.6%***	***2,175***	***1,452***	***66.8%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	858	929	***108.3%***	88	74	***84.1%***	132	68	***51.5%***	1,078	1,071	***99.4%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,076	1,040	***96.7%***	187	125	***66.8%***	164	72	***43.9%***	1,427	1,237	***86.7%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,132	1,103	***97.4%***	96	94	***97.9%***	148	95	***64.2%***	1,376	1,292	***93.9%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	672	650	***96.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	672	650	***96.7%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	910	886	***97.4%***	44	35	***79.5%***	60	29	***48.3%***	1,014	950	***93.7%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	322	***95.8%***	47	40	***85.1%***	336	172	***51.2%***	719	534	***74.3%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	613	594	***96.9%***	42	32	***76.2%***	963	653	***67.8%***	1,618	1,279	***79.0%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	940	1,080	***114.9%***	70	57	***81.4%***	98	67	***68.4%***	1,108	1,204	***108.7%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	704	***80.7%***	12	12	***100.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	716	***81.0%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,409***	***7,308***	***98.6%***	***586***	***469***	***80.0%***	***1,901***	***1,156***	***60.8%***	***9,896***	***8,933***	***90.3%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	995	989	***99.4%***	125	115	***92.0%***	273	246	***90.1%***	1,393	1,350	***96.9%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	6	***60.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	88	61	***69.3%***	98	67	***68.4%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	256	***70.3%***	142	123	***86.6%***	408	177	***43.4%***	914	556	***60.8%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	904	***90.8%***	996	904	***90.8%***
Lee Correctional Institution	1,147	1,112	***96.9%***	111	105	***94.6%***	119	91	***76.5%***	1,377	1,308	***95.0%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,116	1,102	***98.7%***	75	64	***85.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,191	1,166	***97.9%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	506	499	***98.6%***	97	78	***80.4%***	397	356	***89.7%***	1,000	933	***93.3%***
Perry Correctional Institution	670	662	***98.8%***	187	173	***92.5%***	20	20	***100.0%***	877	855	***97.5%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***4,808***	***4,626***	***96.2%***	***737***	***658***	***89.3%***	***2,301***	***1,855***	***80.6%***	***7,846***	***7,139***	***91.0%***
TOTAL MALES	***13,217***	***12,781***	***96.7%***	***1,346***	***1,149***	***85.4%***	***5,354***	***3,594***	***67.1%***	***19,917***	***17,524***	***88.0%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	280	211	***75.4%***	126	51	***40.5%***	426	293	***68.8%***	832	555	***66.7%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	43	***51.2%***	84	43	***51.2%***
Leath Correctional Institution	696	614	***88.2%***	44	23	***52.3%***	104	81	***77.9%***	844	718	***85.1%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***976***	***825***	***84.5%***	***170***	***74***	***43.5%***	***614***	***417***	***67.9%***	***1,760***	***1,316***	***74.8%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***14,193***	***13,606***	***95.9%***	***1,516***	***1,223***	***80.7%***	***5,968***	***4,011***	***67.2%***	***21,677***	***18,840***	***86.9%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
October 15, 2018

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	429	***77.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	429	***77.7%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	336	294	***87.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	156	152	***97.4%***	492	446	***90.7%***
Manning Correctional Institution	112	102	***91.1%***	23	20	***87.0%***	704	438	***62.2%***	839	560	***66.7%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	258	***88.4%***	292	258	***88.4%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***1,000***	***825***	***82.5%***	***23***	***20***	***87.0%***	***1,152***	***848***	***73.6%***	***2,175***	***1,693***	***77.8%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	858	924	***107.7%***	88	65	***73.9%***	132	66	***50.0%***	1,078	1,055	***97.9%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,077	1,053	***97.8%***	186	118	***63.4%***	164	68	***41.5%***	1,427	1,239	***86.8%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,132	1,124	***99.3%***	96	93	***96.9%***	148	80	***54.1%***	1,376	1,297	***94.3%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	672	649	***96.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	672	649	***96.6%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	908	903	***99.4%***	44	35	***79.5%***	58	28	***48.3%***	1,010	966	***95.6%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	280	251	***89.6%***	47	41	***87.2%***	336	226	***67.3%***	663	518	***78.1%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	549	535	***97.4%***	42	33	***78.6%***	770	434	***56.4%***	1,361	1,002	***73.6%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	940	1,079	***114.8%***	70	67	***95.7%***	98	59	***60.2%***	1,108	1,205	***108.8%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	710	***81.4%***	12	12	***100.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	722	***81.7%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,288***	***7,228***	***99.2%***	***585***	***464***	***79.3%***	***1,706***	***961***	***56.3%***	***9,579***	***8,653***	***90.3%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	997	975	***97.8%***	121	107	***88.4%***	281	257	***91.5%***	1,399	1,339	***95.7%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	6	***60.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	88	52	***59.1%***	98	58	***59.2%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	251	***69.0%***	142	118	***83.1%***	408	183	***44.9%***	914	552	***60.4%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	996	920	***92.4%***	996	920	***92.4%***
Lee Correctional Institution	766	737	***96.2%***	111	107	***96.4%***	500	467	***93.4%***	1,377	1,311	***95.2%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,125	1,103	***98.0%***	73	63	***86.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,198	1,166	***97.3%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	634	625	***98.6%***	97	75	***77.3%***	269	230	***85.5%***	1,000	930	***93.0%***
Perry Correctional Institution	670	663	***99.0%***	186	170	***91.4%***	20	20	***100.0%***	876	853	***97.4%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***4,566***	***4,360***	***95.5%***	***730***	***640***	***87.7%***	***2,562***	***2,129***	***83.1%***	***7,858***	***7,129***	***90.7%***
TOTAL MALES	***12,854***	***12,413***	***96.6%***	***1,338***	***1,124***	***84.0%***	***5,420***	***3,938***	***72.7%***	***19,612***	***17,475***	***89.1%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	284	172	***60.6%***	122	70	***57.4%***	426	301	***70.7%***	832	543	***65.3%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	98	***116.7%***	84	98	***116.7%***
Leath Correctional Institution	696	596	***85.6%***	44	22	***50.0%***	104	69	***66.3%***	844	687	***81.4%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***980***	***768***	***78.4%***	***166***	***92***	***55.4%***	***614***	***468***	***76.2%***	***1,760***	***1,328***	***75.5%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***13,834***	***13,181***	***95.3%***	***1,504***	***1,216***	***80.9%***	***6,034***	***4,406***	***73.0%***	***21,372***	***18,803***	***88.0%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
November 15, 2018

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	419	***75.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	419	***75.9%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	336	298	***88.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	156	147	***94.2%***	492	445	***90.4%***
Manning Correctional Institution	112	95	***84.8%***	23	16	***69.6%***	704	432	***61.4%***	839	543	***64.7%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	239	***81.8%***	292	239	***81.8%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***1,000***	***812***	***81.2%***	***23***	***16***	***69.6%***	***1,152***	***818***	***71.0%***	***2,175***	***1,646***	***75.7%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	858	920	***107.2%***	88	65	***73.9%***	132	72	***54.5%***	1,078	1,057	***98.1%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,076	1,066	***99.1%***	186	104	***55.9%***	164	67	***40.9%***	1,426	1,237	***86.7%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,132	1,109	***98.0%***	95	91	***95.8%***	148	102	***68.9%***	1,375	1,302	***94.7%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	672	660	***98.2%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	672	660	***98.2%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	908	893	***98.3%***	44	27	***61.4%***	60	31	***51.7%***	1,012	951	***94.0%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	280	244	***87.1%***	47	43	***91.5%***	336	229	***68.2%***	663	516	***77.8%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	549	525	***95.6%***	42	32	***76.2%***	770	432	***56.1%***	1,361	989	***72.7%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	938	1,091	***116.3%***	70	57	***81.4%***	98	74	***75.5%***	1,106	1,222	***110.5%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	774	***88.8%***	12	12	***100.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	786	***88.9%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,285***	***7,282***	***100.0%***	***584***	***431***	***73.8%***	***1,708***	***1,007***	***59.0%***	***9,577***	***8,720***	***91.1%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	996	973	***97.7%***	122	106	***86.9%***	281	258	***91.8%***	1,399	1,337	***95.6%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	6	***60.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	88	54	***61.4%***	98	60	***61.2%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	248	***68.1%***	142	120	***84.5%***	440	215	***48.9%***	946	583	***61.6%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	964	910	***94.4%***	964	910	***94.4%***
Lee Correctional Institution	766	751	***98.0%***	109	103	***94.5%***	501	476	***95.0%***	1,376	1,330	***96.7%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,120	1,097	***97.9%***	73	61	***83.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,193	1,158	***97.1%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	631	621	***98.4%***	97	71	***73.2%***	265	227	***85.7%***	993	919	***92.5%***
Perry Correctional Institution	670	664	***99.1%***	184	175	***95.1%***	20	20	***100.0%***	874	859	***98.3%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***4,557***	***4,360***	***95.7%***	***727***	***636***	***87.5%***	***2,559***	***2,160***	***84.4%***	***7,843***	***7,156***	***91.2%***
TOTAL MALES	***12,842***	***12,454***	***97.0%***	***1,334***	***1,083***	***81.2%***	***5,419***	***3,985***	***73.5%***	***19,595***	***17,522***	***89.4%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	230	226	***98.3%***	101	39	***38.6%***	497	295	***59.4%***	828	560	***67.6%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	88	***104.8%***	84	88	***104.8%***
Leath Correctional Institution	696	641	***92.1%***	44	10	***22.7%***	104	55	***52.9%***	844	706	***83.6%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***926***	***867***	***93.6%***	***145***	***49***	***33.8%***	***685***	***438***	***63.9%***	***1,756***	***1,354***	***77.1%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***13,768***	***13,321***	***96.8%***	***1,479***	***1,132***	***76.5%***	***6,104***	***4,423***	***72.5%***	***21,351***	***18,876***	***88.4%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
December 15, 2018

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	388	***70.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	388	***70.3%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	336	312	***92.9%***	0	0	***0.0%***	156	141	***90.4%***	492	453	***92.1%***
Manning Correctional Institution	112	99	***88.4%***	23	19	***82.6%***	704	441	***62.6%***	839	559	***66.6%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	225	***77.1%***	292	225	***77.1%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***1,000***	***799***	***79.9%***	***23***	***19***	***82.6%***	***1,152***	***807***	***70.1%***	***2,175***	***1,625***	***74.7%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	858	931	***108.5%***	88	53	***60.2%***	132	69	***52.3%***	1,078	1,053	***97.7%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,075	1,063	***98.9%***	186	108	***58.1%***	164	68	***41.5%***	1,425	1,239	***86.9%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,132	1,115	***98.5%***	95	94	***98.9%***	148	84	***56.8%***	1,375	1,293	***94.0%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	671	660	***98.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	671	660	***98.4%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	908	899	***99.0%***	44	24	***54.5%***	60	25	***41.7%***	1,012	948	***93.7%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	280	224	***80.0%***	47	26	***55.3%***	336	221	***65.8%***	663	471	***71.0%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	548	529	***96.5%***	42	34	***81.0%***	770	432	***56.1%***	1,360	995	***73.2%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	935	1,085	***116.0%***	70	58	***82.9%***	100	66	***66.0%***	1,105	1,209	***109.4%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	820	770	***93.9%***	12	12	***100.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	832	782	***94.0%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,227***	***7,276***	***100.7%***	***584***	***409***	***70.0%***	***1,710***	***965***	***56.4%***	***9,521***	***8,650***	***90.9%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	997	974	***97.7%***	130	115	***88.5%***	273	235	***86.1%***	1,400	1,324	***94.6%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	88	63	***71.6%***	98	70	***71.4%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	253	***69.5%***	132	84	***63.6%***	440	214	***48.6%***	936	551	***58.9%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	974	882	***90.6%***	974	882	***90.6%***
Lee Correctional Institution	766	642	***83.8%***	294	211	***71.8%***	501	475	***94.8%***	1,561	1,328	***85.1%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,121	1,079	***96.3%***	74	59	***79.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,195	1,138	***95.2%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	631	625	***99.0%***	97	78	***80.4%***	265	225	***84.9%***	993	928	***93.5%***
Perry Correctional Institution	670	663	***99.0%***	182	174	***95.6%***	20	20	***100.0%***	872	857	***98.3%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***4,559***	***4,243***	***93.1%***	***909***	***721***	***79.3%***	***2,561***	***2,114***	***82.5%***	***8,029***	***7,078***	***88.2%***
TOTAL MALES	***12,786***	***12,318***	***96.3%***	***1,516***	***1,149***	***75.8%***	***5,423***	***3,886***	***71.7%***	***19,725***	***17,353***	***88.0%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	229	223	***97.4%***	101	37	***36.6%***	473	377	***79.7%***	803	637	***79.3%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	50	***59.5%***	84	50	***59.5%***
Leath Correctional Institution	696	579	***83.2%***	44	7	***15.9%***	104	57	***54.8%***	844	643	***76.2%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***925***	***802***	***86.7%***	***145***	***44***	***30.3%***	***661***	***484***	***73.2%***	***1,731***	***1,330***	***76.8%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***13,711***	***13,120***	***95.7%***	***1,661***	***1,193***	***71.8%***	***6,084***	***4,370***	***71.8%***	***21,456***	***18,683***	***87.1%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
January 15, 2019

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	351	***63.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	351	***63.6%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	336	307	***91.4%***	0	0	***0.0%***	156	135	***86.5%***	492	442	***89.8%***
Manning Correctional Institution	112	98	***87.5%***	23	18	***78.3%***	704	469	***66.6%***	839	585	***69.7%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	245	***83.9%***	292	245	***83.9%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***1,000***	***756***	***75.6%***	***23***	***18***	***78.3%***	***1,152***	***849***	***73.7%***	***2,175***	***1,623***	***74.6%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	858	889	***103.6%***	88	55	***62.5%***	132	67	***50.8%***	1,078	1,011	***93.8%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,074	1,050	***97.8%***	186	115	***61.8%***	164	69	***42.1%***	1,424	1,234	***86.7%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,132	1,113	***98.3%***	92	89	***96.7%***	148	145	***98.0%***	1,372	1,347	***98.2%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	671	658	***98.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	671	658	***98.1%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	907	895	***98.7%***	44	34	***77.3%***	60	20	***33.3%***	1,011	949	***93.9%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	237	***70.5%***	47	33	***70.2%***	336	157	***46.7%***	719	427	***59.4%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	549	525	***95.6%***	42	33	***78.6%***	770	470	***61.0%***	1,361	1,028	***75.5%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	936	1,083	***115.7%***	70	59	***84.3%***	100	55	***55.0%***	1,106	1,197	***108.2%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	821	721	***87.8%***	12	12	***100.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	833	733	***88.0%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,284***	***7,171***	***98.4%***	***581***	***430***	***74.0%***	***1,710***	***983***	***57.5%***	***9,575***	***8,584***	***89.7%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	995	972	***97.7%***	128	118	***92.2%***	266	231	***86.8%***	1,389	1,321	***95.1%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	6	***60.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	88	64	***72.7%***	98	70	***71.4%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	244	***67.0%***	132	88	***66.7%***	440	214	***48.6%***	936	546	***58.3%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	974	837	***85.9%***	974	837	***85.9%***
Lee Correctional Institution	765	609	***79.6%***	290	222	***76.6%***	501	470	***93.8%***	1,556	1,301	***83.6%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,121	1,083	***96.6%***	76	61	***80.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,197	1,144	***95.6%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	631	625	***99.0%***	97	78	***80.4%***	265	218	***82.3%***	993	921	***92.7%***
Perry Correctional Institution	670	663	***99.0%***	181	171	***94.5%***	19	19	***100.0%***	870	853	***98.0%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***4,556***	***4,202***	***92.2%***	***904***	***738***	***81.6%***	***2,553***	***2,053***	***80.4%***	***8,013***	***6,993***	***87.3%***
TOTAL MALES	***12,840***	***12,129***	***94.5%***	***1,508***	***1,186***	***78.6%***	***5,415***	***3,885***	***71.7%***	***19,763***	***17,200***	***87.0%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	230	224	***97.4%***	101	39	***38.6%***	473	360	***76.1%***	804	623	***77.5%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	84	63	***75.0%***	84	63	***75.0%***
Leath Correctional Institution	696	554	***79.6%***	44	18	***40.9%***	104	50	***48.1%***	844	622	***73.7%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***926***	***778***	***84.0%***	***145***	***57***	***39.3%***	***661***	***473***	***71.6%***	***1,732***	***1,308***	***75.5%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***13,766***	***12,907***	***93.8%***	***1,653***	***1,243***	***75.2%***	***6,076***	***4,358***	***71.7%***	***21,495***	***18,508***	***86.1%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
February 15, 2019

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	552	406	***73.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	552	406	***73.6%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	336	291	***86.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	156	143	***91.7%***	492	434	***88.2%***
Manning Correctional Institution	110	95	***86.4%***	23	20	***87.0%***	703	460	***65.4%***	836	575	***68.8%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	262	***89.7%***	292	262	***89.7%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***998***	***792***	***79.4%***	***23***	***20***	***87.0%***	***1,151***	***865***	***75.2%***	***2,172***	***1,677***	***77.2%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	858	903	***105.2%***	88	64	***72.7%***	132	71	***53.8%***	1,078	1,038	***96.3%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,074	1,053	***98.0%***	187	115	***61.5%***	164	68	***41.5%***	1,425	1,236	***86.7%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,132	1,099	***97.1%***	94	91	***96.8%***	148	136	***91.9%***	1,374	1,326	***96.5%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	672	643	***95.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	672	643	***95.7%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	905	891	***98.5%***	44	31	***70.5%***	60	16	***26.7%***	1,009	938	***93.0%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	223	***66.4%***	47	30	***63.8%***	336	148	***44.0%***	719	401	***55.8%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	549	530	***96.5%***	42	37	***88.1%***	767	486	***63.4%***	1,358	1,053	***77.5%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	938	1,083	***115.5%***	70	64	***91.4%***	100	56	***56.0%***	1,108	1,203	***108.6%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	822	697	***84.8%***	12	9	***75.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	834	706	***84.7%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,286***	***7,122***	***97.7%***	***584***	***441***	***75.5%***	***1,707***	***981***	***57.5%***	***9,577***	***8,544***	***89.2%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	996	978	***98.2%***	122	110	***90.2%***	263	196	***74.5%***	1,381	1,284	***93.0%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	7	***70.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	88	64	***72.7%***	98	71	***72.4%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	250	***68.7%***	132	90	***68.2%***	440	224	***50.9%***	936	564	***60.3%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	974	1,034	***106.2%***	974	1,034	***106.2%***
Lee Correctional Institution	767	625	***81.5%***	292	167	***57.2%***	502	444	***88.4%***	1,561	1,236	***79.2%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,119	1,090	***97.4%***	74	59	***79.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,193	1,149	***96.3%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	632	624	***98.7%***	97	79	***81.4%***	266	222	***83.5%***	995	925	***93.0%***
Perry Correctional Institution	670	663	***99.0%***	181	164	***90.6%***	20	19	***95.0%***	871	846	***97.1%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***4,558***	***4,237***	***93.0%***	***898***	***669***	***74.5%***	***2,553***	***2,203***	***86.3%***	***8,009***	***7,109***	***88.8%***
TOTAL MALES	***12,842***	***12,151***	***94.6%***	***1,505***	***1,130***	***75.1%***	***5,411***	***4,049***	***74.8%***	***19,758***	***17,330***	***87.7%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	230	215	***93.5%***	97	41	***42.3%***	473	357	***75.5%***	800	613	***76.6%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	92	80	***87.0%***	92	80	***87.0%***
Leath Correctional Institution	696	550	***79.0%***	44	33	***75.0%***	104	48	***46.2%***	844	631	***74.8%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***926***	***765***	***82.6%***	***141***	***74***	***52.5%***	***669***	***485***	***72.5%***	***1,736***	***1,324***	***76.3%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***13,768***	***12,916***	***93.8%***	***1,646***	***1,204***	***73.1%***	***6,080***	***4,534***	***74.6%***	***21,494***	***18,654***	***86.8%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
March 15, 2019

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	548	439	***80.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	548	439	***80.1%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	336	289	***86.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	156	137	***87.8%***	492	426	***86.6%***
Manning Correctional Institution	112	98	***87.5%***	23	18	***78.3%***	704	424	***60.2%***	839	540	***64.4%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	261	***89.4%***	292	261	***89.4%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***996***	***826***	***82.9%***	***23***	***18***	***78.3%***	***1,152***	***822***	***71.4%***	***2,171***	***1,666***	***76.7%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	858	877	***102.2%***	88	40	***45.5%***	132	69	***52.3%***	1,078	986	***91.5%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,070	1,056	***98.7%***	187	112	***59.9%***	164	65	***39.6%***	1,421	1,233	***86.8%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,132	1,110	***98.1%***	90	83	***92.2%***	148	137	***92.6%***	1,370	1,330	***97.1%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	672	637	***94.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	672	637	***94.8%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	904	884	***97.8%***	44	32	***72.7%***	60	17	***28.3%***	1,008	933	***92.6%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	336	180	***53.6%***	47	33	***70.2%***	336	202	***60.1%***	719	415	***57.7%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	543	534	***98.3%***	42	37	***88.1%***	767	489	***63.8%***	1,352	1,060	***78.4%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	928	1,074	***115.7%***	70	62	***88.6%***	100	48	***48.0%***	1,098	1,184	***107.8%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	871	648	***74.4%***	12	9	***75.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	883	657	***74.4%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,314***	***7,000***	***95.7%***	***580***	***408***	***70.3%***	***1,707***	***1,027***	***60.2%***	***9,601***	***8,435***	***87.9%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	997	973	***97.6%***	116	103	***88.8%***	264	200	***75.8%***	1,377	1,276	***92.7%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	6	***60.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	88	69	***78.4%***	98	75	***76.5%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	248	***68.1%***	132	90	***68.2%***	440	229	***52.0%***	936	567	***60.6%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	974	1,195	***122.7%***	974	1,195	***122.7%***
Lee Correctional Institution	639	571	***89.4%***	111	102	***91.9%***	726	520	***71.6%***	1,476	1,193	***80.8%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,120	1,083	***96.7%***	73	61	***83.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,193	1,144	***95.9%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	632	620	***98.1%***	97	79	***81.4%***	264	221	***83.7%***	993	920	***92.6%***
Perry Correctional Institution	669	663	***99.1%***	181	162	***89.5%***	20	19	***95.0%***	870	844	***97.0%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***4,431***	***4,164***	***94.0%***	***710***	***597***	***84.1%***	***2,776***	***2,453***	***88.4%***	***7,917***	***7,214***	***91.1%***
TOTAL MALES	***12,741***	***11,990***	***94.1%***	***1,313***	***1,023***	***77.9%***	***5,635***	***4,302***	***76.3%***	***19,689***	***17,315***	***87.9%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	230	207	***90.0%***	97	38	***39.2%***	473	356	***75.3%***	800	601	***75.1%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	92	98	***106.5%***	92	98	***106.5%***
Leath Correctional Institution	696	545	***78.3%***	44	25	***56.8%***	104	43	***41.3%***	844	613	***72.6%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***926***	***752***	***81.2%***	***141***	***63***	***44.7%***	***669***	***497***	***74.3%***	***1,736***	***1,312***	***75.6%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***13,667***	***12,742***	***93.2%***	***1,454***	***1,086***	***74.7%***	***6,304***	***4,799***	***76.1%***	***21,425***	***18,627***	***86.9%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
April 15, 2019

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	548	441	***80.5%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	548	441	***80.5%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	336	273	***81.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	156	133	***85.3%***	492	406	***82.5%***
Manning Correctional Institution	112	94	***83.9%***	22	19	***86.4%***	704	422	***59.9%***	838	535	***63.8%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	248	***84.9%***	292	248	***84.9%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***996***	***808***	***81.1%***	***22***	***19***	***86.4%***	***1,152***	***803***	***69.7%***	***2,170***	***1,630***	***75.1%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	858	905	***105.5%***	88	38	***43.2%***	132	64	***48.5%***	1,078	1,007	***93.4%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,070	1,044	***97.6%***	106	61	***57.5%***	164	98	***59.8%***	1,340	1,203	***89.8%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,130	1,108	***98.1%***	94	91	***96.8%***	148	142	***95.9%***	1,372	1,341	***97.7%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	670	657	***98.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	670	657	***98.1%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	904	893	***98.8%***	44	32	***72.7%***	60	26	***43.3%***	1,008	951	***94.3%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	280	208	***74.3%***	47	36	***76.6%***	336	204	***60.7%***	663	448	***67.6%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	543	538	***99.1%***	42	36	***85.7%***	768	472	***61.5%***	1,353	1,046	***77.3%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	914	1,063	***116.3%***	70	68	***97.1%***	100	55	***55.0%***	1,084	1,186	***109.4%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	871	641	***73.6%***	12	11	***91.7%***	0	0	***0.0%***	883	652	***73.8%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,240***	***7,057***	***97.5%***	***503***	***373***	***74.2%***	***1,708***	***1,061***	***62.1%***	***9,451***	***8,491***	***89.8%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	995	972	***97.7%***	112	91	***81.3%***	253	183	***72.3%***	1,360	1,246	***91.6%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	6	***60.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	88	58	***65.9%***	98	64	***65.3%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	261	***71.7%***	132	112	***84.8%***	440	229	***52.0%***	936	602	***64.3%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	910	1,256	***138.0%***	910	1,256	***138.0%***
Lee Correctional Institution	639	602	***94.2%***	111	106	***95.5%***	727	490	***67.4%***	1,477	1,198	***81.1%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,122	1,090	***97.1%***	84	48	***57.1%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,206	1,138	***94.4%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	634	613	***96.7%***	97	81	***83.5%***	264	224	***84.8%***	995	918	***92.3%***
Perry Correctional Institution	669	659	***98.5%***	181	154	***85.1%***	20	20	***100.0%***	870	833	***95.7%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***4,433***	***4,203***	***94.8%***	***717***	***592***	***82.6%***	***2,702***	***2,460***	***91.0%***	***7,852***	***7,255***	***92.4%***
TOTAL MALES	***12,669***	***12,068***	***95.3%***	***1,242***	***984***	***79.2%***	***5,562***	***4,324***	***77.7%***	***19,473***	***17,376***	***89.2%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	230	223	***97.0%***	99	26	***26.3%***	468	349	***74.6%***	797	598	***75.0%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	92	115	***125.0%***	92	115	***125.0%***
Leath Correctional Institution	696	552	***79.3%***	44	17	***38.6%***	104	55	***52.9%***	844	624	***73.9%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***926***	***775***	***83.7%***	***143***	***43***	***30.1%***	***664***	***519***	***78.2%***	***1,733***	***1,337***	***77.1%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***13,595***	***12,843***	***94.5%***	***1,385***	***1,027***	***74.2%***	***6,226***	***4,843***	***77.8%***	***21,206***	***18,713***	***88.2%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

Population Counts and Capacities of SCDC Institutions
(Sorted by Level)
May 15, 2019

Housing/Inmate Categories

Operating Capacity for an institution represents the reasonable number of inmates that can be accommodated under normal circumstances, based on staff, programs, and services within the institution, and addressing relevant legal/professional standards. Thus, temporary or emergency beds (such as triple-cell beds) and out of service beds are excluded. Operating capacity includes several bedtypes:
1) "General Housing" - beds for inmates not designated/requiring "special" supervision and/or services;
2) "Special Management" - beds for inmates designated/requiring "special" supervision such as crisis intervention; deathrow; hospital, maximum custody; mental health; protective custody; pre-hearing detention; security detention; safekeeper; and temporary holding (transient); and
3) "Programs" - beds for inmates in specific locations for program participation such as assisted living; addictions treatment; Educational Finance Act eligible inmates; habilitation; handicap; Youthful Offender Act programs; reception/evaluation; shock incarceration; transitional care; HIV therapeutic; and sex offender treatment.

This table reports counts of beds and the number of inmates housed by security level. Security level of housing, however, does not necessarily reflect the security classification of the inmates. The security levels reflect their designation as of the date of this report.

Institution or Center	General Housing[1]			Special Management[2]			Programs[3]			Total		
	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate	Operating Capacity	Physical Count	Utilization Rate
Level I Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Minimum***												
Goodman Correctional Institution**	548	480	***87.6%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	548	480	***87.6%***
Livesay Pre-Release Center	336	289	***86.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	156	133	***85.3%***	492	422	***85.8%***
Manning Correctional Institution	112	93	***83.0%***	22	19	***86.4%***	704	418	***59.4%***	838	530	***63.2%***
Palmer Pre-Release Center	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	292	228	***78.1%***	292	228	***78.1%***
LEVEL I TOTAL	***996***	***862***	***86.5%***	***22***	***19***	***86.4%***	***1,152***	***779***	***67.6%***	***2,170***	***1,660***	***76.5%***
Level II Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Medium***												
Allendale Correctional Institution	858	905	***105.5%***	88	42	***47.7%***	132	61	***46.2%***	1,078	1,008	***93.5%***
Evans Correctional Institution	1,070	1,047	***97.9%***	106	73	***68.9%***	164	88	***53.7%***	1,340	1,208	***90.1%***
Kershaw Correctional Institution	1,132	1,113	***98.3%***	96	94	***97.9%***	148	146	***98.6%***	1,376	1,353	***98.3%***
MacDougall Correctional Institution	671	656	***97.8%***	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	671	656	***97.8%***
Ridgeland Correctional Institution	894	885	***99.0%***	44	35	***79.5%***	59	21	***35.6%***	997	941	***94.4%***
Trenton Correctional Institution	280	230	***82.1%***	47	32	***68.1%***	336	199	***59.2%***	663	461	***69.5%***
Turbeville Correctional Institution	543	528	***97.2%***	42	40	***95.2%***	768	440	***57.3%***	1,353	1,008	***74.5%***
Tyger River Correctional Institution	946	1,042	***110.1%***	70	65	***92.9%***	100	65	***65.0%***	1,116	1,172	***105.0%***
Wateree River Correctional Institution	872	678	***77.8%***	12	9	***75.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	884	687	***77.7%***
LEVEL II TOTAL	***7,266***	***7,084***	***97.5%***	***505***	***390***	***77.2%***	***1,707***	***1,020***	***59.8%***	***9,478***	***8,494***	***89.6%***
Level III Institutions (Male) ***Security Level: Maximum***												
Broad River Correctional Institution	1,023	998	***97.6%***	241	93	***38.6%***	382	160	***41.9%***	1,646	1,251	***76.0%***
Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital (MHO)	10	6	***60.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	88	53	***60.2%***	98	59	***60.2%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution	364	249	***68.4%***	132	101	***76.5%***	440	226	***51.4%***	936	576	***61.5%***
Kirkland Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	974	1,133	***116.3%***	974	1,133	***116.3%***
Lee Correctional Institution	639	608	***95.1%***	111	108	***97.3%***	723	480	***66.4%***	1,473	1,196	***81.2%***
Lieber Correctional Institution	1,115	1,085	***97.3%***	91	64	***70.3%***	0	0	***0.0%***	1,206	1,149	***95.3%***
McCormick Correctional Institution	762	614	***80.6%***	97	81	***83.5%***	265	220	***83.0%***	1,124	915	***81.4%***
Perry Correctional Institution	670	653	***97.5%***	179	158	***88.3%***	20	20	***100.0%***	869	831	***95.6%***
LEVEL III TOTAL	***4,583***	***4,213***	***91.9%***	***851***	***605***	***71.1%***	***2,892***	***2,292***	***79.3%***	***8,326***	***7,110***	***85.4%***
TOTAL MALES	***12,845***	***12,159***	***94.7%***	***1,378***	***1,014***	***73.6%***	***5,751***	***4,091***	***71.1%***	***19,974***	***17,264***	***86.4%***
Level IV Institutions (Female)* ***All Female Institutions are Medium Security***												
Graham Correctional Institution	230	215	***93.5%***	99	35	***35.4%***	468	355	***75.9%***	797	605	***75.9%***
Graham Correctional Institution R&E	0	0	***0.0%***	0	0	***0.0%***	86	66	***76.7%***	86	66	***76.7%***
Leath Correctional Institution	696	563	***80.9%***	44	25	***56.8%***	104	50	***48.1%***	844	638	***75.6%***
LEVEL IV (FEMALE) TOTAL	***926***	***778***	***84.0%***	***143***	***60***	***42.0%***	***658***	***471***	***71.6%***	***1,727***	***1,309***	***75.8%***
AGENCY TOTAL	***13,771***	***12,937***	***93.9%***	***1,521***	***1,074***	***70.6%***	***6,409***	***4,562***	***71.2%***	***21,701***	***18,573***	***85.6%***

Note: Institutions with Total Utilization Rate over 95% are highlighted.

*Note: Goodman Closed as a women's prison (Level IV) June 2016

**Note: Walden (Men's Level I) Closed as of 11/14/16 and moved to Goodman.

SCDC Offense Codes in Offense Category 35 - Dangerous Drugs

Included in the Department of Corrections' (SCDC) June 20, 2019 letter to the House Legislative Oversight Committee (LOC). This information was provided in response to the following question in LOC's May 30, 2019 letter to the Department of Corrections: "24. Please provide a list of the types of convictions which fall within the "Dangerous Drugs" category the agency utilizes in its most serious offenses data."

SCDC Offense Codes in Offense Category 35 - Dangerous Drugs

Offense Category	Category Description	* means no longer valid	SCDC Offense Code	Offense Description	Statutory Violent Indicator
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		27	DRUG CONSP/ATT. TO VIOLA	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		368	TRAFFICK METH 100GR MORE	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		389	TRAFFICK METH 28-100GR 2	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		392	TRAFFICK METH 28-100GR 1	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		450	TRAFFICK METH 10-28GR 1	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		451	TRAFFICK METH 10-28GR 2	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		452	TRAFFICK METH DAY FOR DY	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		2584	DRUGS/ADM,DIST'B 1ST OFF	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		2589	DRUGS/ADM,DIST'B SUB OFF	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		2590	TRAF FLUNIT. 1-100G 1ST	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		2591	TRAF FLUNIT. 1-100G. 2ND	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		2592	TRAF FLUNIT.100-1000G	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		2593	TRAF FLUNIT. 1000G-5KG	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		2594	TRAF FLUNIT. 5KG+	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3009	POSS METH/COC BASE 1ST	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3016	POSS METH/COC BASE 3RD	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3017	POSS METH/COC BASE 2ND	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3023	POSS. EPHEDRINE<28G 1ST	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3024	POSS. EPHEDRINE<28G 2ND	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3025	POSS. EPHEDRINE<28G 3RD	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3026	POSS. EPHEDRINE<100G 1ST	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3027	POSS EPHEDRINE<100G 2ND	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3028	POSS EPHEDRINE<100G 3RD	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3029	POSS. EPHEDRINE<200 GR	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3032	POSS. EPHEDRINE<400 GR	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3035	POSS. EPHEDRINE<400 GR	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3198	DIST., ETC METH. 1ST	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3199	DIST., ETC METH. 2ND	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3200	DIST.ETC OF METH.3RD,SUB	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3215	CHILD EXP MAN/SEL METH1	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3216	CHILD EXP MAN/SEL METH2	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3282	ILL. WASTE DISP-METH1STH	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3283	ILL. WASTE DISP-METH2ND	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS	*	3500	DANGEROUS DRUGS-UNKNOWN	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3501	LSD/HALLUCINOGEN MFR	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3502	LSD/HALLUCINOGEN DISTRIB	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3503	LSD/HALLUCINOGEN SELL	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3504	LSD/HALLUCINOGEN POSSESS	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS	*	3505	LSD/HALLUCINOGEN-NEC	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3506	METHAQUALONE POS INT/DIS	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3507	METHAQUALONE DISTRIBUTIO	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3508	METHAQUALONE MANUFACTURI	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3510	HEROIN DISTRIBUTION	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS	*	3511	HEROIN SMUGGL	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3512	HEROIN POSSESS	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS	*	3513	HEROIN-NEC	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3514	HEROIN POSS INT/DISTR	NV

35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3515	HEROIN MANUFACTURING	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3516	TRAF ECSTASY 100-500 1ST	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3517	TRAF ECSTASY 100-500 2ND	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3518	TRAF ECSTASY 100-500 3RD	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3519	TRAF ECSTASY500-1000 1ST	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3520	OPIUM OR DERIV DISTRIB	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS	*	3521	OPIUM OR DERIV SMUGGL	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3522	OPIUM OR DERIV POSSESS	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS	*	3523	OPIUM OR DERIV-NEC	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3524	TRAF ECSTASY500-1000 2ND	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3525	TRAF ECSTASY500-1000 3RD	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3526	TRAF ECSTASY 1000+	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3527	PWID MDMA/ECSTASY 1ST	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3528	PWID MDMA/ECSTASY 2ND	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3529	DIS/SELL/PUR CON SUB SCH	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3530	COCAINE DISTRIBUTION	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS	*	3531	COCAINE SMUGGL	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3532	COCAINE POSSESS	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS	*	3533	COCAINE-NEC	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3534	COCAINE TRANSPORTING	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3535	COCAINE POSS INT/DISTR	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3536	COCAINE DIST PROX SCHOOL	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3537	COCAINE MANUFACTURING	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3538	PWID MDMA/ECSTASY 3RD+	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3540	NARC DISTRIBUTION	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS	*	3541	NARC SMUGGL	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3542	NARC POSSESS	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS	*	3543	NARC-NEC	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3544	TRAFFICKING IN MARIJUANA	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3545	TRAFFICKING IN COCAINE	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3546	TRAFFICKING IN ILL.DRUGS	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3547	TRAFFICKING IN METHAQUAL	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3548	TRAFFICKING IN CRACK COC	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3549	TRAF MARIJ(10-100LBS,1ST	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3550	NARC EQUIP POSSESS	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3551	TRAF COCAINE(10-28G,1ST)	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3552	TRAF LSD (100-499U,1ST)	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3553	TRAF METHAQ(15-150G,1ST)	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3554	TRAF CRACK(10-28G,1ST)	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3555	CRACK COC POSS INT/DISTR	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3556	CRACK DISTR PROX SCHOOL	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3557	CRACK POSSESS	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3558	CRACK DISTRIBUTION	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3559	CRACK MANUFACTURING	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3560	MARIJUANA DISTRIBTUTION	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS	*	3561	MARIJUANA SMUGGL	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3562	MARIJUANA POSSESS	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3563	MARIJUANA PRODUCING	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS	*	3564	MARIJUANA-NEC	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3565	MARIJUANA POSS INT/DIST	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3570	AMPHETAMINE MFR.	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3571	AMPHETAMINE DISTRIBUTION	NV

35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3572	AMPHETAMINE POSSESS	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS	*	3573	AMPHETAMINE-NEC	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3579	TRAFFICKING IN LSD	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3580	BARBITURATE MFG.	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3581	BARBITURATE DISTRIBUTION	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3582	BARBITURATE POSSESS	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS	*	3583	BARBITURATE-NEC	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3584	MANU/DIST CRACK-2ND OFF	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3585	MANU/DIST CRACK-3RD,SUB.	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3586	DIST I/LSD/CRACK UND 18	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3587	MDP NARC SCHED-2ND SUBSE	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3588	MDP NARC SCHED-3RD,SUBSE	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3589	MANU/POSS DRG SCH-3RD,SU	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3590	ILLEGAL DRUGS POSSESS	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3591	ILLEGAL DRUGS DISTRIB	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3592	DIST-IMITATION CNTRLD SU	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3593	POSS-IMITATION CNTRLD SU	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3594	ILL.DRUGS DISTR PROX SCH	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3595	ILL.DRUGS PURCH PROX SCH	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3596	FINAN TRANS DERIVED DRUG	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3597	TRANS MONETARY FROM DRUG	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3598	CONCEAL PROP DERIVED DRU	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3599	DANGEROUS DRUGS-NEC	NV
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3776	MANUFACTURE METH 1ST	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3777	MANUFACTURE METH 2ND	VIOL
35	DANGEROUS DRUGS		3778	MANUFACTURE METH 3RD	VIOL

SCDC Offense Codes in Offense Code 12 - Robbery and Offense Code 22- Burglary

Included in the Department of Corrections' (SCDC) June 20, 2019 letter to the House Legislative Oversight Committee (LOC). This information was provided in response to the following question in LOC's May 30, 2019 letter to the Department of Corrections: "25. Please provide a definition of the terms "burglary" and "robbery" which are categories the agency utilizes in its most serious offenses data."

SCDC Offense Codes in Offense Categories 12 - Robbery and 22 - Burglary

Offense Category	Category Description	* means no longer valid	SCDC Offense Code	Offense Description	Statutory Violent Indicator
12	ROBBERY		1200	COMMON LAW ROBBERY	NV
12	ROBBERY	*	1203	ROBBERY BUSINESS STGARM	NV
12	ROBBERY		1206	STRONG ARM ROBBERY	NV
12	ROBBERY	*	1207	ROBBERY RESID GUN	NV
12	ROBBERY	*	1208	ROBBERY RESID ID WEAP	NV
12	ROBBERY	*	1209	ROBBERY RESID STGARM	NV
12	ROBBERY		1210	FORCIBLE PURSE SNATCHING	NV
12	ROBBERY		1211	ENTERING BANK/INT STEAL	NV
12	ROBBERY		1212	ROBBERY-HIGHWAY	NV
12	ROBBERY		1213	ATTEMPTED ROBBERY	NV
12	ROBBERY		1214	TRAIN ROBBERY	NV
12	ROBBERY		1215	ROBB OPER VEH FOR HIRE	NV
12	ROBBERY	*	1288	ACCESSORY TO ARMED ROBBE	NV
12	ROBBERY		1289	ARMED ROBBERY BEFORE 197	NV
12	ROBBERY		1297	ATTEMPTED ARMED ROBBERY	VIOL
12	ROBBERY	*	1298	AR/ACCESSORY	NV
12	ROBBERY		1299	ARMED ROBBERY	VIOL
22	BURGLARY	*	2200	BURGLARY	NV
22	BURGLARY		2201	BRG-SAFE-VAULT	NV
22	BURGLARY	*	2202	HB-DWELLING IN DAY	NV
22	BURGLARY	*	2203	HB-OTHER BLDG	NV
22	BURGLARY	*	2204	BRG NO FRC ENTRY RESID	NV
22	BURGLARY	*	2206	BRG TOOLS POSSESS	NV
22	BURGLARY		2207	BRG BANKING TYPE INST	NV
22	BURGLARY		2208	BREAK-ENT W/INTENT-STEAL	NV
22	BURGLARY	*	2209	ATTEMPTED HOUSEBREAKING	NV
22	BURGLARY		2210	ATTEMPTED BURGLARY	NV
22	BURGLARY		2211	BREAK INTO PETROL CONTNR	NV
22	BURGLARY	*	2212	B & E & GRND LARCENY	NV
22	BURGLARY	*	2213	STORE BREAKING	NV
22	BURGLARY	*	2214	B + E + PETTIT LARCENY	NV
22	BURGLARY	*	2215	STOREBRKG + PETTIT LARCEN	NV
22	BURGLARY	*	2216	HSBKG + LARCNY + RCV ST G	NV
22	BURGLARY	*	2217	STOREBRKG + LARCENY	NV
22	BURGLARY		2218	ENTER W/O BREAK-INT STEA	NV
22	BURGLARY		2220	BURGLARY-1ST DEGREE	VIOL
22	BURGLARY		2221	BURGLARY-2ND DEGREE	VIOL
22	BURGLARY		2222	BURGLARY-3RD DEGREE	NV
22	BURGLARY		2223	BURGLARY-2ND DEG/NON-VIO	NV
22	BURGLARY	*	2299	BURGLARY-NEC	NV

Search Team Statistics

Included in the Department of Corrections' (SCDC) June 20, 2019 letter to the House Legislative Oversight Committee (LOC). This information was provided in response to the following question in LOC's May 30, 2019 letter to the Department of Corrections: "26. Please provide a list of the types, and amounts of contraband, found during raids of SCDC prisons in each year from 2015 to the present. Please share the agency's understanding of the reason for any significant increases or decreases."

In addition to providing the information in this document, SCDC provided the following response:

- The decrease in most categories from 2016 to 2019 are the results of the layered measures to combat contraband which the Director has implemented. The netting, drone detection, institutional cross fencing, intrusion and tsunami cameras all of which were implemented between 2017 up to the present have resulted in reduction in contraband statistics as indicated by Search Team reports.
- Please see attached search team statistics.

SEARCH TEAM STATISTICS

Category	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Tattoo Guns (Ea)	106	78	63	50	10
Guns (Ea)	0	0	0	0	0
Homemade Weapons (Ea)	215	462	933	735	244
Shanks (Ea)	1,762	2,792	2,952	1,941	851
Cell Phones (Ea)	1,254	2,250	2,168	1,633	641
Cell Phone Chargers (Ea)	1,145	1,996	2,276	1,685	629
Tattoo Needles (Ea)	309	195	63	68	1
Pornography Material (Ea)	2,152	2,811	1,192	97	89
Homemade Alcohol (Gal)	453	649	485	371	188
Commercial Liquor (Gal)	0	8	1	2	1
Money/Currency	$1,763	4,921	6,964	804	2,896
Marijuana (Grams)	2,201	5,309	3,690	6,019	2,073
Crack (Grams)	8	107	69	151	0
Heroin (Grams)	10	8	8	62	14
Synthetic Mariguana (Grams)	896	1,745	654	3,383	667
Financial Numbers (Ea) (Green Dot, Credit Card, etc.)	810	445	602	1,163	655
Cocaine (Ea)	80	274	317	309	91
STG (Ea)	636	454	277	143	123
Tattoo Paraphanelia (Ea)	1,129	1,039	259	163	45
SIM Card (Ea)	283	928	1,180	1,027	445
Tobacco (Grams)	60,077	76,559	51,488	37,197	34,589
Lighters (Inc)	309	516	444	377	169
Pcs. Metal (Ea)	1,443	1,632	1,342	1,393	965
Social Security Numbers (Ea)	250	314	60	1	1

Inmates use of social networking sites – Final dispositions

Included in the Department of Corrections' (SCDC) June 20, 2019 letter to the House Legislative Oversight Committee (LOC). This information was provided in response to the following question in LOC's May 30, 2019 letter to the Department of Corrections: "29. For the last five years, what is the (a) number of known incidents of inmates utilizing internet-based social networking websites, (b) number of those incidents which resulted in charges, and (c) adjudication of those charges?"

In addition to providing the information in this document, SCDC provided the following response:

- (a) - See below count of Social Media Tips received as of 5/6/19.

Number of Inmate Social Media Complaints/Tips Received by Calendar Year						
	CY14	CY15	CY16	CY17	CY18	CY19YTD
Totals	227	134	307	593	563	329

- (b) and (c) - Please see attached final dispositions. Definitions of final disposition codes are below:
    - **Closed:** When an infraction is disposed of through informal or some other means (Inmate in RHU that is a habitual offender and the action is non-assaultive in nature) within the disciplinary process. NOTE: A closed entry acknowledges a conviction of an offense, but ensures no good time is taken.
    - **Convicted:** When an inmate is/was found guilty, or pled guilty of the charged infraction.
    - **Dismissed:** When an inmate's charge was dropped due to technical reasons and/or procedural errors.
    - **Not Guilty:** When an inmate is cleared of charged infraction in a formal disciplinary hearing through a preponderance of the credible evidence presented.
    - **Pending:** The disciplinary case has not been heard by a Disciplinary Hearing Officer.

Final Dispositions where Displinary Charge is Code 902 - Create/Assist with Social Networking Site, Final Disposition Status and Disciplinary Charge Counts as of June 4, 2019

	FISCAL YEAR						
FINAL DISPOSITION	**2014**	**2015**	**2016**	**2017**	**2018**	**2019**	**TOTAL**
CLOSED		1	1	1	2		**5**
CONVICTED	225	148	197	373	230	224	**1,397**
DISMISSED	38	33	53	81	81	80	**366**
NOT GUILTY	21	15	9	19	15	18	**97**
PENDING				1		28	**29**
TOTAL	**284**	**197**	**260**	**475**	**328**	**350**	**1,894**